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## Foreword <br> By Lori Roman

TThe promise of a quality education is perhaps more critical for America's young people today than ever before. Preparing American students for a global workforce is a responsibility we all share as parents, citizens and government leaders. As we continue to debate how to deliver on the promise of a world-class education, I ask you to consider the significance of the findings in this new edition of the Report Card on American Education.

In short, the data analyzed in this publication show us that despite decades of pumping more and more money into America's public schools, student achievement remains stagnant. Why is this? The problem is not a funding problem. Certainly, money matters. But money is not enough to ensure students are learning. In fact, the amount of money we spend on education will matter less and less if we continue to shut freedom and choice out of the education arena. Only when we allow parents-not government-to select the best school for their children will we see the quality of the educational services rise to meet global standards. In comparisons, American high school students lag behind their international peers. In one assessment, American 15 year-olds scored $18^{\text {th }}$ in math and science behind countries like Australia, Sweden and New Zealand ${ }^{1}$ (all of which boast school choice programs ${ }^{2}$ ).

This year in the United States more parents than ever before are experiencing the power of choice in education. In a dozen states, low-income and minority parents and parents of students with special needs are enrolling their children in schools of their own choosing-schools they know are good schools-just as upper-income American parents have done for generations.
American prosperity, innovation and quality of life are the benefits of the economic freedom we enjoy in virtually every other market. But those who have a stake in protecting the government education monopoly want us to believe that, although free markets work in every other area of American life, the K-12 system is the exception. Yet research has shown these school choice programs yield significant gains for participating students. ${ }^{3}$ School choice
programs help public school students, too. Faced with the prospect of losing students to other schools, residencebased public schools have responded by redoubling their efforts to increase student achievement. ${ }^{4}$ The fear of losing customers is a powerful motivator. Efficiency, excellence and continuous improvements are the hallmarks of a competitive environment.

With choice, everybody wins. The power of choice is not magic and it's not too good to be true-it's simple economics. It's the same economic principle that gives us world-class universities and a world-class standard of living. Why not give equal economic freedom to every American student to get a world-class K-12 education?

I hope lawmakers, educators and parents will recognize the shortsighted thinking behind tired and worn out solutions that have little effect on student achievement-namely perpupil spending increases, pupil-to-teacher ratio adjustments and across-the-board raises for teachers, to name a few. As this Report Card continues to demonstrate, these effortstaken together and individually-are not going to make the difference in raising American student achievement to international standards. Empowering parents will.

Let every American pick the best and safest school for their child through a variety of school choice alternatives: tuition tax credits, vouchers, private scholarship tax credits, charter schools, home schools and public school choice. And then we will see what we have seen in every other area of our great country-free people in free markets creating excellence.

Lori Roman<br>Executive Director<br>American Legislative Exchange Council

1. David Salisbury and James Tooley, ed. What America Can Learn from School Choice in Other Countries (Washington, DC: Cato Institute, 2005).
2. Ibid.
3. Caroline M. Hoxby. School choice and school competition: Evidence from the United States. Swedish Economic Policy Review (2003) at: http://www. economics.harvard.edu/faculty/hoxby/papers/hoxby_2.pdf (11)
4. Ibid.
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## Executive Summary and Highlights

Earlier this year a unique class-action lawsuit was filed in New Jersey on behalf of parents whose children are trapped in failing public schools. What makes this lawsuit unique is that it seeks a remedy for the children trapped in failing schools and not for the educational system-like too many previous lawsuits have done.

Crawford v. Davy was filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey in Newark, against State Commissioner of Education Lucille Davy and 30 more defendants. The case represents a class of more than 60,000 students in 96 failing schools in 25 districts and argues that the denial of basic educational opportunities violates the children's right to a thorough and efficient education under the state constitution, and to equal protection of the laws under the state and federal constitutions.

This national test case will bear watching since some estimates put the number of students in failing public schools on a national level at over 4 million. If this case is successful, it is almost guaranteed that parents who have grown discontented with our country's schools will look to push the proverbial educational reform envelope and seek similar remedies in other states.

The results of the 2006 Report Card on American Education can only add fuel to this growing fire of educational discontent. Despite substantial increases in resources being spent on primary and secondary education over the past two decades-per pupil expenditures have increased by 77.4 percent (after adjusting for inflation), student performance has improved only slightly - 71 percent of American eighth graders are still performing below proficiency in math according to the 2005 National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP) test.

The 2006 Report Card, with its more than 50 tables and figures that display in various ways more than 100 measures of educational resources and achievement, strengthens the growing consensus that simply increasing spending on education is not enough to improve student performance. These measures and the analysis based on them confirms that there is no evident correlation between pupil-to-teacher ratios, spending per pupil, and teacher salaries on the one hand, and educational achievement as measured by various standardized test scores, on the other hand. In other words, lawmakers working to improve America's beleaguered education system must look beyond these conventional measures of investments in schools to find the keys to educational excellence.
The tremendous growth and popularity of charter schools (40 states and the District of Columbia have enacted charter school laws governing over 3,617 operating charter schools),
educational tax credit programs, private scholarship funds, and vouchers indicate that improving student achievement is not based on dollars spent, schools constructed, or even teachers hired. Instead, improvements are realized when accountability, choice and competition are injected into our current educational system. Instituting strong accountability measures that hold both students and teachers responsible for learning will help schools to focus resources where they are most needed. Increasing parental involvement in the process by giving them a greater say in determining which educational choice best meets the needs of their child guarantees that a child's educational future is determined on the most local level possible - their parent. And finally, by forcing the veritable monopoly that is our public school system to compete in an open educational market, we can harness the immense power of the free market system to bring about improvements in our nation's schools. Faced with losing students to better educational options, public schools will have to improve.

## Basic highlights of the 2006 Report Card on American Education include:

■ Massachusetts, followed by Minnesota, New Hampshire and Montana, had the top performing public elementary and secondary schools in the nation, as measured by several standardized tests. Minnesota, Massachusetts and New Hampshire were ranked first, second and third respectively in last year's Report Card. The District of Columbia, Mississippi and New Mexico ranked at the bottom of the scale (See Table ES.1).

■ Seventy one percent of public school eighth graders taking the NAEP mathematics exam in 2005 performed below the "proficiency" level (See Table 2.1A).
■ Over the past 20 years, expenditures per pupil in constant dollar terms have increased nationwide by 77.4 percent. Maine ( +140.8 percent), followed closely by Georgia and South Carolina ( +135.5 percent) led the nation in increased spending since 1983-1984 (See Table 1.8).

- There is no immediately evident correlation between conventional measures of education inputs, such as expenditures per pupil and teacher salaries, and educational outputs, such as average scores on standardized tests. In fact, of all the educational inputs measured in this study, only higher pupil-to-teacher ratios, fewer students per school, and a lower percentage of a state's total budget received from the federal government have a positive impact on educational achievement. These results, however, are weak at best, and do not hold when measured as changes over the past two decades.


## TABLE ES. 1 2006 Ranking of States by Academic Achievement

| STATE | RANK |
| :---: | :---: |
| Massachusetts | 1 |
| Minnesota | 2 |
| New Hampshire | 3 |
| Montana | 4 |
| Vermont | 5 |
| Wisconsin | 6 |
| South Dakota | 7 |
| Washington | 8 |
| Iowa | 9 |
| Nebraska | 10 |
| North Dakota | 11 |
| New Jersey | 12 |
| Virginia | 13 |
| Oregon | 14 |
| Ohio | 15 |
| Wyoming | 16 |
| Connecticut | 17 |
| Maine | 18 |
| Missouri | 19 |
| Pennsylvania | 20 |
| Utah | 21 |
| Kansas | 22 |
| New York | 23 |
| Idaho | 24 |
| Alaska | 25 |
| Indiana | 26 |
| Maryland | 27 |
| Colorado | 28 |
| Delaware | 29 |
| North Carolina | 30 |
| Michigan | 31 |
| Illinois | 32 |
| Arizona | 33 |
| Kentucky | 34 |
| Rhode Island | 35 |
| Texas | 36 |
| Oklahoma | 37 |
| Nevada | 38 |
| Tennessee | 39 |
| South Carolina | 40 |
| Arkansas | 41 |
| California | 42 |
| Florida | 43 |
| West Virginia | 44 |
| Georgia | 45 |
| Hawaii | 46 |
| Louisiana | 47 |
| Alabama | 48 |
| New Mexico | 49 |
| Mississippi | 50 |
| District of Columbia | 51 |

- Of the ten states that increased their per pupil expenditures the most over the past two decades Maine ( +140.8 percent), Georgia and South Carolina ( +135.5 percent), Ohio ( +117.0 percent), Indiana ( +111.4 percent), Arkansas ( +107.5 percent), Missouri (+106.8 percent), New Hampshire (+106.3 percent), Vermont ( +102.0 percent), and the District of Columbia ( +100.4 percent) only New Hampshire ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ ) and Vermont $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$ ranked in the top ten in academic achievement. Three states, Arkansas ( $41^{\text {st) }}$ ), Georgia ( $45^{\text {th }}$ ), and the District of Columbia ( $\left.51^{\text {st }}\right)$ ranked in the bottom ten in academic achievement (See Table 1.8).
- Of the ten states that experienced the greatest decreases in pupil-to-teacher ratios over the past two decades Alabama (36.4 percent), Hawaii (-27.0 percent), Maine ( -25.8 percent), Louisiana (-22.2 percent), Vermont (-21.5 percent), Virginia ( -21.4 percent), New Mexico and Tennessee ( -21.1 percent), Mississippi (-20.5 percent), and North Carolina (-19.3 percent) only Vermont ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ) ranked in the top ten in academic achievement. Five states, Hawaii ( $46^{\text {th }}$ ), Louisiana ( $47^{\text {th }}$ ), Alabama (48 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ), New Mexico ( $49^{\text {th }}$ ), and Mississippi $\left(50^{\text {th }}\right.$ ) all ranked in the bottom ten in academic achievement (See Table 1.1).


## Other key, state-by-state findings of the report include:

Forty states and the District of Columbia have passed charter school laws since 1991. There were 3,617 charter schools operating in these states and the District of Columbia as of Fall 2005, educating approximately $1,074,809$ students. (See Table 4.6)

According to the Center for Education Reform's latest ranking the District of Columbia, Minnesota, Delaware, Arizona, Michigan, Indiana, and California have the strongest charter school laws-all receiving an "A" grade. Mississippi and Iowa have the weakest charter school laws-both receiving an "F" grade. (See Table 4.7) Arizona ( 15.8 percent), Oregon ( 12.6 percent), Alaska ( 3 percent), and Florida ( 2.3 percent) were the only states to experience a growth in the pupil-per-teacher ratio from 1983-84 to 2003-04. Nationally, the average pupil-per-teacher ratio has decreased by 10.9 percent, from 17.4 students per teacher during the 1983-84 school year to 15.5 students per teacher during the 2003-04 school year. (See Table 1.1)

In 200440 percent of high school graduates took the ACT Assessment test, with a national average score of 20.9. The ACT is the primary test taken in 26 states. In those 26 states, only three states Iowa (22), and Minnesota and Wisconsin (22.2) had an average score of 22 or greater in 2004 (See Table 2.3).
Of the 25 states and the District of Columbia in which the SAT was taken by more students than the ACT Assessment, eight had an average score at or above the national average of 1026 in 2004: Washington (1059), Oregon (1055), Arizona (1047), New Hampshire (1043), Massachusetts (1041), Alaska (1032), Connecticut (1030) and Vermont (1028). (See Table 2.3)

## Introduction

TThe American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC) published its original Report Card on American Education: A State-by-State Analysis in 1993. Since then, it has changed and grown, so that this year's edition, the thirteenth, collects and provides within a single volume, the most basic and customary measures of educational resources and achievement on a state-by-state basis.

The Report Card is neither a policy manual nor an ideological document. However, ALEC believes that the information presented in this report serves a vital function in our efforts to reform our nation's public school system. Only through a thorough examination of the "inputs" and "outputs" into the public educational system can policy makers at the local, state, and federal levels understand what public education resources produce the best public education results. It is necessary that a clear understanding of what has or has not worked in the past be gained, in order to chart a course to success in the future.

The 2006 Report Card on American Education is divided into five sections:

## State Snapshots

Chapter One: Measures of educational inputs
Chapter Two: Measures of educational outputs
Chapter Three: Measures of correlation between educational inputs and outputs
Chapter Four: Basic educational demographics, charter school and school choice information

The first section, "State Snapshots" presents the most important measures of educational inputs, outputs, and demographic information from the following chapters for each of the fifty states and the District of Columbia. Individual state information is provided so that policy makers can gain a clear picture of each state's public school system for the most recent year that was studied.

Chapters One through Four present and analyze the latest available data for public elementary and secondary schools in each of the fifty states and the District of Columbia. Historical data is presented when available and appropriate for three benchmark school years: 1983-84, 1993-94, and 2003-04. In addition, for several of the key measures of educational inputs, historical data for 1998-99 has been provided in order to examine more recent trends in educational spending. Such a dual presentation should be valuable for policymakers, as
they examine both what works over time, from state-to-state, and what has worked within a single state. Most of the data in this year's Report Card is derived from the National Center for Education Statistics' utilizing their Digest of Education Statistics reports and their Common Core of Data (CCD) database.

Chapter One presents basic data on educational "inputs" or the resources that states dedicate to public elementary and secondary education. Among the factors reported are financial variables, such as expenditures per pupil, average teacher and instructional staff salaries, and sources of educational funding. Also recorded are several staffing variables, such as total number of instructional staff, total number of education personnel, pupil-to-teacher ratios, and pupil-to-staff ratios. Chapter one also includes a breakdown of the funds received by the states from several key federal education programs. In addition, chapter one more closely examines the variations in average teacher salaries as compared to the average salaries of workers with at least a bachelor degree.

Chapter Two presents basic data on the effectiveness of public education in each state-what are referred to as educational "outputs." This chapter presents various measures that may be used as general guidelines to educational success in the American public school system, such as: Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) results, American Academic Testing (ACT) results, and National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) test results. 2005 marked the second year that all fifty states and the District of Columbia participated in the NAEP exams.

Chapter Three presents several methods of correlating the educational "inputs" of chapter one and the educational "outputs" of chapter two. This chapter is where we more closely examine the impact that factors such as class size, teacher salaries and per-pupil spending have on standardized test scores; and attempt to determine if, in fact, putting more money into our current educational system will result in greater student achievement. This chapter contains two basic components. The first simply presents, on a single table, measures of various educational inputs and outputs. Thus, SAT, ACT, and NAEP test results are presented alternatively with measures of public school staffing, public school financial inputs, and trends over time in key measures of both input categories. The final section of chapter three constructs and tests a statistical model of the correlation between a
combination of educational inputs and outputs. Employing all these tests substantially decreases the likelihood that conclusions drawn from all three will be biased or misleading. This is done in order to respond to some analysts who have criticized each of these approaches as biased, incomplete, or misleading. Such a diverse analysis gives policy makers the best foundation on which to build their thinking and actions.

Chapter Four highlights some basic state educational
information, such as public school enrollment, change in enrollment, and enrollment by size of school district. In addition, chapter four presents basic data on the growth of charter schools, such as number of charter schools and enrollment, strength of each states charter school law, and minority enrollment compared to public schools. Chapter four also includes tables that rank those state school choice programs in existence around the country on accessibility and usability by parents.

The author would also like to thank Lori Drummer and Matt Warner at ALEC for their support and guidance throughout every stage of this report.

## State Snapshots



National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 279 | 278 | 29 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 29 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 236 | 237 | 33 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 34 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 259 | 260 | 34 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 27 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 211 | 217 | 42 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 26 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $2004$ <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.3 | 20.9 | 28 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 29 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 1.91 | 0.48 | 13 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1032 | 1026 | 31 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 53 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | -1.43 | 2.70 | 43 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 17.71
\% from State and Local Sources
National Rank $\quad 1$
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 24
Number of Charter School Students

4,773

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 11,833$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 5 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $58.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $4.7 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $3.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $6.5 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $26.0 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | national AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 274 | 278 | 34 | Funding |  |  |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 26 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 230 | 237 | 43 |  |  |  |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 28 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 255 | 260 | 42 |  |  |  |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 23 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 207 | 217 | 46 |  |  |  |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 24 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ |  | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK | \% from Federal Government |  | 11.42 |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.5 | 20.9 | 20 | $\square \%$ from State |  |  |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 20 | 40 |  | and Loca | al Sources | 88.58 |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> ACT Scores 1994-2004 | $1.9$ | 0.48 | 15 | National R | ank | 12 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1047 | 1026 | 27 | Charter Schools |  | FALL 2005 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 32 | 48 |  | Number of Ch | arter Schools | s 449 |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | -6.35 | 2.70 | 51 | Number of Charter School Students |  | 96,934 |
|  | Educational Inputs |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
|  | Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  | \$6,704 | \$9,052 | 48 |
|  | \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil* |  |  |  | 47.72 | 77.37 | 49 |
|  | Pupi/Teacher Ratio |  |  |  | 21.3 | 15.5 | 50 |
|  | \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* |  |  |  | 15.76 | -10.88 | 51 |
|  | Average Salary of Instructional Staff |  |  |  | \$41,843 | \$44,133 | 28 |



National Rank of Academic Achievement




# Colorado 

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $\stackrel{2005}{\text { AVERAGES }}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 281 | 278 | 20 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 32 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 239 | 237 | 24 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 39 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 265 | 260 | 21 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 224 | 217 | 11 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 36 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 20.3 | 20.9 | 40 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 100 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | -5.14 | 0.48 | 51 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1107 | 1026 | 19 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 27 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 1.65 | 2.70 | 30 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government6.50

\% from State and
Local Sources ..... 93.50

National Rank ..... 44
Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 116

Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8,486$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 30 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 54.88 | 77.37 | 44 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 16.9 | 15.5 | 40 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -7.14 | -10.88 | 33 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 43,319$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 22 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $64.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $5.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $25.3 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $3.1 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $1.2 \%$ |

# 17 <br> <br> Connecticut <br> <br> Connecticut <br> <br> National Rank of Academic Achievement 

 <br> <br> National Rank of Academic Achievement}



| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 281 | 278 | 21 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 240 | 237 | 23 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 36 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 266 | 260 | 18 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 226 | 217 | 6 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 35 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.5 | 20.9 | 20 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 5 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | -1.83 | 0.48 | 48 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 999 | 1026 | 46 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 73 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | -1.87 | 2.70 | 44 |

## Funding <br> \% from Federal Government 8.60 <br> \% from State and Local Sources 91.40 <br> National Rank 29



Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 15
Number of Charter School Students

6,791

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 11,228$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 6 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 88.56 | 77.37 | 17 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 15.2 | 15.5 | 28 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  | -5.00 | -10.88 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 49,366$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 13 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $57.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $31.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $7.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $2.6 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.3 \%$ |

# District of Columbia <br> National Rank of Academic Achievement 


Student Demographics

National Rank of Academic Achievement





## Illinois

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $2005$ <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 278 | 278 | 31 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 28 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 233 | 237 | 38 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 32 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 264 | 260 | 25 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 216 | 217 | 35 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 30 | 30 |  |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 8.45
\% from State and Local Sources 91.55

National Rank $\quad 30$
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 41
Number of Charter School Students

17,235

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 9,580$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 19 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil | 87.87 | 77.37 | 18 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 16.5 | 15.5 | 39 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio | -5.17 | -10.88 | 42 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 54,230$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 7 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Black |
| $\square$ Hispanic |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander |
| American Indian/Alaskan |

Indiana
National Rank of Academic Achievement



National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 284 | 278 | 12 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 34 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 240 | 237 | 22 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 37 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 267 | 260 | 15 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 34 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 221 | 217 | 21 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 33 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 22.0 | 20.9 | 11 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 67 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 39 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1195 | 1026 | 1 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 5 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 1.70 | 2.70 | 29 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 7.44

| \% from State and <br> Local Sources |
| :--- |

National Rank 37
Charter Schools fall 2005
Number of Charter Schools 7
Number of Charter School Students

1,332

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8,602$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 28 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 56.82 | 77.37 | 41 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 13.8 | 15.5 | 15 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  | -10.97 | -10.88 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 39,432$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 37 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $88.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $4.5 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $4.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.8 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.6 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 264 | 278 | 47 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 34 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 246 | 237 | 2 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 47 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 267 | 260 | 15 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 34 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 220 | 217 | 25 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 33 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.6 | 20.9 | 17 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 75 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 1.89 | 0.48 | 18 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1169 | 1026 | 8 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 9 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 1.92 | 2.70 | 28 |

## Funding

 \% from Federal 9.10

| \% from State and <br> Local Sources | 90.9 |
| :---: | ---: |
| National Rank | 23 |

## Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 25
Number of Charter School Students 1,950

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8.028$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 36 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 55.36 | 77.37 | 43 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 14.4 | 15.5 | 19 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  |  |  |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | -6.49 | -10.88 | 36 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $76.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $8.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $11.0 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $2.3 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $1.4 \%$ |



# 47 <br> Louisiana <br> National Rank of Academic Achievement 

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 268 | 278 | 45 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 16 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 230 | 237 | 47 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 24 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 253 | 260 | 45 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 20 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 209 | 217 | 44 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 20 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 19.8 | 20.9 | 48 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 87 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 2.06 | 0.48 | 12 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1125 | 1026 | 12 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 8 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 5.53 | 2.70 | 11 |

## Funding


\% from Federal
Government 13.21
\% from State and Local Sources 86.79 National Rank 8

## Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 21
Number of Charter School Students 6,685

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 7,583$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 40 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 71.85 | 77.37 | 35 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 14.4 | 15.5 | 19 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -22.16 | -10.88 | 4 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 37,918$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 46 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.
Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $48.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $47.7 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.3 \%$ |
| $\square$ American Indian/Alaskan | $0.7 \%$ |

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 281 | 278 | 24 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 30 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 241 | 237 | 18 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 39 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 270 | 260 | 2 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 38 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 225 | 217 | 8 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 36 | 30 |  |

## Funding



| $\square$\% from Federal <br> Government | 8.95 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\square$\% from State and <br> Local Sources | 91.05 |
| National Rank | 26 |

## Charter Schools fall 2005

| Number of Charter Schools | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Charter <br> School Students | 0 |


| Educational Inputs | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | \$10,294 | \$9,052 | 12 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 140.77 | 77.37 | 1 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 11.5 | 15.5 | 2 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -25.81 | -10.88 | 3 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | \$39,864 | \$44,133 | 35 |



| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 278 | 278 | 30 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 30 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 238 | 237 | 29 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 38 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 261 | 260 | 29 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 30 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 220 | 217 | 26 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 32 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 20.8 | 20.9 | 34 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 12 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 37 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1026 | 1026 | 34 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 68 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 2.29 | 2.70 | 26 |

## Funding


\% from Federal
6.72
\% from State and Local Sources 93.28

National Rank
41

## Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 15
Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 9,944$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 15 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 73.39 | 77.37 | 34 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 15.8 | 15.5 | 34 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -7.60 | -10.88 | 31 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 50,261$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 12 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $50.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $37.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $6.4 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $4.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ American Indian/Alaskan | $0.4 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 292 | 278 | 1 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 43 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 247 | 237 | 1 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 49 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 274 | 260 | 1 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 44 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 231 | 217 | 1 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 44 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 22.4 | 20.9 | 7 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 12 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 4.19 | 0.48 | 5 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1041 | 1026 | 29 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 85 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 3.89 | 2.70 | 13 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 5.98
\% from State and Local Sources
94.02

National Rank 47
Charter Schools fall 2005
Number of Charter Schools 57
Number of Charter School Students

20,555

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 10,926$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 8 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 85.86 | 77.37 | 22 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 13.6 | 15.5 | 10 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  |  |  |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | -5.56 | -10.88 | 40 |

*In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $74.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $8.8 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $11.5 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $4.7 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.3 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 277 | 278 | 32 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 30 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 238 | 237 | 30 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 37 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 261 | 260 | 31 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 28 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 218 | 217 | 30 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.4 | 20.9 | 25 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 68 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 1.90 | 0.48 | 14 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1136 | 1026 | 10 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 11 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 6.17 | 2.70 | 9 |

## Funding


\% from Federal 7.84
\% from State and Local Sources 92.16

National Rank

## Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 233
Number of Charter School Students

86,874

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 10,595$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 10 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 75.07 | 77.37 | 29 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 18.1 | 15.5 | 45 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  |  |  |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | -5.73 | -10.88 | 39 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $72.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $20.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $4.1 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $2.2 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $1.0 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 290 | 278 | 2 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 43 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 246 | 237 | 2 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 47 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 268 | 260 | 9 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 37 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 225 | 217 | 7 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 38 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 22.2 | 20.9 | 9 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 66 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 1.83 | 0.48 | 20 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1180 | 1026 | 6 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 10 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 3.51 | 2.70 | 15 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 5.93
\% from State and Local Sources
94.07

National Rank 48
Charter Schools fall 2005
Number of Charter Schools 126
Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 9,922$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 16 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 82.24 | 77.37 | 23 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  | 16.3 | 15.5 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | -6.32 | -10.88 | 37 |
|  | $\$ 45,375$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 20 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $80.2 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $7.8 \%$ |  |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $4.6 \%$ |  |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $5.4 \%$ |  |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan | $2.1 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 262 | 278 | 50 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 13 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 227 | 237 | 48 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 19 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 251 | 260 | 47 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 19 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 204 | 217 | 50 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 18 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 18.8 | 20.9 | 50 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 91 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 35 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1109 | 1026 | 18 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 5 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 2.69 | 2.70 | 21 |

## Funding

 \% from Federal
Government 15.41

\% from State and
Local Sources
84.59 National Rank 3

## Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 1
Number of Charter School Students

|  | Educational Inputs | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | national RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expenditures per Pupil | \$6,255 | \$9,052 | 50 |
|  | \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 76.36 | 77.37 | 28 |
|  | Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 15.1 | 15.5 | 25 |
|  | \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -20.53 | -10.88 | 9 |
|  | Average Salary of Instructional Staff | \$35,684 | \$44,133 | 48 |



| Educational Outputs |  | $2005$ <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 276 | 278 | 33 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 26 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 235 | 237 | 35 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 265 | 260 | 21 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 221 | 217 | 24 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 32 | 30 |  |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 8.04

## ACT Scores

SAT Scores

|  | 2004 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composite Score | 21.5 | 20.9 | 20 |
| \% of Graduates Take ACT | 70 | 40 |  |
| \% Change in Cumulative <br> ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 1.42 | 0.48 | 27 |
| Composite Score | 1172 | 1026 | 7 |
| \% of Graduates Take SAT | 8 | 48 |  |
| \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 9.33 | 2.70 | 4 |

Charter Schools

FALL 2005

| Number of Charter Schools | 26 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of Charter <br> School Students | 10,780 |


| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8,521$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 29 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 106.75 | 77.37 | 7 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio | 13.9 | 15.5 | 17 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | -15.24 | -10.88 | 15 |
|  | $\$ 38,006$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 45 |



## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $77.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $18.0 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $2.6 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.4 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.4 \%$ |





## Nevada

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 270 | 278 | 42 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 21 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 230 | 237 | 46 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 26 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 253 | 260 | 44 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 22 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 207 | 217 | 48 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 21 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.2 | 20.9 | 30 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 33 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 40 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1021 | 1026 | 36 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 40 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | -3.04 | 2.70 | 45 |

## Funding


\% from Federal
Government $\quad 7.05$
\% from State and
Local Sources 92.95
National Rank 38

Charter Schools fall 2005
Number of Charter Schools 20
Number of Charter School Students

6,672

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 7,378$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 41 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 64.49 | 77.37 | 38 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 19.0 | 15.5 | 46 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  |  |  |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | -6.86 | -10.88 | 34 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $50.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $10.7 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $30.2 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $6.7 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $1.7 \%$ |

## New Hampshire

| Educational Outputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 285 | 278 | 9 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 35 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 246 | 237 | 2 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 47 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 270 | 260 | 2 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 38 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 227 | 217 | 2 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 39 | 30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 5.21
\% from State and
Local Sources $\quad 94.79$
National Rank 50
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 6
Number of Charter School Students 517

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 9,656$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 18 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 106.31 | 77.37 | 8 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 13.7 | 15.5 | 14 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -13.84 | -10.88 | 16 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 42,689$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 25 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $94.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $1.4 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $2.4 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.7 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.3 \%$ |

New Jersey

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL NATIONAL AVERAGE RANK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 284 | 278 10 |  |  |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 36 | 29 |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 244 | 237 5 |  | - |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 46 | 35 |  | - |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 269 | 260 5 |  |  |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 37 | 29 |  |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 223 | 217 12 |  | - |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 38 | 30 | Funding | - |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL NATIONAL AVERAGE RANK | \% from F Govern | deral 4.26 |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.2 | $20.9 \quad 30$ | \% from S | ate and |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 6 | 40 | Local S | urces $\quad 95.74$ |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> ACT Scores 1994-2004 |  |  | National | ank 51 |
|  |  | 1.44 | 0.48 26 |  |  |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1015 | 102638 | Charter | ChOOlS FALL 2005 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 83 | 48 | Number of Ch | arter Schools 52 |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | $3.78$ | $2.70 \quad 14$ | Number of Ch School Stud | arter  <br> nts 14,440 |
|  |  | ucation | al Inputs | $2005$ <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL NATIONAL AVERAGE RANK |
|  |  | enditures per | Pupil | \$13,673 | \$9,052 2 |
|  |  | hange in Ex | penditures per Pupil* | 95.44 | $77.37 \quad 12$ |
|  |  | il/Teacher R | atio | 12.7 | $15.5 \quad 4$ |
|  |  | hange in Pup | pil-Teacher Ratio* | -13.61 | -10.88 18 |
|  | Ave | age Salary of | Instructional Staff | \$55,592 | \$44,133 4 |



## New Mexico

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 263 | 278 | 48 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 14 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 224 | 237 | 50 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 19 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 251 | 260 | 47 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 19 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 207 | 217 | 48 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 21 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 20.1 | 20.9 | 46 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 61 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 36 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1097 | 1026 | 20 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 14 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | -0.90 | 2.70 | 42 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 14.99
\% from State and Local Sources 85.01

National Rank 5
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 51
Number of Charter School Students

9,888

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8,351$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 32 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 70.62 | 77.37 | 36 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 15.0 | 15.5 | 23 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -21.05 | -10.88 | 8 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 38,067$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 44 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $32.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $2.4 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $52.5 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.2 \%$ |
| $\square$ American Indian/Alaskan | $11.2 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | 2005 AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 280 | 278 | 27 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 239 | 237 | 26 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 36 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 265 | 260 | 20 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 33 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 223 | 217 | 16 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 34 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 22.3 | 20.9 | 8 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 16 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 3.24 | 0.48 | 7 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1007 | 1026 | 39 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 87 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | -0.10 | 2.70 | 40 |

## Funding



| \% from Federal |
| :---: |
| Government |


| \% from State and |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Local Sources | 93.02 |
| National Rank | 39 |

## Charter Schools <br> FALL 2005

Number of Charter Schools 79
Number of Charter School Students

12,468

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 13,672$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 3 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 79.94 | 77.37 | 24 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 13.3 | 15.5 | 7 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  | -13.64 | -10.88 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 55,181$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 5 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $53.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $19.7 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $19.4 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $6.6 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.5 \%$ |

## North Carolina

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 282 | 278 | 16 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 32 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 241 | 237 | 16 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 40 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 258 | 260 | 36 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 27 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 217 | 217 | 32 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 30 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 20.3 | 20.9 | 40 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 15 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 4.64 | 0.48 | 3 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1006 | 1026 | 41 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 70 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 8.76 | 2.70 | 6 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government9.59

\% from State and
Local Sources
90.41

National Rank $\quad 21$
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 100
Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 7,222$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 42 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil | 92.79 | 77.37 | 13 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 15.1 | 15.5 | 25 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio | -19.25 | -10.88 | 10 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 43,211$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 23 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Black |
| $\square$ Hispanic |
| Asian/Pacific Islander |
| American Indian/Alaskan |

## North Dakota

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 287 | 278 | 5 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 35 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 243 | 237 | 8 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 41 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 270 | 260 | 4 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 37 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 225 | 217 | 10 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 35 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.2 | 20.9 | 30 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 81 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 41 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1183 | 1026 | 3 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 5 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 2.34 | 2.70 | 25 |

## Funding

 \% from Federal
Government 15.27

\% from State and
Local Sources
84.73 National Rank 4

## Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 0

Number of Charter School Students 0

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 7,846$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 37 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 55.95 | 77.37 | 42 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  | 12.7 | 15.5 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | -16.99 | -10.88 | 11 |

*In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $88.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Black | $1.2 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $1.4 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $0.8 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $8.5 \%$ |

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | national RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 283 | 278 | 14 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 34 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 242 | 237 | 9 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 43 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 267 | 260 | 12 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 36 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 223 | 217 | 14 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 35 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{2004}{\text { AVERAGES }}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.4 | 20.9 | 25 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 66 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.94 | 0.48 | 31 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1080 | 1026 | 22 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 28 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 1.50 | 2.70 | 32 |

## Funding



| $\square$\% from Federal <br> Government | 6.43 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\square$\% from State and <br> Local Sources | 93.57 |
| National Rank | 45 |

## Charter Schools <br> FALL 2005

Number of Charter Schools 277
Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 10,107$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 14 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 117.00 | 77.37 | 4 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 15.2 | 15.5 | 28 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -16.02 | -10.88 | 13 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 47,482$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 15 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $79.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $17.0 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.3 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.1 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 271 | 278 | 41 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 20 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 234 | 237 | 37 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 27 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 260 | 260 | 33 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 25 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 214 | 217 | 39 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 26 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 20.6 | 20.9 | 35 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 69 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 1.48 | 0.48 | 25 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1135 | 1026 | 11 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 7 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 2.53 | 2.70 | 23 |

## Funding

 $\begin{array}{cc}\begin{array}{c}\text { \% from Federal } \\ \text { Government }\end{array} & 12.70\end{array}$
\% from State and Local Sources 87.30 National Rank 9

## Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 13
Number of Charter School Students

3,866

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 6,536$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 49 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 33.92 | 77.37 | 50 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 16.0 | 15.5 | 35 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  |  |  |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | -5.33 | -10.88 | 41 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $61.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $10.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $7.6 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.5 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $18.5 \%$ |

14

| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 282 | 278 | 15 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 33 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 238 | 237 | 30 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 37 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 263 | 260 | 27 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 33 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 217 | 217 | 32 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 30 | 30 |  |
|  |  | 2004 AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 22.5 | 20.9 | 3 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 12 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 42 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1055 | 1026 | 26 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 56 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 4.15 | 2.70 | 12 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 9.05
\% from State and
Local Sources 90.95
National Rank 24
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 62
Number of Charter School Students 9,616

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8,690$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 26 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 48.17 | 77.37 | 48 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  | 20.6 | 15.5 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | 12.57 | -10.88 | 50 |
|  | $\$ 49,169$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 14 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $76.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $3.1 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $13.6 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $4.4 \%$ |
| $\square$ American Indian/Alaskan | $2.3 \%$ |




# Rhode Island <br> National Rank of Academic Achievement 

| Educational Outputs |  | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 272 | 278 | 37 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 23 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 233 | 237 | 39 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 261 | 260 | 30 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 29 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 216 | 217 | 35 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 30 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.9 | 20.9 | 12 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 7 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 5.80 | 0.48 | 1 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1005 | 1026 | 43 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 72 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 0.90 | 2.70 | 35 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 6.51
\% from State and Local Sources
National Rank 43
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 11
Number of Charter School Students

2,398

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 10,772$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 9 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 74.94 | 77.37 | 30 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 13.4 | 15.5 | 9 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -11.26 | -10.88 | 24 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 52,261$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 9 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $71.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $8.5 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $16.4 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $3.2 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.6 \%$ |



| Educational Outputs |  | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 287 | 278 | 4 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 36 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 242 | 237 | 12 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 40 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 269 | 260 | 8 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 35 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 222 | 217 | 18 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 33 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 21.5 | 20.9 | 20 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 75 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 1.90 | 0.48 | 16 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1191 | 1026 | 2 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 5 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 1.45 | 2.70 | 33 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 15.69
\% from State and Local Sources
National Rank 2
Charter Schools
FALL 2005

| Number of Charter Schools | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Charter <br> School Students | 0 |


| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 7,780$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 38 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 77.17 | 77.37 | 27 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 13.6 | 15.5 | 10 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -12.82 | -10.88 | 20 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 33,236$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 51 |

*In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $84.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.0 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $10.7 \%$ |

Tennessee
National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 271 | 278 | 40 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 21 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 232 | 237 | 40 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 28 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 259 | 260 | 35 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 26 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 214 | 217 | 38 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 27 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 20.5 | 20.9 | 36 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 87 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 1.49 | 0.48 | 24 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1124 | 1026 | 13 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 16 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 3.12 | 2.70 | 16 |

## Funding



> Government 10.03
\% from State and
Local Sources 89.97
National Rank 16

Charter Schools fall 2005
Number of Charter Schools 12
Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 6,787$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 46 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 91.14 | 77.37 | 14 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  |  |  |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | 15.7 | 15.5 | 32 |

*In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $70.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $25.0 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $2.8 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $1.3 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.2 \%$ |

# Texas 

National Rank of Academic Achievement

| Educational Outputs |  | $2005$ <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 281 | 278 | 21 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 31 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 242 | 237 | 12 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 40 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 258 | 260 | 37 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 26 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 219 | 217 | 29 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 29 | 30 |  |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 9.88
\% from State and Local Sources 90.12

National Rank 17
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 259
Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8,182$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 34 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $38.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $14.3 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $43.8 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $2.9 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.3 \%$ |




| Educational Outputs |  | $\stackrel{2005}{\text { AVERAGES }}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 284 | 278 | 13 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 33 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 240 | 237 | 20 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 40 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 268 | 260 | 10 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 35 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 226 | 217 | 5 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 37 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 20.9 | 20.9 | 33 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 13 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative ACT Scores 1994-2004 | -1.88 | 0.48 | 49 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1024 | 1026 | 35 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 71 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | 2.71 | 2.70 | 20 |

## Funding



| \% from Federal <br> Government | 6.60 |
| :---: | ---: |
| \% from State and <br> Local Sources | 93.40 |
| National Rank | 42 |

## Charter Schools

FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 5

Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8,675$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 27 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil |  |  |  |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 87.65 | 77.37 | 19 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  |  |  |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | 13.2 | 15.5 | 6 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.
Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $61.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $26.8 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $6.6 \%$ |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $4.7 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $0.5 \%$ |


| Educational Outputs |  | $2005$ <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics | 285 | 278 | 7 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 36 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Mathematics | 242 | 237 | 11 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 42 | 35 |  |
|  | Grade 8 Reading | 265 | 260 | 19 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 34 | 29 |  |
|  | Grade 4 Reading | 223 | 217 | 14 |
|  | \% Above Proficiency | 35 | 30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { AVERAGES } \end{gathered}$ | NATIONAL AVERAGE | NATIONAL RANK |
| ACT Scores | Composite Score | 22.5 | 20.9 | 3 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take ACT | 15 | 40 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative <br> ACT Scores 1994-2004 | 0.90 | 0.48 | 34 |
| SAT Scores | Composite Score | 1059 | 1026 | 25 |
|  | \% of Graduates Take SAT | 52 | 48 |  |
|  | \% Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | -4.34 | 2.70 | 48 |

## Funding


\% from Federal Government 8.96
\% from State and Local Sources
91.04

National Rank 25
Charter Schools
FALL 2005
Number of Charter Schools 0
Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 8,424$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 31 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{\star}$ | 51.95 | 77.37 | 46 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 19.3 | 15.5 | 47 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio |  | -5.85 | -10.88 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 45,434$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 19 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $71.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $5.7 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $12.3 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $7.9 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $2.7 \%$ |

West Virginia



| Educational Outputs |
| :--- |
| NAEP Scores | Grade 8 Mathematics $\quad$| 2005 |
| :---: |
| AVERAGES | | NATIONAL |
| :---: |
| AVERAGE | NATIONAL | RANK |
| :---: |

## Funding



| \% from Federal |
| :---: |
| Government | 6.06

\% from State and
Local Sources 93.94

National Rank 46
Charter Schools fall 2005
Number of Charter Schools 188
Number of Charter School Students

| Educational Inputs | 2005 <br> AVERAGES | NATIONAL <br> AVERAGE | NATIONAL <br> RANK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expenditures per Pupil | $\$ 9,899$ | $\$ 9,052$ | 17 |
| \% Change in Expenditures per Pupil ${ }^{*}$ | 79.78 | 77.37 | 25 |
| Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 15.1 | 15.5 | 25 |
| \% Change in Pupil-Teacher Ratio* | -7.36 | -10.88 | 32 |
| Average Salary of Instructional Staff | $\$ 42,882$ | $\$ 44,133$ | 24 |

${ }^{*}$ In the period between the 1983-84 school year and the 2003-2004 school year.

## Student Demographics

| $\square$ White | $78.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Black | $10.5 \%$ |
| $\square$ Hispanic | $5.8 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $3.4 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $1.4 \%$ |




## CHAPTER ONE

## Measures of Educational Inputs

## CHAPTER ONE

Over the past twenty-five years, the major push for improving student achievement has centered on supplementing the "input" side of the educational equation. By focusing on such factors as dollars spent per student, teacher salaries, class size, and number of schools, policy makers hoped to increase test scores by increasing the resources being placed into the system. This was especially clear throughout the 1990's, when booming state economies led to an educational spending frenzy in an attempt to raise stagnant student achievement.

This "spend more $=$ get more" mentality has certainly been whole-heartedly adopted by teachers' unions and those organizations which make up the educational establishment. However, as a nation we have been pouring ever increasing amounts of money into the input side of the equation and, as will be explored further in the next chapter, we have very little to show for it in regards to improved student performance. Interestingly, states have refused to rein in their educational spending in accord with the push for greater accountability, and in fact many have continued to increase spending.

This chapter presents these measures of educational inputs precisely because they are easy to collect and lawmakers are used to thinking of their commitment to education in these terms. Lawmakers, however, must recognize that there are other important factors that significantly impact the ultimate success of students, including parental involvement in a student's activities, the level of dedication of teachers, and school-by-school autonomy in setting curricula. These "institutional" factors may be difficult to measure, but are vitally important to the educational achievement of students.

## Chapter "Fast Facts"

- Nationwide, the pupil-to-teacher ratio has fallen 10.9 percent over the last 20 years. Specifically, the ratio has dropped from 17.4 pupils per teacher in 1983-84, to 15.5 in the 2003-04 school year (See Table 1.1).

■ Vermont had the smallest pupil-per-teacher ratio (11.3:1) just ahead of Main (11.5:1). The next closest state was Alabama (12.6:1).

■ Alabama experienced the largest decline, a 36.4 percent reduction in pupil-per-teacher ratio, from 1983-84 to 200304. The next closest state was Hawaii which experienced a 27 percent reduction in their pupil-per-teacher ratio.

■ Oregon ( 12.6 percent), Alaska (3 percent), and Florida ( 2.3 percent) were the only states to experience a growth in the pupil-per-teacher ratio from 1983-84 to 2003-04. Not surprisingly in the 2003-04 school year, Oregon (20.6:1), Alaska (17.2:1), and Florida (17.9:1) ranked $48^{\text {th }}, 42^{\text {nd }}$, and $43^{\text {rd }}$ respectively in pupil-per-teacher ratio nationally.

■ During the 2003-2004 school year there were 3,048,549 public elementary and secondary teachers. There were an additional 874,849 instructional staff members consisting of principals, supervisors and other non-supervisory instructional staff (See Table 1.2).

- The amount of money spent on public primary and secondary education during the 2003-2004 school year was $\$ 443,198,760,000$ (See Table 1.4). The federal government provided $\$ 37,515,909,000$, or 8.5 percent of total revenues (See Table 1.3).
- The amount spent per pupil has grown significantly over the past twenty years, from $\$ 5,103$ in 1983-84, to $\$ 7,593$ in 1993-94, and to \$9,052 in 2003-2004 (constant 2003-04 dollars, see Table 1.7). This is an increase of 77.4 percent per pupil (See Table 1.8).

■ The District of Columbia spent the most per student ( $\$ 14,190$ ) in the 2003-04 school year, followed by New Jersey (\$13,673), New York (\$13,672), and Connecticut (\$12,426).

- States spending the least per student were Utah $(\$ 5,853)$, Mississippi $(\$ 6,255)$ and Oklahoma $(\$ 6,536)$.

TABLE 1.1
Pupil Teacher Ratio, Ranked by 2003-2004 Figures

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Statistics of Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, various years, and Common Core of Data Surveys.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2003- \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | Rank | $\begin{aligned} & 1998- \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | Rank | $\begin{aligned} & 1993- \\ & 1994 \end{aligned}$ | Rank | $\begin{aligned} & 1983- \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | Rank | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { change } \\ & 1983-84 \text { to } \\ & 2003-04 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 15.5 |  | 16.1 |  | 16.9 |  | 17.4 |  | -10.88\% |
| Vermont | 11.3 | 1 | 12.8 | 1 | 14.0 | 3 | 14.4 | 4 | -21.53\% |
| Maine | 11.5 | 2 | 13.3 | 2 | 14.1 | 4 | 15.5 | 13 | -25.81\% |
| Alabama | 12.6 | 3 | 15.7 | 25 | 17.1 | 28 | 19.8 | 44 | -36.36\% |
| New Jersey | 12.7 | 4 | 13.8 | 3 | 13.6 | 2 | 14.7 | 6 | -13.61\% |
| North Dakota | 12.7 | 4 | 14.4 | 13 | 15.4 | 14 | 15.3 | 9 | -16.99\% |
| Virginia | 13.2 | 6 | 14.2 | 8 | 14.8 | 7 | 16.8 | 23 | -21.43\% |
| New York | 13.3 | 7 | 14.6 | 15 | 15.2 | 13 | 15.4 | 11 | -13.64\% |
| Wyoming | 13.3 | 7 | 14.2 | 8 | 15.4 | 14 | 14.0 | 2 | -5.00\% |
| Rhode Island | 13.4 | 9 | 13.9 | 5 | 14.8 | 7 | 15.1 | 7 | -11.26\% |
| Connecticut | 13.6 | 10 | 14.0 | 7 | 14.4 | 5 | 13.7 | 1 | -0.73\% |
| Massachusetts | 13.6 | 10 | 13.8 | 3 | 14.9 | 9 | 14.4 | 4 | -5.56\% |
| Nebraska | 13.6 | 10 | 14.3 | 11 | 14.5 | 6 | 15.1 | 7 | -9.93\% |
| South Dakota | 13.6 | 10 | 14.3 | 11 | 14.9 | 9 | 15.6 | 15 | -12.82\% |
| New Hampshire | 13.7 | 14 | 15.4 | 22 | 15.5 | 16 | 15.9 | 17 | -13.84\% |
| District of Columbia | 13.8 | 15 | 13.9 | 5 | 13.3 | 1 | 14.3 | 3 | -3.50\% |
| Iowa | 13.8 | 15 | 15.2 | 18 | 15.8 | 18 | 15.5 | 13 | -10.97\% |
| Missouri | 13.9 | 17 | 14.6 | 15 | 15.8 | 18 | 16.4 | 21 | -15.24\% |
| West Virginia | 14.0 | 18 | 14.2 | 8 | 14.9 | 9 | 15.3 | 9 | -8.50\% |
| Kansas | 14.4 | 19 | 14.8 | 17 | 15.1 | 12 | 15.4 | 11 | -6.49\% |
| Louisiana | 14.4 | 19 | 15.6 | 24 | 17.1 | 28 | 18.5 | 37 | -22.16\% |
| Montana | 14.4 | 19 | 15.7 | 25 | 16.4 | 23 | 15.6 | 15 | -7.69\% |
| Arkansas | 14.7 | 22 | 16.2 | 33 | 17.1 | 28 | 17.5 | 30 | -16.00\% |
| New Mexico | 15.0 | 23 | 16.5 | 36 | 17.5 | 34 | 19.0 | 41 | -21.05\% |
| Texas | 15.0 | 23 | 15.2 | 18 | 16.0 | 20 | 17.2 | 26 | -12.79\% |
| Mississippi | 15.1 | 25 | 16.1 | 31 | 17.8 | 39 | 19.0 | 41 | -20.53\% |
| North Carolina | 15.1 | 25 | 15.8 | 28 | 16.3 | 22 | 18.7 | 39 | -19.25\% |
| Wisconsin | 15.1 | 25 | 14.4 | 13 | 16.0 | 20 | 16.3 | 19 | -7.36\% |
| Delaware | 15.2 | 28 | 16.0 | 30 | 16.5 | 24 | 16.0 | 18 | -5.00\% |
| Ohio | 15.2 | 28 | 16.2 | 33 | 16.8 | 27 | 18.1 | 32 | -16.02\% |
| Pennsylvania | 15.2 | 28 | 16.4 | 35 | 17.2 | 32 | 16.3 | 19 | -6.75\% |
| South Carolina | 15.3 | 31 | 15.2 | 18 | 16.7 | 25 | 17.3 | 27 | -11.56\% |
| Georgia | 15.7 | 32 | 15.8 | 28 | 16.7 | 25 | 18.9 | 40 | -16.93\% |
| Tennessee | 15.7 | 32 | 15.3 | 21 | 18.8 | 44 | 19.9 | 45 | -21.11\% |
| Maryland | 15.8 | 34 | 16.9 | 39 | 17.5 | 34 | 17.1 | 25 | -7.60\% |
| Oklahoma | 16.0 | 35 | 15.4 | 22 | 15.5 | 16 | 16.9 | 24 | -5.33\% |
| Kentucky | 16.1 | 36 | 16.1 | 31 | 17.6 | 38 | 18.6 | 38 | -13.44\% |
| Minnesota | 16.3 | 37 | 15.7 | 25 | 17.3 | 33 | 17.4 | 28 | -6.32\% |
| Hawaii | 16.5 | 38 | 17.7 | 41 | 17.8 | 39 | 22.6 | 49 | -26.99\% |
| Illinois | 16.5 | 39 | 16.5 | 36 | 17.1 | 28 | 17.4 | 28 | -5.17\% |
| Colorado | 16.9 | 40 | 17.7 | 41 | 18.6 | 42 | 18.2 | 33 | -7.14\% |
| Indiana | 16.9 | 40 | 17.0 | 40 | 17.5 | 34 | 18.3 | 34 | -7.65\% |
| Alaska | 17.2 | 42 | 16.7 | 38 | 17.5 | 34 | 16.7 | 22 | 2.99\% |
| Florida | 17.9 | 43 | 18.4 | 44 | 18.4 | 41 | 17.5 | 30 | 2.29\% |
| Idaho | 17.9 | 43 | 18.2 | 43 | 19.7 | 47 | 20.4 | 46 | -12.25\% |
| Michigan | 18.1 | 45 | 18.5 | 45 | 19.9 | 48 | 19.2 | 43 | -5.73\% |
| Nevada | 19.0 | 46 | 18.9 | 46 | 18.7 | 43 | 20.4 | 46 | -6.86\% |
| Washington | 19.3 | 47 | 20.1 | 49 | 20.1 | 49 | 20.5 | 48 | -5.85\% |
| Oregon | 20.6 | 48 | 20.0 | 47 | 19.5 | 46 | 18.3 | 34 | 12.57\% |
| California | 21.1 | 49 | 21.0 | 50 | 24.0 | 50 | 23.0 | 50 | -8.26\% |
| Arizona | 21.3 | 50 | 20.0 | 47 | 18.9 | 45 | 18.4 | 36 | 15.76\% |
| Utah | 22.4 | 51 | 22.4 | 51 | 24.7 | 51 | 23.4 | 51 | -4.27\% |

2003-2004

## TABLE 1.2

Instructional Staff in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools
(1) Includes principals, supervisors, and other nonsupervisory instructional staff.
Note: Total teachers in each state may not add to detail due to rounding, missing detail, or duplicate reporting in the detail.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Common Core of Data Surveys.

|  | Elementary Teachers | Secondary Teachers | Total Teachers | Total Instructional Staff (1) | Teachers as a \% of Instructional Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 1,795,472 | 1,238,251 | 3,048,549 | 3,923,398 | 77.70\% |
| Alabama | 37,553 | 19,918 | 57,471 | 68,460 | 83.95\% |
| Alaska | 5,447 | 2,329 | 7,776 | 10,761 | 72.26\% |
| Arizona | 33,838 | 13,459 | 47,297 | 63,368 | 74.64\% |
| Arkansas | 12,973 | 15,499 | 28,472 | 39,672 | 71.77\% |
| California | 209,794 | 78,462 | 288,256 | 393,441 | 73.27\% |
| Colorado | 22,056 | 22,219 | 44,275 | 58,465 | 75.73\% |
| Connecticut | 24,601 | 13,036 | 37,637 | 56,497 | 66.62\% |
| Delaware | 3,813 | 3,902 | 7,715 | 9,668 | 79.80\% |
| DC | 3,138 | 1,856 | 4,994 | 7,421 | 67.30\% |
| Florida | 62,168 | 57,139 | 119,307 | 182,213 | 65.48\% |
| Georgia | 54,096 | 40,793 | 94,889 | 127,700 | 74.31\% |
| Hawaii | 5,596 | 5,281 | 10,877 | 14,784 | 73.57\% |
| Idaho | 7,140 | 6,777 | 13,917 | 17,680 | 78.72\% |
| Illinois | 73,702 | 32,641 | 106,343 | 168,226 | 63.21\% |
| Indiana | 30,881 | 25,807 | 56,688 | 82,860 | 68.41\% |
| Iowa | 18,757 | 11,353 | 30,110 | 46,469 | 64.80\% |
| Kansas | 14,004 | 14,655 | 28,659 | 41,501 | 69.06\% |
| Kentucky | 16,780 | 16,118 | 32,898 | 58,374 | 56.36\% |
| Louisiana | 34,550 | 15,083 | 49,633 | 65,974 | 75.23\% |
| Maine | 11,782 | 5,560 | 17,342 | 24,837 | 69.82\% |
| Maryland | 32,167 | 22,447 | 54,614 | 69,362 | 78.74\% |
| Massachusetts | 27,893 | 31,825 | 59,718 | 95,115 | 62.79\% |
| Michigan | 66,774 | 22,915 | 89,689 | 130,578 | 68.69\% |
| Minnesota | 25,152 | 25,563 | 50,715 | 68,904 | 73.60\% |
| Mississippi | 14,595 | 13,385 | 27,980 | 43,622 | 64.14\% |
| Missouri | 31,995 | 31,606 | 63,601 | 80,071 | 79.43\% |
| Montana | 6,710 | 3,432 | 10,142 | 12,857 | 78.88\% |
| Nebraska | 12,622 | 8,000 | 20,622 | 27,068 | 76.19\% |
| Nevada | 9,718 | 7,777 | 17,495 | 24,275 | 72.07\% |
| New Hampshire | 10,265 | 4,721 | 14,986 | 22,214 | 67.46\% |
| New Jersey | 61,119 | 29,623 | 90,742 | 139,470 | 65.06\% |
| New Mexico | 11,970 | 4,926 | 16,896 | 28,531 | 59.22\% |
| New York | 87,440 | 90,061 | 177,501 | 279,445 | 63.52\% |
| North Carolina | 52,536 | 30,946 | 83,482 | 123,469 | 67.61\% |
| North Dakota | 4,664 | 3,249 | 7,913 | 10,377 | 76.26\% |
| Ohio | 81,866 | 38,445 | 120,311 | 147,008 | 81.84\% |
| Oklahoma | 17,404 | 16,717 | 34,121 | 47,482 | 71.86\% |
| Oregon | 11,844 | 9,795 | 21,639 | 37,143 | 58.26\% |
| Pennsylvania | 51,822 | 50,256 | 102,078 | 150,840 | 67.67\% |
| Rhode Island | 8,219 | 2,821 | 11,040 | 15,191 | 72.67\% |
| South Carolina | 31,286 | 13,041 | 44,327 | 52,043 | 85.17\% |
| South Dakota | 5,505 | 2,605 | 8,110 | 13,364 | 60.69\% |
| Tennessee | 42,012 | 16,168 | 58,180 | 80,224 | 72.52\% |
| Texas | 137,058 | 112,426 | 249,484 | 379,081 | 65.81\% |
| Utah | 10,502 | 8,867 | 19,369 | 29,781 | 65.04\% |
| Vermont | 3,353 | 3,554 | 6,907 | 13,716 | 50.36\% |
| Virginia | 39,076 | 50,724 | 89,800 | 111,309 | 80.68\% |
| Washington | 26,256 | 21,569 | 47,825 | 66,168 | 72.28\% |
| West Virginia | 9,709 | 6,729 | 16,438 | 24,512 | 67.06\% |
| Wisconsin | 38,212 | 18,770 | 56,982 | 72,887 | 78.18\% |
| Wyoming | 3,059 | 3,401 | 6,460 | 8,920 | 72.42\% |


| Total Teachers | Total Instructional Staff (1) | Teachers as a \% of Instructional Staff | Total Teachers | $\qquad$ | Teachers as a $\%$ of Instructional Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,503,803 | 3,112,665 | 80.44\% | 2,230,088 | 2,635,251 | 84.63\% |
| 42,905 | 49,067 | 87.44\% | 36,568 | 43,385 | 84.29\% |
| 7,095 | 9,349 | 75.89\% | 6,145 | 7,645 | 80.38\% |
| 37,395 | 42,658 | 87.66\% | 28,801 | 31,986 | 90.04\% |
| 25,916 | 32,710 | 79.23\% | 24,641 | 28,661 | 85.97\% |
| 221,689 | 286,455 | 77.39\% | 189,381 | 233,490 | 81.11\% |
| 33,563 | 40,510 | 82.85\% | 30,401 | 35,089 | 86.64\% |
| 34,428 | 43,030 | 80.01\% | 33,949 | 39,494 | 85.96\% |
| 6,282 | 7,321 | 85.81\% | 5,580 | 7,072 | 78.90\% |
| 5,958 | 7,401 | 80.50\% | 5,681 | 6,873 | 82.66\% |
| 110,555 | 140,565 | 78.65\% | 91,466 | 101,865 | 89.79\% |
| 74,074 | 85,173 | 86.97\% | 57,678 | 65,934 | 87.48\% |
| 10,013 | 11,479 | 87.23\% | 7,088 | 9,879 | 71.75\% |
| 11,909 | 13,801 | 86.29\% | 9,931 | 11,480 | 86.51\% |
| 110,776 | 132,620 | 83.53\% | 104,306 | 126,457 | 82.48\% |
| 55,009 | 70,372 | 78.17\% | 52,566 | 61,450 | 85.54\% |
| 31,518 | 39,272 | 80.26\% | 30,595 | 38,310 | 79.86\% |
| 30,185 | 35,216 | 85.71\% | 26,892 | 31,350 | 85.78\% |
| 37,226 | 48,119 | 77.36\% | 34,154 | 38,874 | 87.86\% |
| 46,815 | 56,982 | 82.16\% | 42,826 | 49,747 | 86.09\% |
| 15,246 | 20,176 | 75.57\% | 13,482 | 16,401 | 82.20\% |
| 44,073 | 53,140 | 82.94\% | 39,156 | 48,595 | 80.58\% |
| 58,668 | 67,760 | 86.58\% | 57,833 | 78,677 | 73.51\% |
| 80,169 | 100,732 | 79.59\% | 82,922 | 101,301 | 81.86\% |
| 46,858 | 55,218 | 84.86\% | 40,655 | 50,282 | 80.85\% |
| 28,278 | 39,892 | 70.89\% | 26,008 | 29,877 | 87.05\% |
| 54,762 | 61,488 | 89.06\% | 48,766 | 56,362 | 86.52\% |
| 9,852 | 12,116 | 81.31\% | 9,625 | 11,634 | 82.73\% |
| 19,518 | 23,482 | 83.12\% | 17,545 | 20,673 | 84.87\% |
| 12,481 | 14,185 | 87.99\% | 7,405 | 8,960 | 82.65\% |
| 11,874 | 14,990 | 79.21\% | 9,952 | 11,803 | 84.32\% |
| 84,466 | 95,981 | 88.00\% | 75,255 | 96,380 | 78.08\% |
| 18,306 | 23,004 | 79.58\% | 14,573 | 16,692 | 87.31\% |
| 179,315 | 206,517 | 86.83\% | 167,837 | 187,554 | 89.49\% |
| 69,323 | 89,525 | 77.43\% | 57,000 | 67,658 | 84.25\% |
| 7,657 | 9,561 | 80.09\% | 7,476 | 8,867 | 84.31\% |
| 107,346 | 119,627 | 89.73\% | 98,691 | 124,662 | 79.17\% |
| 38,933 | 45,570 | 85.44\% | 34,908 | 39,171 | 89.12\% |
| 26,390 | 33,562 | 78.63\% | 24,412 | 30,703 | 79.51\% |
| 101,203 | 119,713 | 84.54\% | 101,890 | 127,928 | 79.65\% |
| 9,725 | 11,587 | 83.93\% | 8,713 | 11,075 | 78.67\% |
| 38,522 | 47,044 | 81.89\% | 34,746 | 39,503 | 87.96\% |
| 9,459 | 10,714 | 88.29\% | 7,878 | 9,201 | 85.62\% |
| 45,968 | 53,508 | 85.91\% | 40,700 | 48,963 | 83.12\% |
| 224,732 | 266,624 | 84.29\% | 185,279 | 205,535 | 90.14\% |
| 18,955 | 23,351 | 81.17\% | 17,249 | 19,481 | 88.54\% |
| 7,232 | 9,896 | 73.08\% | 6,005 | 8,486 | 70.76\% |
| 70,761 | 79,769 | 88.71\% | 58,038 | 67,486 | 86.00\% |
| 45,426 | 53,300 | 85.23\% | 36,862 | 42,858 | 86.00\% |
| 20,931 | 24,835 | 84.28\% | 22,628 | 25,819 | 87.64\% |
| 52,724 | 63,529 | 82.99\% | 46,736 | 56,892 | 82.15\% |
| 6,439 | 8,359 | 77.03\% | 6,064 | 7,581 | 79.99\% |

2003-2004

TABLE 1.3
Revenues for Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, by Source and State, Current Dollars (in thousands)

Source: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Common Core of Data Surveys.

|  |  | Revenues from |  |  |  | Percent from <br> Federal |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total Revenues <br> and Receipts | Rank | Government | Rank | Government Rank | Total Revenues |  |
|  | and Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1993-1994
1983-1984

## Revenues from <br> Federal Government Rank

Percent from Federal \$18,341,483 7.05\%
$\begin{array}{ll}40 & \$ 138,061 \\ 27 & \$ 346,246\end{array}$
$23 \quad \$ 332,091 \quad 18$
$\begin{array}{llll}\$ 176,931 & 31 & 8.78 \% & 15\end{array}$

Revenues from
Federal
Government Rank

Percent from
Federal Government Rank

| 32 | $\$ 176,931$ | 31 | $8.78 \%$ | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

\$10,744,325 8.01\%
$11.91 \% \quad 4 \quad \$ 1,786,942$
$\$ 1,786,942$
$\$ 564,480$
24
\$259,382
14
14.52\% 5

| $\$ 185,835$ | 30 | $5.85 \%$ | 14 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

\$13,812,252 1
\$2,812,252

| 24 | $\$ 185$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $\$ 1$ |
| 48 |  |

TABLE 1.4
Current Expenditures for Public Elementary and Secondary Education
(in thousands)

Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Constant figures expressed in terms of 2003-2004 dollars.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Digest of Education Statistics, 2003; Revenues and Expenditures for Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, various years.

Consumer Price Index (CPI) calculation was taken from the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, MN.

|  |  | Rank on <br> Constant <br> Dollar <br> Expenditures | $:$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Constant Dollars |  |  |${ }^{\text {Nominal Dollars }}$| Constant Dollars |
| :---: |

1983-1984


|  | \$108,274,697 | \$199,225,443 | 122.46\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | \$1,486,521 | \$2,735,199 | 25 | 90.07\% | 34 |
| 28 | \$625,818 | \$1,151,505 | 38 | 37.63\% | 49 |
| 21 | \$1,242,928 | \$2,286,988 | 28 | 196.67\% | 3 |
| 32 | \$801,194 | \$1,474,197 | 34 | 119.43\% | 21 |
| 1 | \$11,050,354 | \$20,332,651 | 1 | 175.33\% | 5 |
| 24 | \$1,605,885 | \$2,954,828 | 22 | 117.61\% | 25 |
| 20 | \$1,711,013 | \$3,148,264 | 21 | 127.82\% | 16 |
| 47 | \$294,222 | \$541,369 | 49 | 144.05\% | 9 |
| 46 | \$340,027 | \$625,650 | 47 | 77.04\% | 43 |
| 4 | \$3,747,760 | \$6,895,878 | 9 | 185.56\% | 4 |
| 10 | \$2,123,586 | \$3,907,398 | 16 | 243.05\% | 2 |
| 40 | \$484,858 | \$892,139 | 39 | 79.72\% | 42 |
| 44 | \$398,996 | \$734,153 | 44 | 132.06\% | 15 |
| 9 | \$5,108,290 | \$9,399,254 | 6 | 114.13\% | 26 |
| 16 | \$2,239,069 | \$4,119,887 | 13 | 118.29\% | 24 |
| 30 | \$1,474,443 | \$2,712,975 | 26 | 52.58\% | 45 |
| 31 | \$1,131,758 | \$2,082,435 | 31 | 81.37\% | 41 |
| 25 | \$1,233,797 | \$2,270,187 | 29 | 102.15\% | 32 |
| 23 | \$1,908,595 | \$3,511,815 | 19 | 57.13\% | 44 |
| 38 | \$484,744 | \$891,929 | 40 | 133.23\% | 13 |
| 18 | \$2,118,972 | \$3,898,909 | 17 | 121.67\% | 19 |
| 13 | \$2,792,653 | \$5,138,482 | 10 | 108.48\% | 28 |
| 7 | \$5,351,620 | \$9,846,981 | 5 | 89.11\% | 35 |
| 17 | \$2,075,572 | \$3,819,053 | 18 | 118.98\% | 22 |
| 33 | \$896,764 | \$1,650,046 | 33 | 87.10\% | 37 |
| 19 | \$1,772,111 | \$3,260,684 | 20 | 136.75\% | 11 |
| 45 | \$456,519 | \$839,995 | 41 | 43.94\% | 47 |
| 36 | \$759,197 | \$1,396,923 | 35 | 87.86\% | 36 |
| 39 | \$364,766 | \$671,169 | 45 | 323.69\% | 1 |
| 42 | \$402,307 | \$740,245 | 43 | 170.55\% | 7 |
| 6 | \$4,340,960 | \$7,987,366 | 8 | 136.37\% | 12 |
| 37 | \$713,599 | \$1,313,022 | 36 | 105.48\% | 30 |
| 2 | \$10,985,481 | \$20,213,285 | 2 | 93.76\% | 33 |
| 15 | \$2,206,325 | \$4,059,638 | 14 | 141.98\% | 10 |
| 51 | \$318,764 | \$586,526 | 48 | 36.76\% | 50 |
| 8 | \$4,600,475 | \$8,464,874 | 7 | 120.34\% | 20 |
| 29 | \$1,560,103 | \$2,870,590 | 24 | 42.57\% | 48 |
| 26 | \$1,417,393 | \$2,608,003 | 27 | 83.69\% | 38 |
| 5 | \$5,506,931 | \$10,132,753 | 4 | 83.46\% | 39 |
| 43 | \$454,062 | \$835,474 | 42 | 105.50\% | 29 |
| 27 | \$1,158,595 | \$2,131,815 | 30 | 173.79\% | 6 |
| 49 | \$292,102 | \$537,468 | 50 | 81.72\% | 40 |
| 22 | \$1,577,915 | \$2,903,364 | 23 | 118.98\% | 23 |
| 3 | \$7,442,159 | \$13,693,573 | 3 | 158.81\% | 8 |
| 35 | \$702,162 | \$1,291,978 | 37 | 124.68\% | 17 |
| 48 | \$267,530 | \$492,255 | 51 | 122.55\% | 18 |
| 11 | \$2,414,130 | \$4,441,999 | 11 | 132.80\% | 14 |
| 12 | \$2,206,231 | \$4,059,465 | 15 | 111.94\% | 27 |
| 34 | \$957,707 | \$1,762,181 | 32 | 44.52\% | 46 |
| 14 | \$2,305,552 | \$4,242,216 | 12 | 105.35\% | 31 |
| 50 | \$362,182 | \$666,415 | 46 | 35.70\% | 51 |


|  |  | TOTAL EXPENDITURES | Current Expenditures for Public Schools | Instruction | Total | Student Support(2) | Instructional Staff(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TABLE 1.5 | United States | \$454,905,783 | \$387,592,494 | \$237,731,734 | \$134,021,897 | \$19,992,229 | \$18,568,413 |
| Total | Alabama | \$5,305,144 | \$4,657,643 | \$2,818,526 | \$1,521,337 | \$222,291 | \$190,115 |
| Expen | Alaska | \$1,609,420 | \$1,326,226 | \$771,237 | \$510,329 | \$65,340 | \$84,263 |
|  | Arizona | \$7,050,421 | \$5,891,105 | \$3,530,858 | \$2,082,411 | \$307,957 | \$149,316 |
| for Public | Arkansas | \$3,304,710 | \$2,923,402 | \$1,786,323 | \$990,294 | \$130,139 | \$150,834 |
| Elementary | California | \$56,542,273 | \$47,983,402 | \$29,170,269 | \$17,017,791 | \$2,156,449 | \$3,129,644 |
| and Secondary | Colorado | \$6,704,415 | \$5,551,506 | \$3,180,392 | \$2,180,040 | \$243,697 | \$269,246 |
|  | Connecticut | \$7,334,520 | \$6,302,988 | \$4,019,659 | \$2,058,828 | \$348,879 | \$217,895 |
| ucation, by | Delaware | \$1,342,095 | \$1,127,746 | \$693,970 | \$381,184 | \$53,596 | \$15,143 |
| Function and | DC | \$1,114,681 | \$902,318 | \$473,414 | \$406,079 | \$82,796 | \$91,583 |
| State | Florida | \$20,161,939 | \$16,355,123 | \$9,616,720 | \$5,938,232 | \$830,144 | \$1,002,208 |
| 2003 | Georgia | \$13,586,716 | \$11,630,577 | \$7,367,694 | \$3,678,590 | \$527,125 | \$624,317 |
| 2003-2004 | Hawaii | \$1,657,915 | \$1,489,092 | \$888,473 | \$521,929 | \$162,114 | \$88,294 |
| (1) Includes expen | Idaho | \$1,739,541 | \$1,511,862 | \$924,975 | \$521,688 | \$85,570 | \$70,355 |
| tures for property and | Illinois | \$20,658,276 | \$17,271,301 | \$10,320,227 | \$6,393,248 | \$1,066,937 | \$694,007 |
| for building and altera- | Indiana | \$9,688,103 | \$8,088,684 | \$4,951,003 | \$2,807,529 | \$356,994 | \$264,105 |
| tions completed by school | Iowa | \$4,203,671 | \$3,652,022 | \$2,174,018 | \$1,210,994 | \$232,374 | \$164,490 |
| district staff of contrac- | Kansas | \$3,910,054 | \$3,510,675 | \$2,078,415 | \$1,269,958 | \$197,924 | \$159,818 |
|  | Kentucky | $\$ 4,687,217$ | $\$ 4,401,627$ | \$2,686,505 | \$1,475,797 | \$177,949 | \$217,296 |
| (2) Includes expenditures | Louisiana | \$5,630,084 | \$5,056,583 | \$3,069,994 | \$1,673,753 | \$214,353 | \$234,756 |
| for health, attendance, and | Maine | \$2,124,554 | \$1,909,268 | \$1,281,073 | \$566,838 | \$64,323 | \$60,128 |
| speech pathology services. | Maryland | \$8,734,564 | \$7,933,055 | \$4,934,017 | \$2,636,403 | \$308,603 | \$460,560 |
|  | Massachusetts | \$11,084,082 | \$10,281,820 | \$6,542,762 | \$3,426,551 | \$615,326 | \$491,849 |
| (3) Includes expenditures | Michigan | \$19,291,044 | \$15,674,698 | \$8,929,871 | \$6,264,837 | \$1,070,029 | \$777,470 |
| for curriculum devel- | Minnesota | \$8,720,326 | \$6,867,403 | \$4,404,702 | \$2,147,923 | \$230,704 | \$374,613 |
| opment, staff training, | Mississippi | \$3,156,153 | \$2,853,531 | \$1,707,392 | \$968,645 | \$123,997 | \$128,418 |
| libraries, and media and computer centers. | Missouri | \$7,953,797 | \$6,793,957 | \$4,142,285 | \$2,358,352 | \$333,196 | \$304,635 |
| computer centers. | Montana | \$1,220,956 | \$1,124,291 | \$690,810 | \$387,437 | \$53,843 | \$43,311 |
|  | Nebraska | \$2,678,767 | \$2,304,223 | \$1,470,002 | \$673,441 | \$96,433 | \$76,788 |
| (4) Includes expenditures | Nevada | \$3,012,227 | \$2,251,044 | \$1,408,570 | \$768,641 | \$83,396 | \$94,306 |
| for operations funded by sales of products or servic- | New Hampshire | \$2,041,865 | \$1,781,594 | \$1,156,573 | \$570,229 | \$117,778 | \$53,942 |
| es (e.g., school bookstore | New Jersey | \$19,168,738 | \$17,185,967 | \$10,152,232 | \$6,504,334 | \$1,555,186 | \$583,395 |
| or computer time). | New Mexico | $\$ 2,734,668$ | $\$ 2,281,608$ | $\$ 1,266,008$ | $\$ 910,138$ | $\$ 231,168$ | $\$ 105,561$ |
|  | New York | $\$ 39,903,445$ | $\$ 34,546,965$ | \$23,721,563 | \$9,989,057 | $\$ 1,112,897$ | $\$ 938,890$ |
| Note: Excludes expenditures for state education agencies. | North Carolina | $\$ 10,104,267$ | $\$ 8,766,968$ | \$5,574,861 | $\$ 2,703,000$ | \$449,437 | \$307,743 |
| for state education agencies. <br> Detail may not sum due | North Dakota | \$810,960 | \$716,007 | \$427,511 | \$232,465 | \$28,697 | \$21,916 |
| to rounding. | Ohio | \$19,000,331 | \$15,868,494 | \$9,110,815 | \$6,232,340 | \$944,733 | \$1,000,770 |
|  | Oklahoma | \$4,144,803 | \$3,804,570 | \$2,203,126 | \$1,349,256 | \$246,657 | \$122,864 |
|  | Oregon | \$4,976,856 | $\$ 4,150,747$ | \$2,458,746 | \$1,550,553 | \$277,037 | $\$ 162,021$ |
| Source: U.S. Department of Education, National Center | Pennsylvania | \$19,350,934 | \$16,344,439 | \$10,095,432 | \$5,609,933 | \$792,337 | \$608,640 |
| for Education Statistics, | Rhode Island | \$1,746,150 | \$1,647,587 | \$1,064,304 | \$540,735 | \$131,530 | \$68,751 |
| Common Core of Data | South Carolina | \$6,028,152 | \$4,888,250 | \$2,915,987 | \$1,711,287 | \$330,343 | \$314,023 |
| Survey (this table was | South Dakota | \$998,417 | \$851,429 | \$498,922 | \$307,100 | \$47,231 | \$43,115 |
| prepared August 2005). | Tennessee | \$6,499,907 | \$5,674,773 | \$3,647,986 | \$1,748,705 | \$200,291 | \$308,643 |
|  | Texas | \$36,903,089 | \$30,399,603 | \$18,347,986 | \$10,516,120 | \$1,477,574 | \$1,722,541 |
|  | Utah | \$2,991,571 | \$2,366,897 | \$1,518,242 | \$714,894 | \$88,038 | \$111,885 |
|  | Vermont | \$1,110,930 | \$1,045,213 | \$671,163 | \$345,762 | \$74,200 | \$37,993 |
|  | Virginia | \$10,487,025 | \$9,208,329 | \$5,661,333 | \$3,184,354 | \$442,175 | \$576,194 |
|  | Washington | \$8,927,605 | \$7,359,566 | \$4,381,186 | \$2,620,468 | \$513,675 | \$332,723 |
|  | West Virginia | \$2,557,190 | \$2,349,833 | \$1,444,689 | \$774,469 | \$81,166 | \$64,886 |
|  | Wisconsin | \$9,300,201 | \$7,934,755 | \$4,904,809 | \$2,775,318 | \$361,288 | \$412,789 |
|  | Wyoming | \$911,017 | \$791,732 | \$474,108 | \$292,306 | \$46,318 | \$39,369 |


| General | School <br> Administration | Other <br> Administration |  <br> Maintenance | Student <br> Transportation | Support <br> Services | Food <br> Services | Enterprise <br> Operations(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Interest |
| :---: |
| Capital |
| On School |
| Outlay(1) |

2003-2004

TABLE 1.6
Expenditures Per Public Elementary and Secondary Schools (Gross Expenditures in Thousands), Real Per Pupil Expenditures

Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Constant figures expressed in terms of 2003-2004 dollars.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Digest of Education Statistics, 2003; Revenues and Expenditures for Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, various years. Consumer Price Index (CPI) calculation was taken from the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, MN.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nominal } \\ & \text { 2003-2004 } \\ & \text { Dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Real Per Pupil Expenditure | Rank on Real per Pupil Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nominal } \\ \text { 1993-1994 } \\ \text { Dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { 1993-1994 } \\ \text { Dollars } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | \$443,198,760 | \$9,052 |  | \$259,878,847 | \$328,746,741 |
| Alabama | \$5,198,828 | \$7,110 | 44 | \$3,097,923 | \$3,918,873 |
| Alaska | \$1,584,856 | \$11,833 | 5 | \$1,105,532 | \$1,398,498 |
| Arizona | \$6,784,859 | \$6,704 | 48 | \$3,639,068 | \$4,603,420 |
| Arkansas | \$3,234,826 | \$7,117 | 43 | \$1,948,473 | \$2,464,818 |
| California | \$55,982,726 | \$8,728 | 25 | \$28,595,624 | \$36,173,464 |
| Colorado | \$6,429,916 | \$8,486 | 30 | \$3,348,352 | \$4,235,666 |
| Connecticut | \$7,172,318 | \$12,426 | 4 | \$4,039,908 | \$5,110,484 |
| Delaware | \$1,321,232 | \$11,229 | 6 | \$715,306 | \$904,862 |
| DC | \$1,107,627 | \$14,190 | 1 | \$742,061 | \$938,707 |
| Florida | \$19,692,042 | \$7,610 | 39 | \$12,489,053 | \$15,798,652 |
| Georgia | \$13,404,487 | \$8,804 | 23 | \$6,600,978 | \$8,350,237 |
| Hawaii | \$1,603,380 | \$8,733 | 24 | \$1,146,278 | \$1,450,041 |
| Idaho | \$1,703,645 | \$6,757 | 47 | \$960,551 | \$1,215,097 |
| Illinois | \$20,126,719 | \$9,580 | 19 | \$10,863,267 | \$13,742,033 |
| Indiana | \$8,993,390 | \$8,894 | 22 | \$5,666,029 | \$7,167,526 |
| Iowa | \$4,139,341 | \$8,602 | 28 | \$2,737,213 | \$3,462,574 |
| Kansas | \$3,776,863 | \$8,028 | 36 | \$2,509,717 | \$3,174,792 |
| Kentucky | \$4,589,137 | \$6,913 | 45 | \$3,129,144 | \$3,958,367 |
| Louisiana | \$5,518,209 | \$7,583 | 40 | \$3,527,356 | \$4,462,106 |
| Maine | \$2,080,208 | \$10,294 | 12 | \$1,294,310 | \$1,637,302 |
| Maryland | \$8,642,876 | \$9,945 | 15 | \$5,192,605 | \$6,568,646 |
| Massachusetts | \$10,712,455 | \$10,926 | 8 | \$5,724,671 | \$7,241,709 |
| Michigan | \$18,621,440 | \$10,595 | 10 | \$11,084,372 | \$14,021,731 |
| Minnesota | \$8,362,995 | \$9,922 | 16 | \$5,215,630 | \$6,597,771 |
| Mississippi | \$3,087,265 | \$6,255 | 50 | \$1,897,611 | \$2,400,478 |
| Missouri | \$7,719,763 | \$8,521 | 29 | \$4,491,647 | \$5,681,933 |
| Montana | \$1,209,094 | \$8,150 | 35 | \$885,818 | \$1,120,560 |
| Nebraska | \$2,624,266 | \$9,191 | 20 | \$1,681,646 | \$2,127,282 |
| Nevada | \$2,843,678 | \$7,379 | 41 | \$1,291,802 | \$1,634,129 |
| New Hampshire | \$2,002,741 | \$9,656 | 18 | \$1,046,407 | \$1,323,705 |
| New Jersey | \$18,879,717 | \$13,674 | 2 | \$11,205,865 | \$14,175,419 |
| New Mexico | \$2,697,964 | \$8,351 | 32 | \$1,495,100 | \$1,891,302 |
| New York | \$39,165,927 | \$13,672 | 3 | \$24,519,334 | \$31,016,958 |
| North Carolina | \$9,823,412 | \$7,222 | 42 | \$5,710,728 | \$7,224,071 |
| North Dakota | \$802,126 | \$7,846 | 37 | \$576,698 | \$729,524 |
| Ohio | \$18,651,737 | \$10,107 | 14 | \$10,917,842 | \$13,811,070 |
| Oklahoma | \$4,092,575 | \$6,536 | 49 | \$2,877,257 | \$3,639,730 |
| Oregon | \$4,790,511 | \$8,690 | 26 | \$3,105,331 | \$3,928,243 |
| Pennsylvania | \$18,590,009 | \$10,208 | 13 | \$12,445,858 | \$15,744,011 |
| Rhode Island | \$1,716,862 | \$10,773 | 9 | \$1,011,703 | \$1,279,804 |
| South Carolina | \$5,836,772 | \$8,348 | 33 | \$3,100,829 | \$3,922,549 |
| South Dakota | \$976,690 | \$7,780 | 38 | \$650,282 | \$822,607 |
| Tennessee | \$6,357,671 | \$6,787 | 46 | \$3,606,842 | \$4,562,655 |
| Texas | \$35,440,327 | \$8,182 | 34 | \$19,383,303 | \$24,519,878 |
| Utah | \$2,902,860 | \$5,853 | 51 | \$1,803,511 | \$2,281,442 |
| Vermont | \$1,095,521 | \$11,054 | 7 | \$708,730 | \$896,544 |
| Virginia | \$10,341,004 | \$8,675 | 27 | \$6,148,453 | \$7,777,793 |
| Washington | \$8,603,651 | \$8,424 | 31 | \$5,759,234 | \$7,285,430 |
| West Virginia | \$2,546,654 | \$9,056 | 21 | \$1,851,061 | \$2,341,592 |
| Wisconsin | \$8,711,256 | \$9,899 | 17 | \$5,724,040 | \$7,240,910 |
| Wyoming | \$904,336 | \$10,340 | 11 | \$608,498 | \$769,749 |

1993-1994
1983-1984

| Real Per Pupil Expenditure | Rank on Real per Pupil Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nominal } \\ \text { 1983-1984 } \\ \text { Dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { 1983-1984 } \\ \text { 1984 Dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Real Per Pupil Expenditure | Rank on Real per Pupil Expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| \$7,593 |  | \$108,274,697 | \$199,225,443 | \$5,103 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$5,358 | 47 | \$1,486,521 | \$2,735,199 | \$3,810 | 42 |
| \$11,148 | 4 | \$625,818 | \$1,151,505 | \$11,789 | 1 |
| \$6,514 | 37 | \$1,242,928 | \$2,286,988 | \$4,538 | 35 |
| \$5,570 | 46 | \$801,194 | \$1,474,197 | \$3,430 | 51 |
| \$6,817 | 33 | \$11,050,354 | \$20,332,651 | \$5,000 | 26 |
| \$6,803 | 34 | \$1,605,885 | \$2,954,828 | \$5,479 | 19 |
| \$10,338 | 5 | \$1,711,013 | \$3,148,264 | \$6,628 | 6 |
| \$8,607 | 11 | \$294,222 | \$541,369 | \$5,955 | 9 |
| \$11,681 | 2 | \$340,027 | \$625,650 | \$7,081 | 3 |
| \$7,772 | 17 | \$3,747,760 | \$6,895,878 | \$4,636 | 32 |
| \$6,786 | 35 | \$2,123,586 | \$3,907,398 | \$3,739 | 44 |
| \$8,069 | 15 | \$484,858 | \$892,139 | \$5,529 | 15 |
| \$5,152 | 49 | \$398,996 | \$734,153 | \$3,577 | 45 |
| \$7,288 | 26 | \$5,108,290 | \$9,399,254 | \$5,099 | 24 |
| \$7,452 | 25 | \$2,239,069 | \$4,119,887 | \$4,208 | 40 |
| \$6,973 | 28 | \$1,474,443 | \$2,712,975 | \$5,485 | 18 |
| \$6,965 | 29 | \$1,131,758 | \$2,082,435 | \$5,167 | 23 |
| \$6,065 | 41 | \$1,233,797 | \$2,270,187 | \$3,526 | 49 |
| \$5,596 | 45 | \$1,908,595 | \$3,511,815 | \$4,413 | 37 |
| \$7,575 | 21 | \$484,744 | \$891,929 | \$4,275 | 39 |
| \$8,535 | 12 | \$2,118,972 | \$3,898,909 | \$5,735 | 13 |
| \$8,283 | 13 | \$2,792,653 | \$5,138,482 | \$5,879 | 10 |
| \$8,802 | 8 | \$5,351,620 | \$9,846,981 | \$6,052 | 8 |
| \$8,175 | 14 | \$2,075,572 | \$3,819,053 | \$5,445 | 21 |
| \$4,764 | 51 | \$896,764 | \$1,650,046 | \$3,547 | 47 |
| \$6,584 | 36 | \$1,772,111 | \$3,260,684 | \$4,121 | 41 |
| \$6,901 | 31 | \$456,519 | \$839,995 | \$5,497 | 17 |
| \$7,491 | 22 | \$759,197 | \$1,396,923 | \$5,260 | 22 |
| \$6,958 | 30 | \$364,766 | \$671,169 | \$4,486 | 36 |
| \$7,170 | 27 | \$402,307 | \$740,245 | \$4,680 | 30 |
| \$12,361 | 1 | \$4,340,960 | \$7,987,366 | \$6,996 | 4 |
| \$5,892 | 43 | \$713,599 | \$1,313,022 | \$4,895 | 27 |
| \$11,391 | 3 | \$10,985,481 | \$20,213,285 | \$7,598 | 2 |
| \$6,400 | 38 | \$2,206,325 | \$4,059,638 | \$3,746 | 43 |
| \$6,148 | 39 | \$318,764 | \$586,526 | \$5,031 | 25 |
| \$7,672 | 18 | \$4,600,475 | \$8,464,874 | \$4,658 | 31 |
| \$6,049 | 42 | \$1,560,103 | \$2,870,590 | \$4,880 | 28 |
| \$7,634 | 20 | \$1,417,393 | \$2,608,003 | \$5,865 | 11 |
| \$9,063 | 6 | \$5,506,931 | \$10,132,753 | \$5,862 | 12 |
| \$8,820 | 7 | \$454,062 | \$835,474 | \$6,158 | 7 |
| \$6,118 | 40 | \$1,158,595 | \$2,131,815 | \$3,545 | 48 |
| \$5,782 | 44 | \$292,102 | \$537,468 | \$4,391 | 38 |
| \$5,286 | 48 | \$1,577,915 | \$2,903,364 | \$3,551 | 46 |
| \$6,822 | 32 | \$7,442,159 | \$13,693,573 | \$4,605 | 34 |
| \$4,859 | 50 | \$702,162 | \$1,291,978 | \$3,435 | 50 |
| \$8,760 | 9 | \$267,530 | \$492,255 | \$5,474 | 20 |
| \$7,469 | 24 | \$2,414,130 | \$4,441,999 | \$4,623 | 33 |
| \$7,985 | 16 | \$2,206,231 | \$4,059,465 | \$5,544 | 14 |
| \$7,478 | 23 | \$957,707 | \$1,762,181 | \$4,772 | 29 |
| \$8,613 | 10 | \$2,305,552 | \$4,242,216 | \$5,506 | 16 |
| \$7,659 | 19 | \$362,182 | \$666,415 | \$6,751 | 5 |

TABLE 1.7
Expenditures per Pupil Ranked by 2003-2004

Source: Author's Tabulations based on Table 1.6

| United States | \$9,052 |  | \$7,593 |  | \$5,103 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District of Columbia | \$14,190 | 1 | \$11,681 | 2 | \$7,081 | 3 |
| New Jersey | \$13,674 | 2 | \$12,361 | 1 | \$6,996 | 4 |
| New York | \$13,672 | 3 | \$11,391 | 3 | \$7,598 | 2 |
| Connecticut | \$12,426 | 4 | \$10,338 | 5 | \$6,628 | 6 |
| Alaska | \$11,833 | 5 | \$11,148 | 4 | \$11,789 | 1 |
| Delaware | \$11,229 | 6 | \$8,607 | 11 | \$5,955 | 9 |
| Vermont | \$11,054 | 7 | \$8,760 | 9 | \$5,474 | 20 |
| Massachusetts | \$10,926 | 8 | \$8,283 | 13 | \$5,879 | 10 |
| Rhode Island | \$10,773 | 9 | \$8,820 | 7 | \$6,158 | 7 |
| Michigan | \$10,595 | 10 | \$8,802 | 8 | \$6,052 | 8 |
| Wyoming | \$10,340 | 11 | \$7,659 | 19 | \$6,751 | 5 |
| Maine | \$10,294 | 12 | \$7,575 | 21 | \$4,275 | 39 |
| Pennsylvania | \$10,208 | 13 | \$9,063 | 6 | \$5,862 | 12 |
| Ohio | \$10,107 | 14 | \$7,672 | 18 | \$4,658 | 31 |
| Maryland | \$9,945 | 15 | \$8,535 | 12 | \$5,735 | 13 |
| Minnesota | \$9,922 | 16 | \$8,175 | 14 | \$5,445 | 21 |
| Wisconsin | \$9,899 | 17 | \$8,613 | 10 | \$5,506 | 16 |
| New Hampshire | \$9,656 | 18 | \$7,170 | 27 | \$4,680 | 30 |
| Illinois | \$9,580 | 19 | \$7,288 | 26 | \$5,099 | 24 |
| Nebraska | \$9,191 | 20 | \$7,491 | 22 | \$5,260 | 22 |
| West Virginia | \$9,056 | 21 | \$7,478 | 23 | \$4,772 | 29 |
| Indiana | \$8,894 | 22 | \$7,452 | 25 | \$4,208 | 40 |
| Georgia | \$8,804 | 23 | \$6,786 | 35 | \$3,739 | 44 |
| Hawaii | \$8,733 | 24 | \$8,069 | 15 | \$5,529 | 15 |
| California | \$8,728 | 25 | \$6,817 | 33 | \$5,000 | 26 |
| Oregon | \$8,690 | 26 | \$7,634 | 20 | \$5,865 | 11 |
| Virginia | \$8,675 | 27 | \$7,469 | 24 | \$4,623 | 33 |
| Iowa | \$8,602 | 28 | \$6,973 | 28 | \$5,485 | 18 |
| Missouri | \$8,521 | 29 | \$6,584 | 36 | \$4,121 | 41 |
| Colorado | \$8,486 | 30 | \$6,803 | 34 | \$5,479 | 19 |
| Washington | \$8,424 | 31 | \$7,985 | 16 | \$5,544 | 14 |
| New Mexico | \$8,351 | 32 | \$5,892 | 43 | \$4,895 | 27 |
| South Carolina | \$8,348 | 33 | \$6,118 | 40 | \$3,545 | 48 |
| Texas | \$8,182 | 34 | \$6,822 | 32 | \$4,605 | 34 |
| Montana | \$8,150 | 35 | \$6,901 | 31 | \$5,497 | 17 |
| Kansas | \$8,028 | 36 | \$6,965 | 29 | \$5,167 | 23 |
| North Dakota | \$7,846 | 37 | \$6,148 | 39 | \$5,031 | 25 |
| South Dakota | \$7,780 | 38 | \$5,782 | 44 | \$4,391 | 38 |
| Florida | \$7,610 | 39 | \$7,772 | 17 | \$4,636 | 32 |
| Louisiana | \$7,583 | 40 | \$5,596 | 45 | \$4,413 | 37 |
| Nevada | \$7,379 | 41 | \$6,958 | 30 | \$4,486 | 36 |
| North Carolina | \$7,222 | 42 | \$6,400 | 38 | \$3,746 | 43 |
| Arkansas | \$7,117 | 43 | \$5,570 | 46 | \$3,430 | 51 |
| Alabama | \$7,110 | 44 | \$5,358 | 47 | \$3,810 | 42 |
| Kentucky | \$6,913 | 45 | \$6,065 | 41 | \$3,526 | 49 |
| Tennessee | \$6,787 | 46 | \$5,286 | 48 | \$3,551 | 46 |
| Idaho | \$6,757 | 47 | \$5,152 | 49 | \$3,577 | 45 |
| Arizona | \$6,704 | 48 | \$6,514 | 37 | \$4,538 | 35 |
| Oklahoma | \$6,536 | 49 | \$6,049 | 42 | \$4,880 | 28 |
| Mississippi | \$6,255 | 50 | \$4,764 | 51 | \$3,547 | 47 |
| Utah | \$5,853 | 51 | \$4,859 | 50 | \$3,435 | 50 |

TABLE 1.8
Percent Change in Constant Expenditures per Pupil, Ranked by Percent Change 1983-1984 to 2003-2004

Source: Author's Tabulations based on Table 1.6

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1983-1984 to } \\ & \text { 2003-2004 } \\ & \text { Percent Change } \end{aligned}$ | Rank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1993-1994 to } \\ & \text { 2003-2004 } \\ & \text { Percent Change } \end{aligned}$ | Rank | $\begin{gathered} 1983-1984 \text { to } \\ \text { 1993-1994 } \\ \text { Percent Change } \end{gathered}$ | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 77.37\% |  | 19.20\% |  | 48.80\% |  |
| Maine | 140.77\% | 1 | 35.89\% | 3 | 77.18\% | 2 |
| Georgia | 135.49\% | 2 | 29.72\% | 15 | 81.53\% | 1 |
| South Carolina | 135.45\% | 3 | 36.45\% | 2 | 72.56\% | 5 |
| Ohio | 117.00\% | 4 | 31.74\% | 10 | 64.72\% | 10 |
| Indiana | 111.37\% | 5 | 19.36\% | 34 | 77.09\% | 3 |
| Arkansas | 107.49\% | 6 | 27.77\% | 19 | 62.38\% | 11 |
| Missouri | 106.75\% | 7 | 29.42\% | 16 | 59.75\% | 14 |
| New Hampshire | 106.31\% | 8 | 34.68\% | 6 | 53.19\% | 20 |
| Vermont | 101.95\% | 9 | 26.20\% | 21 | 60.02\% | 13 |
| District of Columbia | 100.41\% | 10 | 21.48\% | 26 | 64.98\% | 9 |
| Kentucky | 96.07\% | 11 | 13.98\% | 40 | 72.02\% | 6 |
| New Jersey | 95.44\% | 12 | 10.62\% | 44 | 76.68\% | 4 |
| North Carolina | 92.79\% | 13 | 12.84\% | 42 | 70.85\% | 7 |
| Tennessee | 91.14\% | 14 | 28.40\% | 17 | 48.86\% | 23 |
| West Virginia | 89.76\% | 15 | 21.11\% | 28 | 56.69\% | 15 |
| Idaho | 88.90\% | 16 | 31.15\% | 13 | 44.03\% | 30 |
| Delaware | 88.56\% | 17 | 30.46\% | 14 | 44.54\% | 28 |
| Illinois | 87.87\% | 18 | 31.45\% | 11 | 42.92\% | 33 |
| Virginia | 87.65\% | 19 | 16.14\% | 37 | 61.57\% | 12 |
| Connecticut | 87.48\% | 20 | 20.20\% | 31 | 55.98\% | 17 |
| Alabama | 86.63\% | 21 | 32.70\% | 8 | 40.65\% | 37 |
| Massachusetts | 85.86\% | 22 | 31.91\% | 9 | 40.90\% | 36 |
| Minnesota | 82.24\% | 23 | 21.37\% | 27 | 50.15\% | 21 |
| New York | 79.94\% | 24 | 20.03\% | 32 | 49.92\% | 22 |
| Wisconsin | 79.78\% | 25 | 14.93\% | 39 | 56.43\% | 16 |
| Texas | 77.67\% | 26 | 19.92\% | 33 | 48.15\% | 25 |
| South Dakota | 77.17\% | 27 | 34.55\% | 7 | 31.68\% | 41 |
| Mississippi | 76.36\% | 28 | 31.31\% | 12 | 34.31\% | 40 |
| Michigan | 75.07\% | 29 | 20.37\% | 30 | 45.44\% | 27 |
| Rhode Island | 74.94\% | 30 | 22.14\% | 25 | 43.23\% | 32 |
| Nebraska | 74.71\% | 31 | 22.69\% | 24 | 42.41\% | 34 |
| California | 74.58\% | 32 | 28.04\% | 18 | 36.36\% | 38 |
| Pennsylvania | 74.14\% | 33 | 12.63\% | 43 | 54.60\% | 19 |
| Maryland | 73.39\% | 34 | 16.51\% | 36 | 48.82\% | 24 |
| Louisiana | 71.85\% | 35 | 35.51\% | 4 | 26.81\% | 44 |
| New Mexico | 70.62\% | 36 | 41.75\% | 1 | 20.36\% | 49 |
| Utah | 70.41\% | 37 | 20.45\% | 29 | 41.48\% | 35 |
| Nevada | 64.49\% | 38 | 6.05\% | 48 | 55.11\% | 18 |
| Florida | 64.15\% | 39 | -2.09\% | 51 | 67.65\% | 8 |
| Hawaii | 57.95\% | 40 | 8.22\% | 45 | 45.95\% | 26 |
| Iowa | 56.82\% | 41 | 23.35\% | 23 | 27.13\% | 43 |
| North Dakota | 55.95\% | 42 | 27.62\% | 20 | 22.20\% | 48 |
| Kansas | 55.36\% | 43 | 15.25\% | 38 | 34.80\% | 39 |
| Colorado | 54.88\% | 44 | 24.74\% | 22 | 24.16\% | 46 |
| Wyoming | 53.17\% | 45 | 35.00\% | 5 | 13.46\% | 50 |
| Washington | 51.95\% | 46 | 5.49\% | 49 | 44.04\% | 29 |
| Montana | 48.27\% | 47 | 18.09\% | 35 | 25.55\% | 45 |
| Oregon | 48.17\% | 48 | 13.83\% | 41 | 30.17\% | 42 |
| Arizona | 47.72\% | 49 | 2.91\% | 50 | 43.54\% | 31 |
| Oklahoma | 33.92\% | 50 | 8.05\% | 46 | 23.95\% | 47 |
| Alaska | 0.37\% | 51 | 6.15\% | 47 | -5.44\% | 51 |

Table 1.9
Staff Employed in Public School Systems, by Type of Assignment: 2003-2004 School Year

Source: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Common Core of Data Survey; Overview of Public Elementary and Secondary Schools and Districts: 2003-2004. (This Table prepared August 2006.)

|  | Total | Teachers | Teachers \& Instructional Staff as a Percentage of all Staff | Instructional aides | Instructional Coordinators \& Supervisors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 5,657,090 | 3,048,549 | 66.78\% | 685,242 | 44,076 |
| Alabama | 97,851 | 58,070 | 66.44\% | 6,240 | 698 |
| Alaska | 15,689 | 7,808 | 64.29\% | 2,118 | 160 |
| Arizona | 86,927 | 47,507 | 70.32\% | 13,438 | 183 |
| Arkansas | 63,215 | 30,876 | 60.30\% | 6,623 | 621 |
| California | 536,719 | 304,311 | 70.82\% | 69,201 | 6,589 |
| Colorado | 84,689 | 44,904 | 66.22\% | 10,216 | 963 |
| Connecticut | 81,685 | 42,370 | 66.48\% | 11,567 | 367 |
| Delaware | 14,089 | 7,749 | 65.99\% | 1,361 | 188 |
| District of Columbia | 10,239 | 5,676 | 68.49\% | 1,269 | 68 |
| Florida | 280,319 | 144,955 | 62.52\% | 29,616 | 696 |
| Georgia | 191,044 | 97,150 | 64.19\% | 24,111 | 1,376 |
| Hawaii | 20,041 | 11,129 | 71.25\% | 2,640 | 511 |
| Idaho | 24,037 | 14,049 | 70.53\% | 2,637 | 268 |
| Illinois | 241,529 | 127,669 | 66.99\% | 33,302 | 833 |
| Indiana | 122,012 | 59,924 | 65.46\% | 18,289 | 1,662 |
| Iowa | 63,585 | 34,791 | 69.76\% | 9,095 | 472 |
| Kansas | 61,101 | 32,589 | 65.12\% | 7,085 | 118 |
| Kentucky | 91,722 | 41,201 | 60.89\% | 13,769 | 877 |
| Louisiana | 99,322 | 50,495 | 63.71\% | 11,398 | 1,387 |
| Maine | 34,325 | 17,621 | 69.54\% | 5,952 | 297 |
| Maryland | 98,448 | 55,140 | 67.26\% | 9,878 | 1,195 |
| Massachusetts | 128,895 | 72,062 | 70.95\% | 18,272 | 1,115 |
| Michigan | 190,899 | 97,014 | 65.82\% | 25,170 | 3,457 |
| Minnesota | 99,810 | 51,611 | 66.84\% | 14,636 | 467 |
| Mississippi | 65,919 | 32,591 | 63.51\% | 8,603 | 671 |
| Missouri | 125,243 | 65,169 | 61.50\% | 10,906 | 952 |
| Montana | 17,766 | 10,301 | 69.53\% | 1,870 | 182 |
| Nebraska | 38,947 | 20,921 | 66.94\% | 4,722 | 427 |
| Nevada | 32,484 | 20,234 | 71.41\% | 2,438 | 524 |
| New Hampshire | 29,915 | 15,112 | 72.46\% | 6,380 | 185 |
| New Jersey | 193,379 | 109,077 | 69.58\% | 24,010 | 1,466 |
| New Mexico | 42,700 | 21,569 | 64.49\% | 5,243 | 724 |
| New York | 387,019 | 216,116 | 70.18\% | 53,423 | 2,083 |
| North Carolina | 165,800 | 89,988 | 71.59\% | 27,852 | 852 |
| North Dakota | 14,553 | 8,037 | 68.59\% | 1,811 | 134 |
| Ohio | 225,535 | 121,735 | 62.30\% | 18,274 | 500 |
| Oklahoma | 67,106 | 39,253 | 67.88\% | 6,049 | 248 |
| Oregon | 50,574 | 26,732 | 70.40\% | 8,466 | 406 |
| Pennsylvania | 221,661 | 119,889 | 65.96\% | 24,897 | 1,424 |
| Rhode Island | 18,987 | 11,918 | 77.07\% | 2,526 | 190 |
| South Carolina | 59,484 | 45,830 | 82.07\% | 2,311 | 678 |
| South Dakota | 18,496 | 9,245 | 70.08\% | 3,337 | 380 |
| Tennessee | 110,805 | 59,584 | 67.82\% | 14,430 | 1,130 |
| Texas | 563,448 | 289,481 | 62.02\% | 58,741 | 1,238 |
| Utah | 39,263 | 22,147 | 73.27\% | 5,911 | 711 |
| Vermont | 17,806 | 8,749 | 74.55\% | 4,208 | 318 |
| Virginia | 157,903 | 90,573 | 68.01\% | 15,287 | 1,525 |
| Washington | 103,651 | 52,824 | 61.19\% | 10,051 | 546 |
| West Virginia | 37,537 | 20,020 | 62.52\% | 3,113 | 335 |
| Wisconsin | 99,668 | 58,216 | 70.61\% | 10,632 | 1,527 |
| Wyoming | 13,249 | 6,567 | 64.81\% | 1,868 | 152 |


| Guidance Counselors | Librarians | Student Support Staff | School Administrators | School District Administrators | Administrative Support staff | Other Support Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99,395 | 54,351 | 188,938 | 165,531 | 63,561 | 178,737 | 1,128,710 |
| 1,682 | 1,388 | 1,479 | 3,452 | 1,345 | 1,276 | 22,221 |
| 274 | 152 | 459 | 675 | 413 | 669 | 2,961 |
| 1,292 | 802 | 7,610 | 2,240 | 424 | 520 | 12,911 |
| 1,218 | 934 | 3,284 | 1,552 | 682 | 1,797 | 15,628 |
| 6,640 | 1,218 | 15,076 | 13,340 | 2,766 | 23,273 | 94,305 |
| 1,371 | 845 | 3,399 | 2,382 | 974 | 2,505 | 17,130 |
| 1,327 | 789 | 4,422 | 2,193 | 1,333 | 1,771 | 15,546 |
| 262 | 129 | 605 | 370 | 288 | 327 | 2,810 |
| 60 | 40 | 1,950 | 408 | 96 | 76 | 596 |
| 5,772 | 2,710 | 11,410 | 6,946 | 1,819 | 15,035 | 61,360 |
| 3,338 | 2,170 | 5,888 | 5,063 | 1,913 | 2,483 | 47,552 |
| 648 | 290 | 1,296 | 504 | 188 | 306 | 2,529 |
| 575 | 170 | 531 | 726 | 116 | 541 | 4,424 |
| 3,049 | 2,200 | 8,631 | 6,422 | 4,061 | 7,286 | 48,076 |
| 1,804 | 1,004 | 2,050 | 2,985 | 1,080 | 623 | 32,591 |
| 1,180 | 589 | 2,500 | 2,111 | 928 | 723 | 11,196 |
| 1,118 | 923 | 2,879 | 1,709 | 1,239 | 916 | 12,525 |
| 1,471 | 1,147 | 1,583 | 2,527 | 1,011 | 5,349 | 22,787 |
| 3,155 | 1,233 | 3,324 | 2,694 | 330 | 2,728 | 22,578 |
| 627 | 251 | 1,423 | 967 | 625 | 843 | 5,719 |
| 2,241 | 1,118 | 3,060 | 3,149 | 836 | 1,102 | 20,729 |
| 2,118 | 946 | 7,019 | 3,666 | 1,751 | 4,435 | 17,511 |
| 2,708 | 1,405 | 8,325 | 4,937 | 3,304 | 1,241 | 43,338 |
| 1,064 | 942 | 6,274 | 2,190 | 1,030 | 6,744 | 14,852 |
| 1,009 | 969 | 2,863 | 1,757 | 986 | 1,882 | 14,588 |
| 2,608 | 1,621 | 4,493 | 3,044 | 1,308 | 8,626 | 26,516 |
| 431 | 357 | 67 | 504 | 145 | 514 | 3,395 |
| 757 | 557 | 1,122 | 998 | 574 | 845 | 8,024 |
| 719 | 324 | 842 | 1,079 | 263 | 970 | 5,091 |
| 772 | 296 | 621 | 537 | 538 | 643 | 4,831 |
| 3,673 | 1,871 | 11,472 | 4,917 | 1,832 | 7,434 | 27,627 |
| 769 | 298 | 2,012 | 995 | 858 | 1,733 | 8,499 |
| 6,440 | 3,318 | 10,222 | 7,823 | 2,844 | 26,934 | 57,816 |
| 3,444 | 2,335 | 5,197 | 4,777 | 1,609 | 3,359 | 26,387 |
| 278 | 198 | 469 | 395 | 436 | 160 | 2,635 |
| 3,694 | 1,669 | 3,722 | 6,499 | 6,214 | 11,970 | 51,258 |
| 1,495 | 996 | 2,293 | 1,932 | 710 | 1,664 | 12,466 |
| 1,114 | 461 | 1,621 | 1,539 | 613 | 1,704 | 7,918 |
| 4,344 | 2,217 | 11,071 | 4,630 | 1,667 | 6,270 | 45,252 |
| 380 | 215 | 543 | 557 | 164 | 461 | 2,033 |
| 1,699 | 1,135 | 1,520 | 3,224 | 299 | 2,425 | 363 |
| 328 | 146 | 1,146 | 402 | 445 | 331 | 2,736 |
| 1,918 | 1,545 | 4,154 | 5,080 | 1,239 | 2,756 | 18,969 |
| 9,937 | 4,864 | 5,312 | 29,621 | 7,833 | 3,446 | 152,975 |
| 683 | 279 | 289 | 1,012 | 156 | 974 | 7,101 |
| 426 | 226 | 756 | 441 | 147 | 385 | 2,150 |
| 2,564 | 1,986 | 3,054 | 3,924 | 1,556 | 3,964 | 33,470 |
| 1,955 | 1,309 | 2,828 | 2,747 | 915 | 1,791 | 28,685 |
| 660 | 386 | 1,645 | 1,044 | 421 | 1,735 | 8,178 |
| 1,910 | 1,247 | 4,655 | 2,512 | 932 | 2,827 | 15,210 |
| 394 | 131 | 472 | 333 | 305 | 365 | 2,662 |

TABLE 1.10A
Average Annual Salary of Teachers in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools

Note: Constant figures expressed in terms of 20032004 dollars. Consumer Price Index (CPI) calculation was taken from the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, MN.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Digest of Education Statistics; Common Core of Data various years.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2003-2004 } \\ \text { Constant } \\ \text { Dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Rank | Current Dollars | 1998-1999 Constant Dollars | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | \$44,133 |  | \$40,354 | 45,599 |  |
| Alabama | \$38,325 | 43 | \$36,740 | 41,516 | 34 |
| Alaska | \$51,736 | 11 | \$48,085 | 54,336 | 8 |
| Arizona | \$41,843 | 28 | \$45,785 | 51,737 | 11 |
| Arkansas | \$39,314 | 38 | \$32,879 | 37,153 | 46 |
| California | \$56,444 | 3 | \$46,593 | 52,650 | 10 |
| Colorado | \$43,319 | 22 | \$39,421 | 44,546 | 26 |
| Connecticut | \$57,337 | 1 | \$53,429 | 60,375 | 3 |
| Delaware | \$49,366 | 13 | \$44,916 | 50,755 | 13 |
| District of Columbia | \$57,009 | 2 | \$42,974 | 48,561 | 17 |
| Florida | \$40,604 | 31 | \$37,048 | 41,864 | 32 |
| Georgia | \$45,988 | 16 | \$41,591 | 46,998 | 20 |
| Hawaii | \$45,479 | 18 | \$41,547 | 46,948 | 21 |
| Idaho | \$41,080 | 30 | \$35,643 | 40,277 | 40 |
| Illinois | \$54,230 | 7 | \$47,312 | 53,463 | 9 |
| Indiana | \$45,791 | 17 | \$42,501 | 48,026 | 18 |
| Iowa | \$39,432 | 37 | \$36,209 | 40,916 | 38 |
| Kansas | \$38,623 | 40 | \$39,690 | 44,850 | 25 |
| Kentucky | \$40,240 | 34 | \$37,251 | 42,094 | 30 |
| Louisiana | \$37,918 | 46 | \$33,943 | 38,356 | 44 |
| Maine | \$39,864 | 35 | \$36,125 | 40,821 | 39 |
| Maryland | \$50,261 | 12 | \$44,873 | 50,707 | 14 |
| Massachusetts | \$53,181 | 8 | \$56,829 | 64,217 | 1 |
| Michigan | \$54,412 | 6 | \$48,207 | 54,474 | 7 |
| Minnesota | \$45,375 | 20 | \$40,707 | 45,999 | 23 |
| Mississippi | \$35,684 | 48 | \$30,743 | 34,740 | 48 |
| Missouri | \$38,006 | 45 | \$36,512 | 41,259 | 36 |
| Montana | \$37,184 | 47 | \$30,034 | 33,938 | 49 |
| Nebraska | \$38,352 | 42 | \$36,571 | 41,325 | 35 |
| Nevada | \$42,254 | 26 | \$41,007 | 46,338 | 22 |
| New Hampshire | \$42,689 | 25 | \$45,187 | 51,061 | 12 |
| New Jersey | \$55,592 | 4 | \$54,342 | 61,407 | 2 |
| New Mexico | \$38,067 | 44 | \$33,714 | 38,097 | 45 |
| New York | \$55,181 | 5 | \$50,300 | 56,839 | 5 |
| North Carolina | \$43,211 | 23 | \$37,279 | 42,125 | 29 |
| North Dakota | \$35,441 | 49 | \$29,215 | 33,013 | 51 |
| Ohio | \$47,482 | 15 | \$41,986 | 47,444 | 19 |
| Oklahoma | \$35,061 | 50 | \$32,783 | 37,045 | 47 |
| Oregon | \$49,169 | 14 | \$43,142 | 48,751 | 16 |
| Pennsylvania | \$51,835 | 10 | \$49,566 | 56,010 | 6 |
| Rhode Island | \$52,261 | 9 | \$51,689 | 58,409 | 4 |
| South Carolina | \$41,162 | 29 | \$36,217 | 40,925 | 37 |
| South Dakota | \$33,236 | 51 | \$29,387 | 33,207 | 50 |
| Tennessee | \$40,318 | 33 | \$37,491 | 42,365 | 28 |
| Texas | \$40,476 | 32 | \$36,999 | 41,809 | 33 |
| Utah | \$38,976 | 39 | \$33,982 | 38,400 | 43 |
| Vermont | \$42,007 | 27 | \$37,081 | 41,902 | 31 |
| Virginia | \$43,655 | 21 | \$38,265 | 43,239 | 27 |
| Washington | \$45,434 | 19 | \$40,596 | 45,874 | 24 |
| West Virginia | \$38,461 | 41 | \$35,451 | 40,060 | 41 |
| Wisconsin | \$42,882 | 24 | \$43,507 | 49,163 | 15 |
| Wyoming | \$39,532 | 36 | \$34,683 | 39,192 | 42 |


| Current Dollars | 1993-1994 Constant Dollars | Rank | Current Dollars | 1983-1984 Constant Dollars | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$34,145 | 43,194 |  | \$24,244 | \$44,608 |  |
| \$28,659 | 36,254 | 41 | \$22,742 | \$41,845 | 27 |
| \$47,902 | 60,596 | 2 | \$38,815 | \$71,419 | 1 |
| \$31,825 | 40,259 | 27 | \$24,280 | \$44,675 | 25 |
| \$28,312 | 35,815 | 42 | \$19,519 | \$35,914 | 48 |
| \$40,636 | 51,405 | 8 | \$29,130 | \$53,599 | 6 |
| \$33,826 | 42,790 | 24 | \$25,892 | \$47,641 | 16 |
| \$50,389 | 63,742 | 1 | \$26,610 | \$48,962 | 13 |
| \$37,469 | 47,398 | 14 | \$24,624 | \$45,308 | 21 |
| \$43,014 | 54,413 | 6 | \$33,211 | \$61,108 | 2 |
| \$31,944 | 40,409 | 26 | \$22,250 | \$40,940 | 32 |
| \$29,214 | 36,956 | 40 | \$23,460 | \$43,166 | 51 |
| \$36,569 | 46,260 | 17 | \$25,845 | \$47,554 | 18 |
| \$27,756 | 35,111 | 46 | \$20,969 | \$38,582 | 39 |
| \$39,416 | 49,861 | 10 | \$26,897 | \$49,490 | 10 |
| \$35,741 | 45,212 | 21 | \$24,325 | \$44,758 | 24 |
| \$30,760 | 38,911 | 32 | \$21,690 | \$39,909 | 35 |
| \$31,700 | 40,101 | 28 | \$22,644 | \$41,664 | 28 |
| \$31,639 | 40,023 | 29 | \$20,948 | \$38,544 | 40 |
| \$26,243 | 33,197 | 48 | \$20,303 | \$37,357 | 45 |
| \$30,996 | 39,210 | 30 | \$19,583 | \$36,032 | 47 |
| \$39,475 | 49,936 | 9 | \$26,800 | \$49,312 | 11 |
| \$38,960 | 49,284 | 12 | \$26,758 | \$49,234 | 12 |
| \$45,218 | 57,201 | 5 | \$30,607 | \$56,316 | 3 |
| \$36,146 | 45,725 | 18 | \$27,360 | \$50,342 | 7 |
| \$25,153 | 31,819 | 51 | \$18,472 | \$33,988 | 49 |
| \$30,324 | 38,360 | 36 | \$21,945 | \$40,378 | 33 |
| \$28,200 | 35,673 | 43 | \$22,482 | \$41,366 | 30 |
| \$29,564 | 37,399 | 38 | \$20,393 | \$37,523 | 44 |
| \$37,181 | 47,034 | 15 | \$25,610 | \$47,122 | 20 |
| \$34,121 | 43,163 | 23 | \$20,263 | \$37,283 | 46 |
| \$45,582 | 57,661 | 4 | \$27,170 | \$49,992 | 9 |
| \$27,922 | 35,321 | 45 | \$21,817 | \$40,143 | 34 |
| \$45,772 | 57,902 | 3 | \$30,490 | \$56,101 | 4 |
| \$29,727 | 37,605 | 37 | \$22,340 | \$41,105 | 31 |
| \$25,506 | 32,265 | 49 | \$20,816 | \$38,301 | 41 |
| \$35,912 | 45,429 | 19 | \$24,518 | \$45,113 | 22 |
| \$27,612 | 34,929 | 47 | \$21,419 | \$39,410 | 37 |
| \$37,589 | 47,550 | 13 | \$25,660 | \$47,214 | 19 |
| \$42,411 | 53,650 | 7 | \$25,853 | \$47,569 | 17 |
| \$39,261 | 49,665 | 11 | \$29,470 | \$54,224 | 5 |
| \$29,414 | 37,209 | 39 | \$21,595 | \$39,734 | 36 |
| \$25,259 | 31,953 | 50 | \$18,095 | \$33,294 | 50 |
| \$30,514 | 38,600 | 35 | \$21,384 | \$39,346 | 38 |
| \$30,519 | 38,607 | 34 | \$24,463 | \$45,011 | 23 |
| \$28,056 | 35,491 | 44 | \$22,603 | \$41,589 | 29 |
| \$34,517 | 43,664 | 22 | \$20,796 | \$38,264 | 42 |
| \$33,472 | 42,342 | 25 | \$23,095 | \$42,494 | 26 |
| \$35,860 | 45,363 | 20 | \$26,209 | \$48,224 | 15 |
| \$30,549 | 38,645 | 33 | \$20,627 | \$37,953 | 43 |
| \$36,644 | 46,355 | 16 | \$26,347 | \$48,478 | 14 |
| \$30,954 | 39,157 | 31 | \$27,224 | \$50,092 | 8 |

TABLE 1.10B
Average Teachers Salary vs. Average Salary of Workers with at Least a Bachelor Degree for 2003-2004

Source: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Author's Tabulations from U.S. Census Department, Current Population Surveys and 2003 Usual Weekly Earnings of Wage and Salary Workers. (This table was prepared August 2005).

|  | Average Teacher Salary | Average Salary for Workers with at Least a Bachelor Degree | Teacher Salary as a Percentage of Average Bachelor Degree Salary | Rank on Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | \$44,133 | \$48,730 | 90.57\% |  |
| Alabama | \$38,325 | \$38,259 | 100.17\% | 32 |
| Alaska | \$51,736 | \$45,768 | 113.04\% | 7 |
| Arizona | \$41,843 | \$43,376 | 96.47\% | 40 |
| Arkansas | \$39,314 | \$35,228 | 111.60\% | 8 |
| California | \$56,444 | \$53,593 | 105.32\% | 23 |
| Colorado | \$43,319 | \$49,979 | 86.67\% | 50 |
| Connecticut | \$57,337 | \$62,434 | 91.84\% | 44 |
| Delaware | \$49,366 | \$50,604 | 97.55\% | 37 |
| District of Columbia | \$57,009 | \$53,337 | 106.88\% | 18 |
| Florida | \$40,604 | \$40,600 | 100.01\% | 33 |
| Georgia | \$45,988 | \$46,502 | 98.89\% | 35 |
| Hawaii | \$45,479 | \$38,995 | 116.63\% | 3 |
| Idaho | \$41,080 | \$35,937 | 114.31\% | 6 |
| Illinois | \$54,230 | \$51,282 | 105.75\% | 21 |
| Indiana | \$45,791 | \$41,538 | 110.24\% | 11 |
| Iowa | \$39,432 | \$37,115 | 106.24\% | 20 |
| Kansas | \$38,623 | \$39,852 | 96.92\% | 39 |
| Kentucky | \$40,240 | \$39,150 | 102.78\% | 28 |
| Louisiana | \$37,918 | \$38,207 | 99.24\% | 34 |
| Maine | \$39,864 | \$37,143 | 107.32\% | 16 |
| Maryland | \$50,261 | \$48,456 | 103.72\% | 26 |
| Massachusetts | \$53,181 | \$59,595 | 89.24\% | 47 |
| Michigan | \$54,412 | \$49,106 | 110.80\% | 9 |
| Minnesota | \$45,375 | \$48,106 | 94.32\% | 42 |
| Mississippi | \$35,684 | \$33,474 | 106.60\% | 19 |
| Missouri | \$38,006 | \$42,681 | 89.05\% | 48 |
| Montana | \$37,184 | \$31,557 | 117.83\% | 2 |
| Nebraska | \$38,352 | \$36,666 | 104.60\% | 25 |
| Nevada | \$42,254 | \$42,115 | 100.33\% | 31 |
| New Hampshire | \$42,689 | \$47,028 | 90.77\% | 46 |
| New Jersey | \$55,592 | \$57,748 | 96.27\% | 41 |
| New Mexico | \$38,067 | \$36,203 | 105.15\% | 24 |
| New York | \$55,181 | \$62,332 | 88.53\% | 49 |
| North Carolina | \$43,211 | \$41,742 | 103.52\% | 27 |
| North Dakota | \$35,441 | \$33,124 | 107.00\% | 17 |
| Ohio | \$47,482 | \$43,011 | 110.39\% | 10 |
| Oklahoma | \$35,061 | \$36,126 | 97.05\% | 38 |
| Oregon | \$49,169 | \$42,837 | 114.78\% | 4 |
| Pennsylvania | \$51,835 | \$45,181 | 114.73\% | 5 |
| Rhode Island | \$52,261 | \$42,099 | 124.14\% | 1 |
| South Carolina | \$41,162 | \$37,661 | 109.30\% | 13 |
| South Dakota | \$33,236 | \$32,881 | 101.08\% | 29 |
| Tennessee | \$40,318 | \$41,121 | 98.05\% | 36 |
| Texas | \$40,476 | \$48,120 | 84.11\% | 51 |
| Utah | \$38,976 | \$38,846 | 100.33\% | 30 |
| Vermont | \$42,007 | \$39,135 | 107.34\% | 15 |
| Virginia | \$43,655 | \$47,746 | 91.43\% | 45 |
| Washington | \$45,434 | \$48,944 | 92.83\% | 43 |
| West Virginia | \$38,461 | \$35,740 | 107.61\% | 14 |
| Wisconsin | \$42,882 | \$40,704 | 105.35\% | 22 |
| Wyoming | \$39,532 | \$36,110 | 109.48\% | 12 |

TABLE 1.11
Breakdown of Key Federal Funding Programs 2004

Source: 2003 Department of Education Budget and Author's Tabulations

|  | Safe \& Drug-Free Schools and Communities State Grants | Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnership(LEAP) | ESEA Title 1 Grants Local Educational Agencies | Special Education: Grants to States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unites States | \$419,211,482 | \$65,835,120 | \$11,766,459,129 | \$9,838,792,665 |
| Alabama | 6,520,024 | 0 | 187,083,115 | 160,385,829 |
| Alaska | 2,152,629 | 0 | 32,502,130 | 30,463,423 |
| Arizona | 7,087,180 | 550,800 | 229,883,044 | 152,382,476 |
| Arkansas | 4,075,458 | 518,132 | 118,717,368 | 98,750,311 |
| California | 53,257,421 | 12,582,059 | 1,765,537,626 | 1,072,636,899 |
| Colorado | 4,833,545 | 1,118,276 | 114,690,988 | 129,058,489 |
| Connecticut | 4,370,215 | 421,324 | 109,085,188 | 117,261,220 |
| Delaware | 2,152,629 | 218,484 | 32,224,550 | 27,919,643 |
| District of Columbia | - 2,152,629 | 596,506 | 49,372,197 | 14,038,079 |
| Florida | 21,001,765 | 2,563,089 | 574,724,044 | 551,219,391 |
| Georgia | 12,078,813 | 561,842 | 381,940,842 | 267,886,185 |
| Hawaii | 2,152,629 | 132,942 | 43,294,081 | 35,212,155 |
| Idaho | 2,152,629 | 186,938 | 41,592,528 | 47,389,266 |
| Illinois | 17,592,102 | 0 | 523,252,496 | 446,657,600 |
| Indiana | 7,493,100 | 1,637,759 | 167,431,217 | 225,536,784 |
| Iowa | 3,419,873 | 347,840 | 64,684,556 | 107,669,127 |
| Kansas | 3,540,027 | 907,468 | 81,995,496 | 94,250,141 |
| Kentucky | 6,189,604 | 1,000,191 | 171,223,958 | 137,696,944 |
| Louisiana | 8,418,619 | 460,654 | 267,600,823 | 163,814,859 |
| Maine | 2,152,629 | 293,527 | 45,160,071 | 48,258,251 |
| Maryland | 6,640,134 | 590,093 | 163,738,534 | 176,589,690 |
| Massachusetts | 8,150,261 | 1,040,274 | 234,039,373 | 250,351,438 |
| Michigan | 16,256,834 | 1,337,330 | 416,586,723 | 353,312,585 |
| Minnesota | 5,924,916 | 1,583,740 | 105,427,756 | 167,415,417 |
| Mississippi | 5,309,785 | 273,855 | 160,730,204 | 104,964,427 |
| Missouri | 7,782,325 | 1,353,822 | 185,416,533 | 200,334,359 |
| Montana | 2,152,629 | 225,774 | 40,527,097 | 32,188,959 |
| Nebraska | 2,152,629 | 587,152 | 48,241,936 | 65,853,607 |
| Nevada | 2,512,050 | 223,367 | 64,007,130 | 57,306,394 |
| New Hampshire | 2,152,629 | 285,474 | 29,264,249 | 41,853,659 |
| New Jersey | 10,449,627 | 2,147,776 | 266,434,149 | 318,780,009 |
| New Mexico | 3,458,251 | 415,726 | 114,717,327 | 80,379,393 |
| New York | 33,579,917 | 6,922,300 | 1,241,954,420 | 669,505,756 |
| North Carolina | 10,236,286 | 1,778,478 | 271,256,637 | 271,197,443 |
| North Dakota | 2,152,629 | 86,672 | 30,256,568 | 22,670,415 |
| Ohio | 15,812,603 | 3,246,812 | 400,077,727 | 386,053,232 |
| Oklahoma | 5,265,968 | 1,102,786 | 141,060,011 | 130,455,767 |
| Oregon | 4,205,117 | 1,051,930 | 131,497,799 | 113,747,843 |
| Pennsylvania | 17,257,582 | 3,599,095 | 432,704,365 | 376,739,214 |
| Rhode Island | 2,152,629 | 432,189 | 45,773,293 | 38,566,846 |
| South Carolina | 5,664,453 | 885,940 | 165,456,776 | 154,478,352 |
| South Dakota | 2,152,629 | 0 | 34,602,344 | 27,006,362 |
| Tennessee | 7,312,194 | 1,326,028 | 199,726,870 | 205,685,894 |
| Texas | 34,997,101 | 4,467,229 | 1,110,705,516 | 834,469,609 |
| Utah | 2,734,152 | 608,449 | 50,780,122 | 93,688,425 |
| Vermont | 2,152,629 | 205,680 | 27,919,244 | 21,858,608 |
| Virginia | 8,174,905 | 1,744,181 | 195,588,567 | 246,108,749 |
| Washington | 7,126,377 | 1,858,195 | 170,679,720 | 195,225,582 |
| West Virginia | 3,130,775 | 594,778 | 94,828,058 | 66,977,974 |
| Wisconsin | 7,215,317 | 1,707,898 | 160,675,762 | 183,607,739 |
| Wyoming | 2,152,629 | 54,266 | 29,788,001 | 22,931,846 |


|  | Percent of <br> Revenues from <br> these four | As a Percent <br> of Federally <br> Sourced <br> Revenues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals | Federal Programs |  |


| \$22,090,298,396 | 5.02\% | 58.88\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$353,988,968 | 6.87\% | 59.45\% |
| \$65,118,182 | 4.44\% | 25.04\% |
| \$389,903,500 | 5.30\% | 46.46\% |
| \$222,061,269 | 6.80\% | 58.00\% |
| \$2,904,014,005 | 5.09\% | 51.58\% |
| \$249,701,298 | 3.96\% | 61.00\% |
| \$231,137,947 | 3.26\% | 62.56\% |
| \$62,515,306 | 5.22\% | 60.74\% |
| \$66,159,411 | 5.94\% | 43.17\% |
| \$1,149,508,289 | 6.06\% | 57.50\% |
| \$662,467,682 | 4.93\% | 61.12\% |
| \$80,791,807 | 3.89\% | 47.42\% |
| \$91,321,361 | 5.38\% | 54.81\% |
| \$987,502,198 | 5.16\% | 61.00\% |
| \$402,098,860 | 5.07\% | 66.41\% |
| \$176,121,396 | 4.15\% | 55.83\% |
| \$180,693,132 | 4.44\% | 48.77\% |
| \$316,110,697 | 6.64\% | 62.63\% |
| \$440,294,955 | 7.93\% | 60.08\% |
| \$95,864,478 | 4.44\% | 49.57\% |
| \$347,558,451 | 4.00\% | 59.67\% |
| \$493,581,346 | 4.18\% | 69.92\% |
| \$787,493,472 | 4.39\% | 55.94\% |
| \$280,351,829 | 3.36\% | 56.66\% |
| \$271,278,271 | 8.31\% | 53.95\% |
| \$394,887,039 | 5.15\% | 64.10\% |
| \$75,094,459 | 6.23\% | 42.99\% |
| \$116,835,324 | 4.58\% | 51.75\% |
| \$124,048,941 | 4.45\% | 63.21\% |
| \$73,556,011 | 3.76\% | 72.18\% |
| \$597,811,561 | 3.16\% | 74.22\% |
| \$198,970,697 | 7.41\% | 49.44\% |
| \$1,951,962,393 | 5.15\% | 73.79\% |
| \$554,468,844 | 5.91\% | 61.67\% |
| \$55,166,284 | 6.69\% | 43.77\% |
| \$805,190,374 | 4.44\% | 69.01\% |
| \$277,884,532 | 6.68\% | 52.57\% |
| \$250,502,689 | 5.45\% | 60.18\% |
| \$830,300,256 | 4.43\% | 57.14\% |
| \$86,924,957 | 4.98\% | 76.51\% |
| \$326,485,521 | 5.70\% | 57.91\% |
| \$63,761,335 | 6.61\% | 42.16\% |
| \$414,050,986 | 6.77\% | 67.48\% |
| \$1,984,639,455 | 5.73\% | 58.07\% |
| \$147,811,148 | 5.07\% | 54.80\% |
| \$52,136,161 | 4.53\% | 65.15\% |
| \$451,616,402 | 4.39\% | 66.57\% |
| \$374,889,874 | 4.31\% | 48.09\% |
| \$165,531,585 | 6.49\% | 60.91\% |
| \$353,206,716 | 3.99\% | 65.82\% |
| \$54,926,742 | 5.71\% | 64.97\% |

## CHAPTER TWO

## Measures of Educational Outputs

## CHAPTERTWO

Over the past decade, many states have been placing greater emphasis on testing as a means of measuring student achievement. This slow shift in focus from the "input" side of the educational equation to the "output" has been instrumental in spurring many of the reforms to our public school system. Policy makers, responding to parents' demands to improve our nation's beleaguered educational system, are stressing that measurable achievement results must accompany additional education spending. The passage and implementation of the No Child Left Behind Act has made student testing, and achievement, even more integral in our public school system.

Attempting to identify and compare student achievement across state lines is difficult due to the myriad number differences in which states collect and report their information. When ALEC first began its Report Card series over a decade ago, it explored several standardized tests in order to identify which held most consistent from state to state. It was ultimately decided to utilize three nationally recognized tests-the National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), and the ACT Assessment-as the means to collect and compare student academic achievement on a state-by-state basis and thereby determine the relative effectiveness of America's public school systems.

Standardized tests, like any other indicator of educational achievement, have their critics. Some argue that these tests are ethnically and demographically biased. However, those who fault these tests as being demographically or ethnically
skewed may be unaware that they were implemented ab initio to achieve a fairer and more egalitarian assessment of student learning.

This chapter reviews the results of these three standardized tests, as they offer the most consistent method of measuring educational achievement across state lines.

## NAEP Test Results

Since 1969, the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) has been mandated by Congress to monitor the knowledge, skills, and performance of the nation's school children. One form of recent monitoring has been national, standardized tests in mathematics, science, reading, geography and other subjects. 2003 marked the first year all fifty states and the District of Columbia participated in the mathematics and reading exams.

Tables 2.1A and 2.1B list the results of several recent mathematics and reading tests given at the fourth and eighth grade levels. The same table also records the percent of students per state scoring at or above the proficiency level. NAEP uses a 0-500 scale on each of the tests. NAEP defines proficiency as, "solid academic performance." Students reaching this level "have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter." Students performing at the basic level are defined by the NAEP as exhibiting "partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade."

NAEP 4th and 8th Grade Scale Scores and Achievement Levels

|  | 4th Grade <br> Math | 4th Grade <br> Reading | 8th Grade <br> Math | 8th Grade <br> Reading |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic | 214 | 208 | 262 | 243 |
| Proficient | 249 | 238 | 299 | 281 |
| Advanced | 282 | 268 | 333 | 323 |

- In 2005, 71 percent of public school eighth graders taking the NAEP mathematics test performed below the proficiency level. These figures represent only slight improvements over 2000, when 74 percent of eighth graders scored below the proficiency level.

■ Fourth grade students recorded slightly higher results. In 2005, 65 percent of public school fourth graders performed at or below the proficiency level in mathematics. This is a ten percentage point increase from 2000 when 75 percent of students scored below the proficiency level.

## ACT test results

ACT, Inc. (the company changed its name in 1996 from the American Collegiate Testing Company) is an independent nonprofit organization founded in 1959. Although ACT, Inc. offers many services to students, secondary schools, and post-secondary institutions of education, the company is best known for creation and administration of the ACT Assessment, a standardized test designed to measure the potential success of college-bound students.
In 1990, the company changed the format and scoring system of its landmark test, administered since 1959. Thus, test scores from before 1990 and after 1990 are not comparable.

■ Of the 25 states in which most students took the ACT Assessment, only Iowa (22), and Minnesota and Wisconsin (22.2) had an average score of 22 or greater in 2004. (See Table 2.3)

- In two of the 26 states in which the ACT Assessment is dominant, the average score was below 20: Mississippi (18.8) and Louisiana (19.8).

■ More than 75 percent of high school graduates in six states took the ACT Assessment in 2000: Colorado (100 percent), Illinois ( 99 percent), Mississippi ( 91 percent), Tennessee and Louisiana ( 87 percent), and North Dakota (81 percent).

- The national ACT Assessment composite score has remained relatively stable over the past 10 years. Since 1994, when the average composite score was 20.8 , the average rose to 21.0 from 1997 to 2001 and then fell to 20.8 in 2002 and 2003. In 2004 the average composite score increased to 20.9.


## SAT test results

The Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) is developed and administered by The College Board, a nonprofit, national association of schools, colleges, and other educational organizations. The test is meant to be a standardized measure of a student's ability to do college-level work.
The structure of the SAT has changed slightly over time. Most recently, The College Board began including essay questions in addition to the multiple-choice questions that previously constituted the entire exam. The College Board, however, has maintained a standard scoring system over time so that comparisons over the past two and one-half decades are possible (See Table 2.7).

- Of the 25 states and the District of Columbia in which the SAT was taken by more students than the ACT Assessment, eight had an average score at or above the national average of 1026 in 2004: Washington (1059), Oregon (1055), Arizona (1047), New Hampshire (1043), Massachusetts (1041), Alaska (1032), Connecticut (1030) and Vermont (1028). (See Table 2.5)
- Since 1984 , seven states, out of the 25 states and the District of Columbia in which the SAT was dominant, experienced a decline in average composite scores. Arizona experienced the largest decline, dropping 6.35 percent from 1984 to 2004. The other states in which average SAT scores dropped over the past two decades were Washington (-4.34 percent), Nevada ( -3.04 percent), Delaware ( -1.87 percent), Alaska ( -1.43 percent), and New York and Texas ( -0.10 percent). (See Table 2.6)
- Of the 25 states and the District of Columbia where the SAT was dominant, two states experienced double digit improvement in their average SAT performance over the past two decades. Alabama's average score increased 10.31 percent from 1009 in 1984 to 1113 in 2004. Illinois was a close second with an increase of 10.06 percent.
- Average SAT scores for all test-takers have declined since 1972 by about 2.3 percent. However, over this period, scores have followed a cyclical pattern, falling from their high in 1972 (1039) to a low in 1980 and 1981 (994 both of those years). Average composite SAT scores then climbed during the 1980s, only to fall again to another low in 1991 (average score of 999). Since 1991, average SAT scores have risen almost constantly. (See Table 2.7)
■ Female test-takers have lagged behind male test-takers in every year since 1972. Throughout the period males have typically scored about four to six percent higher than females. Again, the variation between average females and
average male scores followed a cyclical pattern over the past two and one-half decades, increasing between 1972 and 1981 and then narrowing between 1981 and 1995. The gap has increased since 1995.
- Average student performance on the verbal and math sections of the SAT has varied since 1972. Specifically, average verbal scores have fallen by 5 percent since 1972 while average math scores have risen slightly (by less than one half of one percent) over the same period. In fact, between 1972 and 1989, the average verbal score was higher than the average math score. Since 1990, the average math score in every year has been higher than the average verbal score.


## A Warning about State-by-State SAT and ACT Test Score Comparisons

Forty-eight percent of 2004 high school graduates nationwide took the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) and 40 percent took the ACT Assessment. There is a tremendous difference, however, in the percentage of high school graduates in each individual state who took the ACT Assessment test and those that took the SAT. Specifically, the ACT Assessment is taken by most high school graduates in 25 states. Most students in 25 states and the District of Columbia take the SAT. In no state did more than 50 percent of graduates take both tests. In two states, Arizona and Nevada, neither test was taken by 50 percent of graduates.

States primarily administer only one of these two college entrance exams depending on the emphasis that educators and colleges and universities in each state place on student performance on these exams. In some states, the SAT is given more weight in college admission decisions, in other states the ACT is highlighted. These differences lead different subgroups of students in each state to take the SAT, the ACT, or both. One theory is that students most likely to apply to selective colleges and universities will take both tests, and students applying to less selective colleges and universities, or not going to college at all, will take one or neither of the tests.

This theory is supported by the general fact that in states in which less than a majority (i.e., a select group) of students took a specific test, the average scores of those students taking the test were slightly higher than both the national average and the average in those states in which more than 50 percent of students took the test in question (See Tables 2.4 and 2.6). For example, in Illinois, only 10 percent of graduating high school students took the SAT in 2004. The average score for these test-takers was 1182, significantly higher than the national average of 1026, and higher than the average of states with a majority of graduates taking the SAT.

Such self-selection makes state-by-state comparisons of educational achievement, based on either test alone, somewhat misleading. One may be able to look, however, at the results of both tests and other achievement measures across state lines (keeping in mind self-selection biases) to gain an understanding of educational performance.

|  |  | 2005 MATHEMATICS GRADE 8 PUBLIC SCHOOLS |  |  | 2003 MATHEMATICS GRADE 8 PUBLIC SCHOOLS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Mathematics Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Mathematics Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Mathematics Scale Score |
| TABLE 2.1A | United States | 278 | 29 |  | 276 | 27 |  | 274 |
| Grades 4 and | Alabama | 262 | 15 | 49 | 262 | 16 | 49 | 262 |
| 8 Mathematics | Alaska | 279 | 29 | 29 | 279 | 30 | 26 | * |
| Average NAEP | Arizona | 274 | 26 | 34 | 271 | 21 | 38 | 271 |
| Average NAEP | Arkansas | 272 | 22 | 39 | 266 | 19 | 45 | 261 |
| Scores and | California | 269 | 22 | 43 | 267 | 22 | 44 | 262 |
| Proficiency Levels | Colorado | 281 | 32 | 20 | 283 | 34 | 13 | * |
|  | Connecticut | 281 | 35 | 19 | 284 | 35 | 8 | 282 |
| * Did not participate in testing. | Delaware | 281 | 31 | 21 | 277 | 26 | 30 | * |
|  | DC | 245 | 7 | 51 | 243 | 6 | 51 |  |
| Note: In addition to allowing | Florida | 274 | 26 | 34 | 271 | 23 | 38 | * |
| for accommodations, the | Georgia | 272 | 23 | 37 | 270 | 22 | 41 | 266 |
| for national public schools (2000 | Hawaii | 266 | 18 | 46 | 266 | 17 | 45 | 263 |
| and 2003) differ slightly from | Idaho | 281 | 30 | 24 | 280 | 28 | 24 | 278 |
| previous years' results and from | Illinois | 278 | 28 | 31 | 277 | 29 | 30 | 277 |
| previously reported results for | Indiana | 282 | 30 | 17 | 281 | 31 | 18 | 283 |
| weighting procedures. | Iowa | 284 | 34 | 12 | 284 | 33 | 8 | * |
|  | Kansas | 264 | 34 | 47 | 284 | 34 | 8 | 284 |
| SOURCE: National Center for | Kentucky | 274 | 22 | 36 | 274 | 24 | 35 | 272 |
| Education Statistics, National | Louisiana | 268 | 16 | 45 | 266 | 17 | 45 | 259 |
| Assessment of Educational | Maine | 281 | 30 | 24 | 282 | 29 | 14 | 284 |
| 2005 Mathematics Assessments. | Maryland | 278 | 30 | 30 | 278 | 30 | 29 | 276 |
|  | Massachusetts | 292 | 43 | 1 | 287 | 38 | 2 | 283 |
|  | Michigan | 277 | 30 | 32 | 276 | 28 | 34 | 278 |
|  | Minnesota | 290 | 43 | 2 | 291 | 44 | 1 | 288 |
|  | Mississippi | 262 | 13 | 50 | 261 | 12 | 50 | 254 |
|  | Missouri | 276 | 26 | 33 | 279 | 28 | 26 | 274 |
|  | Montana | 286 | 26 | 6 | 286 | 35 | 4 | 287 |
|  | Nebraska | 284 | 35 | 11 | 282 | 32 | 14 | 281 |
|  | Nevada | 270 | 21 | 42 | 268 | 20 | 42 | 268 |
|  | New Hampshire | 285 | 35 | 9 | 286 | 35 | 4 | * |
|  | New Jersey | 284 | 36 | 10 | 281 | 33 | 18 | * |
|  | New Mexico | 263 | 14 | 48 | 263 | 15 | 48 | 260 |
|  | New York | 280 | 31 | 27 | 280 | 32 | 24 | 276 |
|  | North Carolina | 282 | 32 | 16 | 281 | 32 | 18 | 280 |
|  | North Dakota | 287 | 35 | 5 | 287 | 36 | 2 | 283 |
|  | Ohio | 283 | 34 | 14 | 282 | 30 | 14 | 283 |
|  | Oklahoma | 271 | 20 | 41 | 272 | 20 | 36 | 272 |
|  | Oregon | 282 | 33 | 15 | 281 | 32 | 18 | 281 |
|  | Pennsylvania | 281 | 31 | 21 | 279 | 30 | 26 | * |
|  | Rhode Island | 272 | 23 | 37 | 272 | 24 | 36 | 273 |
|  | South Carolina | 281 | 30 | 24 | 277 | 26 | 30 | 266 |
|  | South Dakota | 287 | 36 | 4 | 285 | 35 | 7 | * |
|  | Tennessee | 271 | 21 | 40 | 268 | 21 | 42 | 263 |
|  | Texas | 281 | 31 | 21 | 277 | 25 | 30 | 275 |
|  | Utah | 279 | 30 | 28 | 281 | 31 | 18 | 275 |
|  | Vermont | 287 | 38 | 3 | 286 | 35 | 4 | 283 |
|  | Virginia | 284 | 33 | 13 | 282 | 31 | 14 | 277 |
|  | Washington | 285 | 36 | 7 | 281 | 32 | 18 | * |
|  | West Virginia | 269 | 17 | 44 | 271 | 20 | 38 | 271 |
|  | Wisconsin | 285 | 36 | 7 | 284 | 35 | 8 | * |
|  | Wyoming | 282 | 29 | 18 | 284 | 32 | 8 | 277 |


| $2000 \text { MATH }$ <br> GRADE 8 |  | 2005 MATHEMATICS GRADE 4 PUBLIC SCHOOLS |  |  | 2003 MATHEMATICS GRADE 4 PUBLIC SCHOOLS |  |  | 2000 MATHEMATICS GRADE 4 PUBLIC SCHOOLS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Mathematics Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Mathematics Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Mathematics Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank |
| 26 |  | 237 | 35 |  | 234 | 31 |  | 226 | 25 |  |
| 16 | 34 | 225 | 21 | 49 | 223 | 19 | 48 | 218 | 14 | 34 |
| * |  | 236 | 34 | 33 | 233 | 30 | 33 | * | * |  |
| 21 | 27 | 230 | 28 | 43 | 229 | 25 | 39 | 219 | 17 | 33 |
| 14 | 36 | 236 | 34 | 33 | 229 | 26 | 39 | 217 | 13 | 36 |
| 18 | 34 | 230 | 28 | 43 | 227 | 25 | 45 | 214 | 15 | 38 |
| * |  | 239 | 39 | 24 | 235 | 34 | 28 | * | * |  |
| 34 | 10 | 242 | 43 | 9 | 241 | 41 | 7 | 234 | 32 | 3 |
| * |  | 240 | 36 | 23 | 236 | 31 | 20 | * | * |  |
| 6 |  | 211 | 9 | 51 | 205 | 7 | 51 |  | 6 |  |
| * |  | 239 | 36 | 26 | 234 | 31 | 32 | * | * |  |
| 19 | 30 | 234 | 30 | 36 | 230 | 27 | 37 | 220 | 18 | 29 |
| 16 | 32 | 230 | 27 | 45 | 227 | 23 | 45 | 216 | 14 | 37 |
| 27 | 14 | 242 | 35 | 14 | 235 | 31 | 28 | 227 | 21 | 18 |
| 27 | 16 | 233 | 32 | 38 | 233 | 32 | 33 | 225 | 21 | 23 |
| 31 | 5 | 240 | 38 | 21 | 238 | 35 | 11 | 234 | 31 | 3 |
| * |  | 240 | 37 | 22 | 238 | 36 | 11 | 233 | 28 | 5 |
| 34 | 3 | 246 | 47 | 2 | 242 | 41 | 2 | 232 | 30 | 7 |
| 21 | 25 | 231 | 27 | 41 | 229 | 22 | 39 | 221 | 17 | 28 |
| 12 | 38 | 230 | 24 | 47 | 226 | 21 | 47 | 218 | 14 | 34 |
| 32 | 3 | 241 | 39 | 18 | 238 | 34 | 11 | 231 | 25 | 10 |
| 29 | 19 | 238 | 38 | 29 | 233 | 31 | 33 | 222 | 22 | 27 |
| 32 | 5 | 247 | 49 | 1 | 242 | 41 | 2 | 235 | 33 | 2 |
| 28 | 14 | 238 | 37 | 30 | 236 | 34 | 20 | 231 | 29 | 10 |
| 40 | 1 | 246 | 47 | 2 | 242 | 42 | 2 | 235 | 34 | 1 |
| 8 | 39 | 227 | 19 | 48 | 223 | 17 | 48 | 211 | 9 | 40 |
| 22 | 23 | 235 | 31 | 35 | 235 | 30 | 28 | 229 | 23 | 16 |
| 37 | 2 | 241 | 39 | 18 | 236 | 31 | 20 | 230 | 25 | 14 |
| 31 | 11 | 239 | 36 | 26 | 236 | 34 | 20 | 226 | 24 | 22 |
| 20 | 29 | 230 | 26 | 46 | 228 | 23 | 43 | 220 | 16 | 29 |
| * |  | 246 | 47 | 2 | 243 | 43 | 1 | * | * |  |
| * |  | 244 | 46 | 5 | 239 | 39 | 9 | * | * |  |
| 13 | 37 | 224 | 19 | 50 | 223 | 17 | 48 | 214 | 12 | 38 |
| 26 | 19 | 239 | 36 | 26 | 236 | 33 | 20 | 227 | 22 | 18 |
| 30 | 13 | 241 | 40 | 16 | 242 | 41 | 2 | 232 | 28 | 7 |
| 31 | 5 | 243 | 41 | 8 | 238 | 34 | 11 | 231 | 25 | 10 |
| 31 | 5 | 242 | 43 | 9 | 238 | 36 | 11 | 231 | 26 | 10 |
| 19 | 25 | 234 | 27 | 37 | 229 | 23 | 39 | 225 | 16 | 23 |
| 32 | 11 | 238 | 37 | 30 | 236 | 33 | 20 | 227 | 23 | 18 |
| * |  | 241 | 41 | 15 | 236 | 36 | 20 | * | * |  |
| 24 | 24 | 233 | 31 | 39 | 230 | 28 | 37 | 225 | 23 | 23 |
| 18 | 30 | 238 | 36 | 32 | 236 | 32 | 20 | 220 | 18 | 29 |
| * |  | 242 | 40 | 12 | 237 | 34 | 17 | * | * |  |
| 17 | 32 | 232 | 28 | 40 | 228 | 24 | 43 | 220 | 18 | 29 |
| 24 | 21 | 242 | 40 | 12 | 237 | 33 | 17 | 233 | 27 | 5 |
| 26 | 21 | 239 | 37 | 25 | 235 | 31 | 28 | 227 | 24 | 18 |
| 32 | 5 | 244 | 43 | 6 | 242 | 42 | 2 | 232 | 29 | 7 |
| 26 | 16 | 240 | 40 | 20 | 239 | 36 | 9 | 230 | 25 | 14 |
| * |  | 242 | 42 | 11 | 238 | 36 | 11 | * | * |  |
| 18 | 27 | 231 | 26 | 42 | 231 | 24 | 36 | 225 | 18 | 23 |
| * |  | 241 | 40 | 16 | 237 | 35 | 17 | * | * |  |
| 25 | 16 | 243 | 42 | 7 | 241 | 39 | 7 | 229 | 25 | 16 |

2005 READING
GRADE 8 PUBLIC SCHOOLS

2003 READING
GRADE 8 PUBLIC SCHOOLS

TABLE 2.1B
Grades 4 and 8 Reading Average NAEP Scores and Proficiency Levels

* Did not participate in testing

Note: In addition to allowing for accommodations, the accommodations-permitted results for national public schools (2002 and 2003) differ slightly from previous years' results and from previously reported results for 2000, due to changes in sample weighting procedures.

SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2002, 2003, and 2005 Mathematics Assessments.

|  | Average Reading Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Reading Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Reading Scale Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 260 | 29 |  | 261 | 30 |  | 263 |
| Alabama | 252 | 22 | 46 | 253 | 22 | 45 | 253 |
| Alaska | 259 | 27 | 34 | 256 | 27 | 42 | * |
| Arizona | 255 | 23 | 42 | 255 | 25 | 43 | 257 |
| Arkansas | 258 | 26 | 37 | 258 | 27 | 37 | 260 |
| California | 250 | 21 | 49 | 251 | 22 | 49 | 250 |
| Colorado | 265 | 31 | 21 | 268 | 36 | 10 | * |
| Connecticut | 264 | 34 | 23 | 267 | 37 | 13 | 267 |
| Delaware | 266 | 31 | 18 | 265 | 31 | 24 | 267 |
| DC | 238 | 12 | 51 | 239 | 10 | 51 | 240 |
| Florida | 256 | 25 | 41 | 257 | 27 | 41 | 261 |
| Georgia | 257 | 24 | 40 | 258 | 26 | 38 | 258 |
| Hawaii | 249 | 18 | 50 | 251 | 22 | 49 | 252 |
| Idaho | 264 | 32 | 24 | 264 | 32 | 27 | 266 |
| Illinois | 264 | 31 | 25 | 266 | 35 | 18 | * |
| Indiana | 261 | 28 | 31 | 265 | 33 | 23 | 265 |
| Iowa | 267 | 34 | 15 | 268 | 36 | 10 | * |
| Kansas | 267 | 34 | 15 | 266 | 35 | 18 | 269 |
| Kentucky | 264 | 31 | 25 | 266 | 34 | 21 | 265 |
| Louisiana | 253 | 20 | 45 | 253 | 22 | 45 | 256 |
| Maine | 270 | 38 | 2 | 268 | 37 | 7 | 270 |
| Maryland | 261 | 30 | 29 | 262 | 31 | 31 | 263 |
| Massachusetts | 274 | 44 | 1 | 273 | 43 | 1 | 271 |
| Michigan | 261 | 28 | 31 | 264 | 32 | 27 | 265 |
| Minnesota | 268 | 37 | 9 | 268 | 37 | 7 | * |
| Mississippi | 251 | 19 | 47 | 255 | 21 | 44 | 255 |
| Missouri | 265 | 31 | 21 | 267 | 34 | 14 | 268 |
| Montana | 269 | 37 | 5 | 270 | 37 | 6 | 270 |
| Nebraska | 267 | 35 | 14 | 266 | 35 | 18 | 270 |
| Nevada | 253 | 22 | 44 | 252 | 21 | 47 | 251 |
| New Hampshire | 270 | 38 | 2 | 271 | 40 | 2 | * |
| New Jersey | 269 | 37 | 5 | 268 | 37 | 7 | * |
| New Mexico | 251 | 19 | 47 | 252 | 20 | 48 | 254 |
| New York | 265 | 33 | 20 | 265 | 35 | 22 | 264 |
| North Carolina | 258 | 27 | 36 | 262 | 29 | 33 | 265 |
| North Dakota | 270 | 37 | 4 | 270 | 38 | 5 | 268 |
| Ohio | 267 | 36 | 12 | 267 | 34 | 14 | 268 |
| Oklahoma | 260 | 25 | 33 | 262 | 30 | 32 | 262 |
| Oregon | 263 | 33 | 27 | 264 | 33 | 25 | 268 |
| Pennsylvania | 267 | 36 | 12 | 264 | 32 | 27 | 265 |
| Rhode Island | 261 | 29 | 30 | 261 | 30 | 34 | 262 |
| South Carolina | 257 | 25 | 39 | 258 | 24 | 40 | 258 |
| South Dakota | 269 | 35 | 8 | 270 | 39 | 4 | * |
| Tennessee | 259 | 26 | 35 | 258 | 26 | 38 | 260 |
| Texas | 258 | 26 | 37 | 259 | 26 | 36 | 262 |
| Utah | 262 | 29 | 28 | 264 | 32 | 27 | 263 |
| Vermont | 269 | 37 | 5 | 271 | 39 | 3 | 272 |
| Virginia | 268 | 35 | 10 | 268 | 36 | 10 | 269 |
| Washington | 265 | 34 | 19 | 264 | 33 | 25 | 268 |
| West Virginia | 255 | 22 | 43 | 260 | 25 | 35 | 264 |
| Wisconsin | 266 | 34 | 17 | 266 | 37 | 17 | * |
| Wyoming | 268 | 35 | 10 | 267 | 34 | 14 | 265 |


| 2002 READING GRADE 8 |  | 2005 READING <br> GRADE 4 PUBLIC SCHOOLS |  |  | 2003 READING <br> GRADE 4 PUBLIC SCHOOLS |  |  | 2002 READING <br> GRADE 4 PUBLIC SCHOOLS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Reading Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Reading Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank | Average Reading Scale Score | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank |
| 31 |  | 217 | 30 |  | 216 | 30 |  | 217 | 30 |  |
| 21 | 38 | 208 | 22 | 45 | 207 | 22 | 45 | 207 | 22 | 39 |
| * |  | 211 | 26 | 42 | 212 | 28 | 41 | * | * |  |
| 23 | 34 | 207 | 24 | 46 | 209 | 23 | 43 | 205 | 22 | 42 |
| 27 | 31 | 217 | 29 | 34 | 214 | 28 | 38 | 213 | 26 | 34 |
| 20 | 41 | 207 | 22 | 47 | 206 | 21 | 47 | 206 | 21 | 41 |
| * |  | 224 | 36 | 11 | 224 | 37 | 6 | * | * |  |
| 37 | 13 | 226 | 39 | 4 | 228 | 43 | 1 | 229 | 43 | 2 |
| 33 | 14 | 226 | 35 | 6 | 224 | 33 | 8 | 224 | 35 | 8 |
| 10 | 42 | 191 | 11 | 51 | 188 | 10 | 51 | 191 | 10 | 44 |
| 29 | 29 | 219 | 30 | 28 | 218 | 32 | 31 | 214 | 27 | 31 |
| 26 | 32 | 214 | 26 | 39 | 214 | 27 | 39 | 215 | 28 | 30 |
| 20 | 39 | 210 | 13 | 43 | 208 | 21 | 44 | 208 | 21 | 37 |
| 34 | 15 | 222 | 33 | 18 | 218 | 30 | 33 | 220 | 32 | 21 |
| * |  | 216 | 30 | 35 | 216 | 31 | 34 | * | * |  |
| 32 | 17 | 218 | 30 | 31 | 220 | 33 | 23 | 222 | 33 | 16 |
| * |  | 221 | 33 | 21 | 223 | 35 | 10 | 223 | 35 | 11 |
| 38 | 6 | 220 | 33 | 25 | 220 | 33 | 23 | 222 | 34 | 13 |
| 32 | 17 | 220 | 30 | 27 | 219 | 31 | 29 | 219 | 30 | 25 |
| 22 | 35 | 209 | 20 | 44 | 205 | 20 | 48 | 207 | 20 | 40 |
| 38 | 3 | 225 | 36 | 8 | 224 | 36 | 7 | 225 | 35 | 6 |
| 32 | 24 | 220 | 32 | 26 | 219 | 32 | 26 | 217 | 30 | 28 |
| 39 | 2 | 231 | 44 | 1 | 228 | 40 | 2 | 234 | 47 | 1 |
| 32 | 17 | 218 | 31 | 30 | 219 | 32 | 26 | 219 | 30 | 26 |
| * |  | 225 | 38 | 7 | 223 | 37 | 9 | 225 | 37 | 4 |
| 20 | 36 | 204 | 18 | 50 | 205 | 18 | 49 | 203 | 16 | 43 |
| 33 | 12 | 221 | 32 | 24 | 222 | 34 | 13 | 220 | 32 | 22 |
| 37 | 4 | 225 | 36 | 8 | 223 | 35 | 10 | 224 | 36 | 7 |
| 36 | 5 | 221 | 33 | 21 | 221 | 32 | 22 | 222 | 34 | 14 |
| 19 | 40 | 207 | 21 | 48 | 207 | 20 | 46 | 209 | 21 | 36 |
| * |  | 227 | 39 | 2 | 228 | 40 | 2 | * | * |  |
| * |  | 223 | 38 | 12 | 225 | 39 | 5 | * | * |  |
| 20 | 37 | 207 | 21 | 48 | 203 | 19 | 50 | 208 | 21 | 38 |
| 32 | 22 | 223 | 34 | 16 | 222 | 34 | 13 | 222 | 35 | 12 |
| 32 | 17 | 217 | 30 | 32 | 221 | 33 | 19 | 222 | 32 | 18 |
| 35 | 10 | 225 | 35 | 10 | 222 | 32 | 18 | 224 | 34 | 10 |
| 35 | 10 | 223 | 35 | 14 | 222 | 34 | 13 | 222 | 34 | 15 |
| 28 | 28 | 214 | 26 | 39 | 214 | 26 | 40 | 213 | 26 | 35 |
| 37 | 8 | 217 | 30 | 32 | 218 | 31 | 32 | 220 | 31 | 24 |
| 35 | 16 | 223 | 36 | 13 | 219 | 33 | 25 | 221 | 34 | 19 |
| 30 | 27 | 216 | 30 | 35 | 216 | 29 | 35 | 220 | 32 | 23 |
| 24 | 33 | 213 | 26 | 41 | 215 | 26 | 37 | 214 | 26 | 32 |
| * |  | 222 | 33 | 18 | 222 | 33 | 17 | * | * |  |
| 28 | 30 | 214 | 27 | 38 | 212 | 26 | 42 | 214 | 25 | 33 |
| 31 | 26 | 219 | 29 | 29 | 215 | 27 | 36 | 217 | 28 | 29 |
| 32 | 24 | 221 | 35 | 20 | 219 | 32 | 26 | 222 | 33 | 17 |
| 40 | 1 | 227 | 38 | 3 | 226 | 37 | 4 | 227 | 39 | 3 |
| 37 | 7 | 226 | 37 | 5 | 223 | 35 | 10 | 225 | 37 | 5 |
| 37 | 8 | 223 | 35 | 14 | 221 | 33 | 19 | 224 | 35 | 9 |
| 29 | 23 | 215 | 26 | 37 | 219 | 29 | 30 | 219 | 28 | 27 |
| * |  | 221 | 33 | 21 | 221 | 33 | 19 | * | * |  |
| 31 | 21 | 223 | 34 | 16 | 222 | 34 | 13 | 221 | 31 | 20 |

TABLE 2.2A
Average 2005
NAEP Grade 8
Mathematics
Scores and
Proficiency, Ranked by Percent at or Above Proficient Level

Source: Author's Tabulations
Based on Table 2.1A

|  | Average Mathematics Scale Score | Rank | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 278 |  | 29 |  |
| Massachusetts | 292 | 1 | 43 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 290 | 2 | 43 | 1 |
| Vermont | 287 | 3 | 38 | 3 |
| South Dakota | 287 | 3 | 36 | 4 |
| Washington | 285 | 7 | 36 | 4 |
| Wisconsin | 285 | 7 | 36 | 4 |
| New Jersey | 284 | 10 | 36 | 4 |
| North Dakota | 287 | 3 | 35 | 8 |
| New Hampshire | 285 | 7 | 35 | 8 |
| Nebraska | 284 | 10 | 35 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 281 | 19 | 35 | 8 |
| Iowa | 284 | 10 | 34 | 12 |
| Ohio | 283 | 14 | 34 | 12 |
| Kansas | 264 | 47 | 34 | 12 |
| Virginia | 284 | 10 | 33 | 15 |
| Oregon | 282 | 15 | 33 | 15 |
| North Carolina | 282 | 15 | 32 | 17 |
| Colorado | 281 | 19 | 32 | 17 |
| Delaware | 281 | 19 | 31 | 19 |
| Pennsylvania | 281 | 19 | 31 | 19 |
| Texas | 281 | 19 | 31 | 19 |
| New York | 280 | 27 | 31 | 19 |
| Indiana | 282 | 15 | 30 | 23 |
| Idaho | 281 | 19 | 30 | 23 |
| Maine | 281 | 19 | 30 | 23 |
| South Carolina | 281 | 19 | 30 | 23 |
| Utah | 279 | 28 | 30 | 23 |
| Maryland | 278 | 28 | 30 | 23 |
| Michigan | 277 | 32 | 30 | 23 |
| Wyoming | 282 | 15 | 29 | 30 |
| Alaska | 279 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| Illinois | 278 | 28 | 28 | 32 |
| Montana | 286 | 6 | 26 | 33 |
| Missouri | 276 | 33 | 26 | 33 |
| Arizona | 274 | 34 | 26 | 33 |
| Florida | 274 | 34 | 26 | 33 |
| Georgia | 272 | 37 | 23 | 37 |
| Rhode Island | 272 | 37 | 23 | 37 |
| Kentucky | 274 | 34 | 22 | 39 |
| Arkansas | 272 | 37 | 22 | 39 |
| California | 269 | 43 | 22 | 39 |
| Tennessee | 271 | 40 | 21 | 42 |
| Nevada | 270 | 42 | 21 | 42 |
| Oklahoma | 271 | 40 | 20 | 44 |
| Hawaii | 266 | 46 | 18 | 45 |
| West Virginia | 269 | 43 | 17 | 46 |
| Louisiana | 268 | 45 | 16 | 47 |
| Alabama | 262 | 49 | 15 | 48 |
| New Mexico | 263 | 48 | 14 | 49 |
| Mississippi | 262 | 49 | 13 | 50 |
| District of Columbia | - 245 | 51 | 7 | 51 |

TABLE 2.2B
Average 2005
NAEP Grade 8
Reading Scores and
Proficiency, Ranked
by Percent at or
Above Proficient
Level
Source: Author's Tabulations
Based on Table 2.1B

|  | Average Reading Scale Score | Rank | Percent at or Above Proficiency | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 260 |  | 29 |  |
| Massachusetts | 274 | 1 | 44 | 1 |
| Maine | 270 | 2 | 38 | 2 |
| New Hampshire | 270 | 2 | 38 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 270 | 2 | 37 | 4 |
| Montana | 269 | 5 | 37 | 4 |
| New Jersey | 269 | 5 | 37 | 4 |
| Vermont | 269 | 5 | 37 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 268 | 9 | 37 | 4 |
| Ohio | 267 | 12 | 36 | 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 267 | 12 | 36 | 9 |
| South Dakota | 269 | 5 | 35 | 11 |
| Virginia | 268 | 9 | 35 | 11 |
| Wyoming | 268 | 9 | 35 | 11 |
| Nebraska | 267 | 12 | 35 | 11 |
| Iowa | 267 | 12 | 34 | 15 |
| Kansas | 267 | 12 | 34 | 15 |
| Wisconsin | 266 | 17 | 34 | 15 |
| Washington | 265 | 19 | 34 | 15 |
| Connecticut | 264 | 23 | 34 | 15 |
| New York | 265 | 19 | 33 | 20 |
| Oregon | 263 | 27 | 33 | 20 |
| Idaho | 264 | 23 | 32 | 22 |
| Delaware | 266 | 17 | 31 | 23 |
| Colorado | 265 | 19 | 31 | 23 |
| Missouri | 265 | 19 | 31 | 23 |
| Illinois | 264 | 23 | 31 | 23 |
| Kentucky | 264 | 23 | 31 | 23 |
| Maryland | 261 | 29 | 30 | 28 |
| Utah | 262 | 28 | 29 | 29 |
| Rhode Island | 261 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Indiana | 261 | 29 | 28 | 31 |
| Michigan | 261 | 29 | 28 | 31 |
| Alaska | 259 | 34 | 27 | 33 |
| North Carolina | 258 | 36 | 27 | 33 |
| Tennessee | 259 | 34 | 26 | 35 |
| Arkansas | 258 | 36 | 26 | 35 |
| Texas | 258 | 36 | 26 | 35 |
| Oklahoma | 260 | 33 | 25 | 38 |
| South Carolina | 257 | 39 | 25 | 38 |
| Florida | 256 | 41 | 25 | 38 |
| Georgia | 257 | 39 | 24 | 41 |
| Arizona | 255 | 42 | 23 | 42 |
| West Virginia | 255 | 42 | 22 | 43 |
| Nevada | 253 | 44 | 22 | 43 |
| Alabama | 252 | 46 | 22 | 43 |
| California | 250 | 49 | 21 | 46 |
| Louisiana | 253 | 44 | 20 | 47 |
| Mississippi | 251 | 47 | 19 | 48 |
| New Mexico | 251 | 47 | 19 | 48 |
| Hawaii | 249 | 50 | 18 | 50 |
| District of Columbia | a 238 | 51 | 12 | 51 |

TABLE 2.3
SAT and ACT Test Results Depending on State Usage, 2004

Note: Weighted ranking determined by ranking those states where either the ACT or SAT was taken by the greatest number of students. (1) ACT Exams are scored on a scale of 1 through 36 .
(2) SAT Exams are scored on a scale of 200 through 1600.

Source: ACT, Inc., The College
Board, and Author's Tabulations.

|  | Percent of High School Grads taking the ACT | Average Composite ACT(1) Score | ACT <br> Weighted Ranking | Percent of High School Grads taking the SAT | Average Composite SAT(2) Score | SAT <br> Weighted Ranking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 76 | 20.2 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Alaska |  |  |  | 53 | 1032 | 6 |
| Arizona |  |  |  | 32 | 1047 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 73 | 20.4 | 18 |  |  |  |
| California |  |  |  | 49 | 1020 | 12 |
| Colorado | 100 | 20.3 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Connecticut |  |  |  | 85 | 1030 | 7 |
| Delaware |  |  |  | 73 | 999 | 21 |
| District of Colum | bia |  |  | 77 | 965 | 26 |
| Florida |  |  |  | 67 | 998 | 22 |
| Georgia |  |  |  | 73 | 987 | 24 |
| Hawaii |  |  |  | 60 | 1001 | 20 |
| Idaho | 59 | 21.3 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Illinois | 99 | 20.3 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Indiana |  |  |  | 64 | 1007 | 14 |
| Iowa | 67 | 22.0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Kansas | 75 | 21.6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | 75 | 20.3 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Louisiana | 87 | 19.8 | 24 |  |  |  |
| Maine |  |  |  | 76 | 1006 | 16 |
| Maryland |  |  |  | 68 | 1026 | 9 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  | 85 | 1041 | 5 |
| Michigan | 68 | 21.4 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Minnesota | 66 | 22.2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Mississippi | 91 | 18.8 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 70 | 21.5 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Montana | 56 | 21.7 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Nebraska | 77 | 21.7 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Nevada |  |  |  | 40 | 1021 | 11 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  | 80 | 1043 | 4 |
| New Jersey |  |  |  | 83 | 1015 | 13 |
| New Mexico | 61 | 20.1 | 23 |  |  |  |
| New York |  |  |  | 87 | 1007 | 14 |
| North Carolina |  |  |  | 70 | 1006 | 16 |
| North Dakota | 81 | 21.2 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Ohio | 66 | 21.4 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 69 | 20.6 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Oregon |  |  |  | 56 | 1055 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania |  |  |  | 74 | 1003 | 19 |
| Rhode Island |  |  |  | 72 | 1005 | 18 |
| South Carolina |  |  |  | 62 | 986 | 25 |
| South Dakota | 75 | 21.5 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Tennessee | 87 | 20.5 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Texas |  |  | 52 | 52 | 992 | 23 |
| Utah | 67 | 21.5 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Vermont |  |  |  | 66 | 1028 | 8 |
| Virginia |  |  |  | 71 | 1024 | 10 |
| Washington |  |  |  | 52 | 1059 | 1 |
| West Virginia | 65 | 20.5 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin | 68 | 22.2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Wyoming | 70 | 21.4 | 10 |  |  |  |

TABLE 2.4
ACT Scores, Ranked by Composite
Score, 2004

* Core Courses = at least four years of English and three years each of mathematics (algebra and above), social sciences, and natural sciences.

Source: ACT, Inc.; 2004 ACT Composite Averages by State

|  | 2004 TOTALS |  |  | 2004 AVERAGE COMPONENT SCORES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Graduates } \\ \text { Tested } \end{gathered}$ | Average Composite Score | Rank by Composite Score | Average English Score | Average Mathematics Score | Average Reading Score | Average Science Score |
| United States | 40 | 20.9 |  | 20.4 | 20.7 | 21.3 | 20.9 |
| Alabama | 76 | 20.2 | 44 | 20.3 | 19.5 | 20.5 | 20.1 |
| Alaska | 29 | 21.3 | 28 | 20.4 | 21.4 | 22.1 | 21.1 |
| Arizona | 20 | 21.5 | 20 | 20.9 | 21.6 | 22 | 21.2 |
| Arkansas | 73 | 20.4 | 39 | 20.6 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 20.1 |
| California | 14 | 21.6 | 17 | 21.1 | 22.1 | 21.7 | 20.9 |
| Colorado | 100 | 20.3 | 40 | 19.5 | 20 | 20.6 | 20.4 |
| Connecticut | 9 | 22.5 | 3 | 22.3 | 22.4 | 23 | 21.9 |
| Delaware | 5 | 21.5 | 20 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 22 | 21.3 |
| District of Columbia | a 29 | 17.8 | 51 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 18.2 | 17.8 |
| Florida | 44 | 20.5 | 36 | 19.9 | 20.4 | 21 | 20.2 |
| Georgia | 26 | 20.0 | 47 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 19.9 |
| Hawaii | 18 | 21.7 | 14 | 20.8 | 22.5 | 21.7 | 21.4 |
| Idaho | 59 | 21.3 | 28 | 20.4 | 20.9 | 22 | 21.2 |
| Illinois | 99 | 20.3 | 40 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 20.2 |
| Indiana | 20 | 21.6 | 17 | 21 | 21.5 | 22.2 | 21.4 |
| Iowa | 67 | 22.0 | 11 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 22.4 | 22.1 |
| Kansas | 75 | 21.6 | 17 | 21.1 | 21.4 | 22 | 21.5 |
| Kentucky | 75 | 20.3 | 40 | 19.8 | 19.7 | 20.9 | 20.4 |
| Louisiana | 87 | 19.8 | 48 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 19.9 | 19.7 |
| Maine | 9 | 22.6 | 2 | 22.3 | 22.1 | 23.4 | 22 |
| Maryland | 12 | 20.8 | 34 | 20.3 | 20.6 | 21.3 | 20.6 |
| Massachusetts | 12 | 22.4 | 7 | 22.1 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 21.7 |
| Michigan | 68 | 21.4 | 25 | 20.5 | 21.1 | 21.8 | 21.5 |
| Minnesota | 66 | 22.2 | 9 | 21.4 | 22 | 22.6 | 22.3 |
| Mississippi | 91 | 18.8 | 50 | 18.9 | 17.9 | 19 | 18.8 |
| Missouri | 70 | 21.5 | 20 | 21.4 | 20.9 | 22 | 21.4 |
| Montana | 56 | 21.7 | 14 | 20.8 | 21.4 | 22.4 | 21.7 |
| Nebraska | 77 | 21.7 | 14 | 21.2 | 21.5 | 22 | 21.6 |
| Nevada | 33 | 21.2 | 30 | 20.4 | 21.1 | 21.8 | 21.1 |
| New Hampshire | 9 | 22.5 | 3 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 23.3 | 21.9 |
| New Jersey | 6 | 21.2 | 30 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 20.8 |
| New Mexico | 61 | 20.1 | 46 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 20.8 | 20.2 |
| New York | 16 | 22.3 | 8 | 21.3 | 22.4 | 22.8 | 22.3 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 20.3 | 40 | 19.4 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 20.1 |
| North Dakota | 81 | 21.2 | 30 | 20.2 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 21.4 |
| Ohio | 66 | 21.4 | 25 | 20.7 | 21.1 | 21.9 | 21.5 |
| Oklahoma | 69 | 20.6 | 35 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 21.2 | 20.6 |
| Oregon | 12 | 22.5 | 3 | 21.8 | 22.4 | 23.3 | 22.1 |
| Pennsylvania | 9 | 21.8 | 13 | 21.2 | 21.5 | 22.3 | 21.5 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 21.9 | 12 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 22.6 | 21.4 |
| South Carolina | 36 | 19.3 | 49 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 19.3 |
| South Dakota | 75 | 21.5 | 20 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 21.6 |
| Tennessee | 87 | 20.5 | 36 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 21 | 20.3 |
| Texas | 29 | 20.2 | 44 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 20.5 | 20.2 |
| Utah | 67 | 21.5 | 20 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 21.4 |
| Vermont | 12 | 22.7 | 1 | 22.4 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 22.2 |
| Virginia | 13 | 20.9 | 33 | 20.4 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 20.7 |
| Washington | 15 | 22.5 | 3 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 23.2 | 22 |
| West Virginia | 65 | 20.5 | 36 | 20.6 | 19.4 | 21.1 | 20.3 |
| Wisconsin | 68 | 22.2 | 9 | 21.5 | 22.1 | 22.5 | 22.2 |
| Wyoming | 70 | 21.4 | 25 | 20.6 | 21 | 22.2 | 21.4 |

TABLE 2.5
SAT Scores, Ranked
by 2004 Total Score
Source: The College Board and Author's Tabulations.

|  | 004 Average Composite | Rank on Composite | 1994 Average Composite | Rank on Composite | 1984 Average Composite | Rank on Composite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 1026 |  | 1003 |  | 999 |  |
| Iowa | 1195 | 1 | 1166 | 1 | 1175 | 1 |
| South Dakota | 1191 | 2 | 1121 | 7 | 1174 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 1183 | 3 | 1143 | 4 | 1156 | 4 |
| Wisconsin | 1183 | 3 | 1134 | 5 | 1115 | 11 |
| Illinois | 1182 | 5 | 1115 | 9 | 1074 | 20 |
| Minnesota | 1180 | 6 | 1145 | 3 | 1140 | 6 |
| Missouri | 1172 | 7 | 1114 | 11 | 1072 | 21 |
| Kansas | 1169 | 8 | 1133 | 6 | 1147 | 5 |
| Nebraska | 1145 | 9 | 1116 | 8 | 1118 | 9 |
| Michigan | 1136 | 10 | 1101 | 16 | 1070 | 23 |
| Oklahoma | 1135 | 11 | 1111 | 12 | 1107 | 12 |
| Louisiana | 1125 | 12 | 1105 | 13 | 1066 | 24 |
| Arkansas | 1124 | 13 | 1089 | 19 | 1096 | 15 |
| Tennessee | 1124 | 13 | 1115 | 9 | 1090 | 16 |
| Utah | 1121 | 15 | 1155 | 2 | 1173 | 3 |
| Kentucky | 1116 | 16 | 1092 | 18 | 1083 | 18 |
| Alabama | 1113 | 17 | 1103 | 15 | 1009 | 33 |
| Mississippi | 1109 | 18 | 1105 | 13 | 1080 | 19 |
| Colorado | 1107 | 19 | 1066 | 22 | 1089 | 17 |
| New Mexico | 1097 | 20 | 1096 | 17 | 1107 | 12 |
| Wyoming | 1097 | 20 | 1076 | 21 | 1134 | 8 |
| Ohio | 1080 | 22 | 1064 | 24 | 1064 | 25 |
| Idaho | 1079 | 23 | 1066 | 22 | 1007 | 35 |
| Montana | 1076 | 24 | 1082 | 20 | 1136 | 7 |
| Washington | 1059 | 25 | 1023 | 28 | 1107 | 12 |
| Oregon | 1055 | 26 | 1028 | 26 | 1013 | 32 |
| Arizona | 1047 | 27 | 1038 | 25 | 1118 | 9 |
| New Hampshire | 1043 | 28 | 1025 | 27 | 1036 | 28 |
| Massachusetts | 1041 | 29 | 1002 | 33 | 1002 | 38 |
| West Virginia | 1038 | 30 | 1023 | 28 | 1072 | 21 |
| Alaska | 1032 | 31 | 1012 | 31 | 1047 | 27 |
| Connecticut | 1030 | 32 | 999 | 35 | 1014 | 30 |
| Vermont | 1028 | 33 | 1002 | 33 | 1014 | 30 |
| Maryland | 1026 | 34 | 1008 | 32 | 1003 | 37 |
| Virginia | 1024 | 35 | 996 | 36 | 997 | 41 |
| Nevada | 1021 | 36 | 1014 | 30 | 1053 | 26 |
| California | 1020 | 37 | 995 | 38 | 999 | 40 |
| New Jersey | 1015 | 38 | 994 | 39 | 978 | 46 |
| Indiana | 1007 | 39 | 981 | 46 | 979 | 45 |
| New York | 1007 | 39 | 989 | 40 | 1008 | 34 |
| Maine | 1006 | 41 | 987 | 42 | 1005 | 36 |
| North Carolina | 1006 | 41 | 964 | 48 | 925 | 49 |
| Rhode Island | 1005 | 43 | 984 | 43 | 996 | 42 |
| Pennsylvania | 1003 | 44 | 983 | 44 | 1002 | 38 |
| Hawaii | 1001 | 45 | 981 | 46 | 972 | 47 |
| Delaware | 999 | 46 | 996 | 36 | 1018 | 29 |
| Florida | 998 | 47 | 982 | 45 | 996 | 42 |
| Texas | 992 | 48 | 989 | 40 | 993 | 44 |
| Georgia | 987 | 49 | 948 | 49 | 928 | 48 |
| South Carolina | 986 | 50 | 946 | 51 | 898 | 50 |
| District of Columbia | ia 965 | 51 | 947 | 50 | 887 | 51 |

TABLE 2.6 SAT Scores

Source: The College Board, Author's Tabulations

|  | Percent of Graduates Taking SAT in 2004 | verbal | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { math } \end{gathered}$ | total | Rank of Cumulative 2004 Scores | verbal | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { math } \end{gathered}$ | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 48 | 508 | 518 | 1026 |  | 499 | 504 | 1003 |
| Alabama | 10 | 560 | 553 | 1113 | 17 | 556 | 547 | 1103 |
| Alaska | 53 | 518 | 514 | 1032 | 31 | 510 | 502 | 1012 |
| Arizona | 32 | 523 | 524 | 1047 | 27 | 519 | 519 | 1038 |
| Arkansas | 6 | 569 | 555 | 1124 | 13 | 552 | 537 | 1089 |
| California | 49 | 501 | 519 | 1020 | 37 | 489 | 506 | 995 |
| Colorado | 27 | 554 | 553 | 1107 | 19 | 532 | 534 | 1066 |
| Connecticut | 85 | 515 | 515 | 1030 | 32 | 502 | 497 | 999 |
| Delaware | 73 | 500 | 499 | 999 | 46 | 505 | 491 | 996 |
| District of Columbia | - 77 | 489 | 476 | 965 | 51 | 479 | 468 | 947 |
| Florida | 67 | 499 | 499 | 998 | 47 | 490 | 492 | 982 |
| Georgia | 73 | 494 | 493 | 987 | 49 | 474 | 474 | 948 |
| Hawaii | 60 | 487 | 514 | 1001 | 45 | 477 | 504 | 981 |
| Idaho | 20 | 540 | 539 | 1079 | 23 | 537 | 529 | 1066 |
| Illinois | 10 | 585 | 597 | 1182 | 5 | 553 | 562 | 1115 |
| Indiana | 64 | 501 | 506 | 1007 | 39 | 488 | 493 | 981 |
| Iowa | 5 | 593 | 602 | 1195 | 1 | 580 | 586 | 1166 |
| Kansas | 9 | 584 | 585 | 1169 | 8 | 568 | 565 | 1133 |
| Kentucky | 12 | 559 | 557 | 1116 | 16 | 549 | 543 | 1092 |
| Louisiana | 8 | 564 | 561 | 1125 | 12 | 556 | 549 | 1105 |
| Maine | 76 | 505 | 501 | 1006 | 41 | 497 | 490 | 987 |
| Maryland | 68 | 511 | 515 | 1026 | 34 | 505 | 503 | 1008 |
| Massachusetts | 85 | 518 | 523 | 1041 | 29 | 502 | 500 | 1002 |
| Michigan | 11 | 563 | 573 | 1136 | 10 | 547 | 554 | 1101 |
| Minnesota | 10 | 587 | 593 | 1180 | 6 | 569 | 576 | 1145 |
| Mississippi | 5 | 562 | 547 | 1109 | 18 | 559 | 546 | 1105 |
| Missouri | 8 | 587 | 585 | 1172 | 7 | 560 | 554 | 1114 |
| Montana | 29 | 537 | 539 | 1076 | 24 | 540 | 542 | 1082 |
| Nebraska | 8 | 569 | 576 | 1145 | 9 | 557 | 559 | 1116 |
| Nevada | 40 | 507 | 514 | 1021 | 36 | 506 | 508 | 1014 |
| New Hampshire | 80 | 522 | 521 | 1043 | 28 | 515 | 510 | 1025 |
| New Jersey | 83 | 501 | 514 | 1015 | 38 | 494 | 500 | 994 |
| New Mexico | 14 | 554 | 543 | 1097 | 20 | 550 | 546 | 1096 |
| New York | 87 | 497 | 510 | 1007 | 39 | 492 | 497 | 989 |
| North Carolina | 70 | 499 | 507 | 1006 | 41 | 482 | 482 | 964 |
| North Dakota | 5 | 582 | 601 | 1183 | 3 | 570 | 573 | 1143 |
| Ohio | 28 | 538 | 542 | 1080 | 22 | 533 | 531 | 1064 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 569 | 566 | 1135 | 11 | 557 | 554 | 1111 |
| Oregon | 56 | 527 | 528 | 1055 | 26 | 513 | 515 | 1028 |
| Pennsylvania | 74 | 501 | 502 | 1003 | 44 | 494 | 489 | 983 |
| Rhode Island | 72 | 503 | 502 | 1005 | 43 | 496 | 488 | 984 |
| South Carolina | 62 | 491 | 495 | 986 | 50 | 473 | 473 | 946 |
| South Dakota | 5 | 594 | 597 | 1191 | 2 | 558 | 563 | 1121 |
| Tennessee | 16 | 567 | 557 | 1124 | 13 | 562 | 553 | 1115 |
| Texas | 52 | 493 | 499 | 992 | 48 | 489 | 500 | 989 |
| Utah | 7 | 565 | 556 | 1121 | 15 | 582 | 573 | 1155 |
| Vermont | 66 | 516 | 512 | 1028 | 33 | 504 | 498 | 1002 |
| Virginia | 71 | 515 | 509 | 1024 | 35 | 501 | 495 | 996 |
| Washington | 52 | 528 | 531 | 1059 | 25 | 511 | 512 | 1023 |
| West Virginia | 19 | 524 | 514 | 1038 | 30 | 516 | 507 | 1023 |
| Wisconsin | 7 | 587 | 596 | 1183 | 3 | 562 | 572 | 1134 |
| Wyoming | 12 | 551 | 546 | 1097 | 20 | 535 | 541 | 1076 |


| verbal | $1984$ math | total | Change in \% Cumulative Score 1994-2004 | Rank by Percent Change | Percent Change in Verbal Score 1984-2004 | Percent Change in Math Score 1984-2004 | Percent Change in Cumulative SAT Scores 1984-2004 | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 504 | 495 | 999 | 2.29\% |  | 0.79\% | 4.65\% | 2.70\% |  |
| 515 | 494 | 1009 | 0.91\% | 42 | 8.74\% | 11.94\% | 10.31\% | 1 |
| 536 | 511 | 1047 | 1.98\% | 30 | -3.36\% | 0.59\% | -1.43\% | 43 |
| 569 | 549 | 1118 | 0.87\% | 43 | -8.08\% | -4.55\% | -6.35\% | 51 |
| 560 | 536 | 1096 | 3.21\% | 12 | 1.61\% | 3.54\% | 2.55\% | 22 |
| 506 | 493 | 999 | 2.51\% | 22 | -0.99\% | 5.27\% | 2.10\% | 27 |
| 551 | 538 | 1089 | 3.85\% | 9 | 0.54\% | 2.79\% | 1.65\% | 30 |
| 517 | 497 | 1014 | 3.10\% | 15 | -0.39\% | 3.62\% | 1.58\% | 31 |
| 515 | 503 | 1018 | 0.30\% | 47 | -2.91\% | -0.80\% | -1.87\% | 44 |
| 461 | 426 | 887 | 1.90\% | 33 | 6.07\% | 11.74\% | 8.79\% | 5 |
| 507 | 489 | 996 | 1.63\% | 38 | -1.58\% | 2.04\% | 0.20\% | 37 |
| 473 | 455 | 928 | 4.11\% | 7 | 4.44\% | 8.35\% | 6.36\% | 8 |
| 477 | 495 | 972 | 2.04\% | 28 | 2.01\% | 3.84\% | 2.98\% | 18 |
| 465 | 542 | 1007 | 1.22\% | 41 | 16.13\% | -0.55\% | 7.15\% | 7 |
| 541 | 533 | 1074 | 6.01\% | 2 | 8.13\% | 12.01\% | 10.06\% | 2 |
| 493 | 486 | 979 | 2.65\% | 18 | 1.62\% | 4.12\% | 2.86\% | 19 |
| 591 | 584 | 1175 | 2.49\% | 23 | 0.34\% | 3.08\% | 1.70\% | 29 |
| 579 | 568 | 1147 | 3.18\% | 13 | 0.86\% | 2.99\% | 1.92\% | 28 |
| 550 | 533 | 1083 | 2.20\% | 24 | 1.64\% | 4.50\% | 3.05\% | 17 |
| 541 | 525 | 1066 | 1.81\% | 35 | 4.25\% | 6.86\% | 5.53\% | 11 |
| 509 | 496 | 1005 | 1.93\% | 32 | -0.79\% | 1.01\% | 0.10\% | 38 |
| 510 | 493 | 1003 | 1.79\% | 36 | 0.20\% | 4.46\% | 2.29\% | 26 |
| 509 | 493 | 1002 | 3.89\% | 8 | 1.77\% | 6.09\% | 3.89\% | 13 |
| 537 | 533 | 1070 | 3.18\% | 13 | 4.84\% | 7.50\% | 6.17\% | 9 |
| 573 | 567 | 1140 | 3.06\% | 16 | 2.44\% | 4.59\% | 3.51\% | 15 |
| 552 | 528 | 1080 | 0.36\% | 46 | 1.81\% | 3.60\% | 2.69\% | 21 |
| 543 | 529 | 1072 | 5.21\% | 3 | 8.10\% | 10.59\% | 9.33\% | 4 |
| 570 | 566 | 1136 | -0.55\% | 50 | -5.79\% | -4.77\% | -5.28\% | 50 |
| 561 | 557 | 1118 | 2.60\% | 20 | 1.43\% | 3.41\% | 2.42\% | 24 |
| 535 | 518 | 1053 | 0.69\% | 45 | -5.23\% | -0.77\% | -3.04\% | 45 |
| 525 | 511 | 1036 | 1.76\% | 37 | -0.57\% | 1.96\% | 0.68\% | 36 |
| 498 | 480 | 978 | 2.11\% | 27 | 0.60\% | 7.08\% | 3.78\% | 14 |
| 562 | 545 | 1107 | 0.09\% | 49 | -1.42\% | -0.37\% | -0.90\% | 42 |
| 510 | 498 | 1008 | 1.82\% | 34 | -2.55\% | 2.41\% | -0.01\% | 40 |
| 469 | 456 | 925 | 4.36\% | 4 | 6.40\% | 11.18\% | 8.76\% | 6 |
| 586 | 570 | 1156 | 3.50\% | 11 | -0.68\% | 5.44\% | 2.34\% | 25 |
| 537 | 527 | 1064 | 1.50\% | 39 | 0.19\% | 2.85\% | 1.50\% | 32 |
| 560 | 547 | 1107 | 2.16\% | 25 | 1.61\% | 3.47\% | 2.53\% | 23 |
| 516 | 497 | 1013 | 2.63\% | 19 | 2.13\% | 6.24\% | 4.15\% | 12 |
| 507 | 495 | 1002 | 2.03\% | 29 | -1.18\% | 1.41\% | 0.10\% | 38 |
| 504 | 492 | 996 | 2.13\% | 26 | -0.20\% | 2.03\% | 0.90\% | 35 |
| 457 | 441 | 898 | 4.23\% | 6 | 7.44\% | 12.24\% | 9.80\% | 3 |
| 594 | 580 | 1174 | 6.24\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 2.93\% | 1.45\% | 33 |
| 554 | 536 | 1090 | 0.81\% | 44 | 2.35\% | 3.92\% | 3.12\% | 16 |
| 504 | 489 | 993 | 0.30\% | 47 | -2.18\% | 2.04\% | -0.10\% | 40 |
| 591 | 582 | 1173 | -2.94\% | 51 | -4.40\% | -4.47\% | -4.43\% | 49 |
| 513 | 501 | 1014 | 2.59\% | 21 | 0.58\% | 2.20\% | 1.38\% | 34 |
| 507 | 490 | 997 | 2.81\% | 17 | 1.58\% | 3.88\% | 2.71\% | 20 |
| 561 | 546 | 1107 | 3.52\% | 10 | -5.88\% | -2.75\% | -4.34\% | 48 |
| 543 | 529 | 1072 | 1.47\% | 40 | -3.50\% | -2.84\% | -3.17\% | 46 |
| 556 | 559 | 1115 | 4.32\% | 5 | 5.58\% | 6.62\% | 6.01\% | 10 |
| 574 | 560 | 1134 | 1.95\% | 31 | -4.01\% | -2.50\% | -3.26\% | 47 |

TABLE 2.7
Historic SAT Scores by Sex
*For 1972-1986, a formula was applied to the original mean and standard deviation to convert the mean to the recentered scale. For 19871995, individual student scores were converted
to the recentered scale and then the mean was recomputed. For 1996, 1997, and 1998 most students received scores on the recentered scale.
(Any score on the original scale was converted to the recentered scale prior to recomputing the mean.)

Source: The College Board

| Year | Male | VERBAL Female | Total | Male | MATH <br> Female | Total | Male | MULATIV Female | E | \% <br> Difference <br> Between Male \& Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 531 | 529 | 530 | 527 | 489 | 509 | 1058 | 1018 | 1039 | 3.93\% |
| 1973 | 523 | 521 | 523 | 525 | 489 | 506 | 1048 | 1010 | 1029 | 3.76\% |
| 1974 | 524 | 520 | 521 | 524 | 488 | 505 | 1048 | 1008 | 1026 | 3.97\% |
| 1975 | 515 | 509 | 512 | 518 | 479 | 498 | 1033 | 988 | 1010 | 4.55\% |
| 1976 | 511 | 508 | 509 | 520 | 475 | 497 | 1031 | 983 | 1006 | 4.88\% |
| 1977 | 509 | 505 | 507 | 520 | 474 | 496 | 1029 | 979 | 1003 | 5.11\% |
| 1978 | 511 | 503 | 507 | 517 | 474 | 494 | 1028 | 977 | 1001 | 5.22\% |
| 1979 | 509 | 501 | 505 | 516 | 473 | 493 | 1025 | 974 | 998 | 5.24\% |
| 1980 | 506 | 498 | 502 | 515 | 473 | 492 | 1021 | 971 | 994 | 5.15\% |
| 1981 | 508 | 496 | 502 | 516 | 473 | 492 | 1024 | 969 | 994 | 5.68\% |
| 1982 | 509 | 499 | 504 | 516 | 473 | 493 | 1025 | 972 | 997 | 5.45\% |
| 1983 | 508 | 498 | 503 | 516 | 474 | 494 | 1024 | 972 | 997 | 5.35\% |
| 1984 | 511 | 498 | 504 | 518 | 478 | 497 | 1029 | 976 | 1001 | 5.43\% |
| 1985 | 514 | 503 | 509 | 522 | 480 | 500 | 1036 | 983 | 1009 | 5.39\% |
| 1986 | 515 | 504 | 509 | 523 | 479 | 500 | 1038 | 983 | 1009 | 5.60\% |
| 1987 | 512 | 502 | 507 | 523 | 481 | 501 | 1035 | 983 | 1008 | 5.29\% |
| 1988 | 512 | 499 | 505 | 521 | 483 | 501 | 1033 | 982 | 1006 | 5.19\% |
| 1989 | 510 | 498 | 504 | 523 | 482 | 502 | 1033 | 980 | 1006 | 5.41\% |
| 1990 | 505 | 496 | 500 | 521 | 483 | 501 | 1026 | 979 | 1001 | 4.80\% |
| 1991 | 503 | 495 | 499 | 520 | 482 | 500 | 1023 | 977 | 999 | 4.71\% |
| 1992 | 504 | 496 | 500 | 521 | 484 | 501 | 1025 | 980 | 1001 | 4.59\% |
| 1993 | 504 | 497 | 500 | 524 | 484 | 503 | 1028 | 981 | 1003 | 4.79\% |
| 1994 | 501 | 497 | 499 | 523 | 487 | 504 | 1024 | 984 | 1003 | 4.07\% |
| 1995 | 505 | 502 | 504 | 525 | 490 | 506 | 1030 | 992 | 1010 | 3.83\% |
| 1996 | 507 | 503 | 505 | 527 | 492 | 508 | 1034 | 995 | 1013 | 3.92\% |
| 1997 | 507 | 503 | 505 | 530 | 494 | 511 | 1037 | 997 | 1016 | 4.01\% |
| 1998 | 509 | 502 | 505 | 531 | 496 | 512 | 1040 | 998 | 1017 | 4.21\% |
| 1999 | 509 | 502 | 505 | 531 | 495 | 511 | 1040 | 997 | 1016 | 4.31\% |
| 2000 | 507 | 504 | 505 | 533 | 498 | 514 | 1040 | 1002 | 1019 | 3.79\% |
| 2001 | 509 | 502 | 506 | 533 | 498 | 514 | 1042 | 1000 | 1020 | 4.20\% |
| 2002 | 507 | 502 | 504 | 534 | 500 | 516 | 1041 | 1002 | 1020 | 3.89\% |
| 2003 | 512 | 503 | 507 | 537 | 503 | 519 | 1049 | 1006 | 1026 | 4.27\% |
| 2004 | 512 | 504 | 508 | 537 | 501 | 518 | 1049 | 1005 | 1026 | 4.38\% |

## CHAPTER THREE

## Measures of Correlation between Tnputs \& Outputs

## CHAPTERTHREE

While the information in the previous chapters is insightful and interesting, simply examining educational "inputs" and "outputs" does not provide an adequate answer to the most pressing question that policy makers are faced with today - namely what has or has not worked. In order to answer this question, one must take an in depth look at the link between specific indicators of educational achievement, as measured by such indicators as average SAT scores, average ACT scores, and average NAEP test scores and specific indicators of educational investments, such as expenditures per pupil, average teacher salaries, and average class sizes. More important, yet, is to understand if any specific educational inputs or combination of inputs lead to greater overall student achievement.

This chapter attempts to answer this vital question by investigating the connection between educational inputs and outputs using two different tools of statistical analysis. First, measures of inputs and outputs are placed side-byside on four different tables. Looking at these tables gives an idea of possible correlations between educational inputs and outputs. For example, if a state spends a relatively large amount of money per pupil and has a relatively high average SAT score, then it may be the case that spending large amounts of money leads to higher SAT scores. Tables, however, are not very specific, as it is difficult to look at possible relationships between states. And even if a relationship between spending per pupil and SAT scores exists in one state, for example, it may not exist in another. Furthermore, the current relationship between these factors may be merely coincidental. Tables are helpful, however, in understanding very basic relationships.

Finally, this chapter explains how the author used the two standard regression tests found in the Appendices to account for the possibility that several educational inputs are
important to student achievement. Specifically, these tests are able to combine the effect of several inputs and determine whether, collectively, they lead to greater levels of educational output. These statistical tests have the additional benefit of predicting whether individual inputs have an effect on student achievement, even if all other factors are the same.

While no statistical analysis is ever 100 percent accurate, using these statistical tools together gives legislators the best foundation for making decisions about education policy.

## Tables

Table 3.1 contains average test results for each state on the most recent SAT, ACT and NAEP 8th grade reading and mathematics exams, and three measures of public education infrastructure and staffing: schools per district, students per school, and pupils per teacher. In addition, each state is ranked for each category. There is no immediately evident correlation between staffing and infrastructure inputs and educational outputs. Specifically, states performing exceptionally well on standardized tests such as Massachusetts, Minnesota, and Oregon (all of which were in the top ten as measured by all three standardized tests) do not have an extraordinarily high number of teachers per pupil or infrastructure per pupil (none of these states ranked higher than tenth as measured by schools per district, students per school or pupils per teacher).
Table 3.2 contains average test results for each state on the most recent SAT, ACT, and NAEP 8th grade reading and mathematics exams, and three measures of public education finances: percent of total funds received from the federal government, per pupil expenditures, and average teacher salaries. Each state is ranked for each category. Again, there does not appear to be any immediate correlation between
a state's expenditures per pupil, funds from the federal government, or teacher salaries and educational performance. Washington, Iowa, and Wisconsin rank below the top ten in each of these measures, and yet have achieved the highest average test scores in the nation. Meanwhile, several states including the District of Columbia spend a relatively high amount of resources as measured per pupil and receive significant support from the federal government yet do not demonstrate high levels of student achievement.

Table 3.3 contains the same information as provided in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 but lists only the rankings for each state in those categories. The information is formatted in this way to provide an easy way to compare measures of educational inputs vs. outputs.

Table 3.4 contains information on the changing education performance in each state over the past two decades. Specifically, the table lists the percentage change in average SAT scores between 1984 and 2004. Changes in several educational inputs are also included: per pupil expenditures, average instructional staff salaries, schools per district, students per school, and pupils per teacher. This table contains the same information as in Tables 3.1 and 3.2, but presented as changes over time.

Illinois and Alabama have experienced significant increases in average SAT scores since 1984. Yet, in only one category (Illinois increase in average teacher salary) did either of these three make an "improvement" in measured educational inputs significant enough to place it in the top ten nationwide.

Thus, there appears to be no connection between changes in SAT scores over the past two decades and increases or decreases in educational inputs such as expenditures per pupil.

## Statistical Tests ${ }^{1}$

The statistical tests used in this study are able to account for the possible fact that several educational inputs together are important to student achievement. These tests have the additional benefit of predicting whether individual inputs have an effect on student achievement, even if all other factors are the same. For example, these tests can predict whether the combination of large expenditures per pupil, high teacher salaries, and small class size leads to higher SAT scores. The same test can determine whether any one of these inputs (holding the others constant) leads to greater achievement on the SAT test.

The first conclusion of these tests is that differences in educational inputs measured in this study (students per school, schools per district, student to teacher ratios, per
pupil expenditures, teacher salaries, and funds received from the federal government) taken together do not explain differences in student achievement. In other words, more schools, more school districts, a low pupil-to-teacher ratio, high expenditures per students, high teacher salaries, federal involvement in primary and secondary education together do not improve student performance as measured by average standardized test scores.

The second general conclusion of these tests is that very few of the educational inputs measured in this study, taken individually and holding all the others constant, have an impact on student performance levels. Specifically, the number of schools per district, the level of per pupil expenditures, and teacher salaries have no impact on student achievement. The tests do demonstrate a weak relationship between student performance and students per school, federal funding as a percentage of overall funding, and pupil to teacher ratios. The results of the tests, however, in regard to federal funding and pupil to teacher ratios, are counterintuitive. Specifically, the tests indicate that higher student achievement is weakly associated with more pupils per teacher and less federal involvement in primary and secondary education. Only the positive relationship between fewer students per school and greater academic achievement follows the conventional wisdom.

Moreover, all of these already weak findings are diminished further because the statistical tests used in this study show that there is no relationship between changes in SAT scores over the past two decades and changes in students per school, changes in pupil-to-teacher ratios, or changes in federal involvement, after taking into account the large variations between states.

Clearly, these tests demonstrate that the conventional wisdom that primary and secondary education in the United States can be improved by spending more money, creating more school districts, increasing teacher salaries, and spending more resources per pupil is misguided.

Moreover, it is clear that states cannot improve student performance over time simply by tweaking pupil-to-teacher ratios, building more schools, or adjusting the level of federal assistance they receive. The natural conclusion of these statistical tests (indeed of the complete analysis of this chapter) is that factors other than those measured in this study are the key determinants to high levels of academic achievement.

1 See Appendix A.

Table 3.1
Educational Achievement and Enrollment/ Staffing Inputs

Source: Author's Tabulations

|  | 2005 NAEP 8TH GRADEMATHEMATICS |  |  |  | $2004 \text { SAT }$ <br> Average Composite Score <br> Rank |  | 2004 ACT <br> Average Composite Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Score | Rank | Average Score | Rank |  |  |  |
| United States | 278 |  | 260 |  | 1026 |  | 20.9 |
| Alabama | 262 | 49 | 252 | 46 | 1113 | 17 | 20.2 |
| Alaska | 279 | 29 | 259 | 34 | 1032 | 31 | 21.3 |
| Arizona | 274 | 34 | 255 | 42 | 1047 | 27 | 21.5 |
| Arkansas | 272 | 39 | 258 | 37 | 1124 | 13 | 20.4 |
| California | 269 | 43 | 250 | 49 | 1020 | 37 | 21.6 |
| Colorado | 281 | 20 | 265 | 21 | 1107 | 19 | 20.3 |
| Connecticut | 281 | 19 | 264 | 23 | 1030 | 32 | 22.5 |
| Delaware | 281 | 21 | 266 | 18 | 999 | 46 | 21.5 |
| DC | 245 | 51 | 238 | 51 | 965 | 51 | 17.8 |
| Florida | 274 | 34 | 256 | 41 | 998 | 47 | 20.5 |
| Georgia | 272 | 37 | 257 | 40 | 987 | 49 | 20.0 |
| Hawaii | 266 | 46 | 249 | 50 | 1001 | 45 | 21.7 |
| Idaho | 281 | 24 | 264 | 24 | 1079 | 23 | 21.3 |
| Illinois | 278 | 31 | 264 | 25 | 1182 | 5 | 20.3 |
| Indiana | 282 | 17 | 261 | 31 | 1007 | 39 | 21.6 |
| Iowa | 284 | 12 | 267 | 15 | 1195 | 1 | 22.0 |
| Kansas | 264 | 47 | 267 | 15 | 1169 | 8 | 21.6 |
| Kentucky | 274 | 36 | 264 | 25 | 1116 | 16 | 20.3 |
| Louisiana | 268 | 45 | 253 | 45 | 1125 | 12 | 19.8 |
| Maine | 281 | 24 | 270 | 2 | 1006 | 41 | 22.6 |
| Maryland | 278 | 30 | 261 | 29 | 1026 | 34 | 20.8 |
| Massachusetts | 292 | 1 | 274 | 1 | 1041 | 29 | 22.4 |
| Michigan | 277 | 32 | 261 | 31 | 1136 | 10 | 21.4 |
| Minnesota | 290 | 2 | 268 | 9 | 1180 | 6 | 22.2 |
| Mississippi | 262 | 50 | 251 | 47 | 1109 | 18 | 18.8 |
| Missouri | 276 | 33 | 265 | 21 | 1172 | 7 | 21.5 |
| Montana | 286 | 6 | 269 | 5 | 1076 | 24 | 21.7 |
| Nebraska | 284 | 11 | 267 | 14 | 1145 | 9 | 21.7 |
| Nevada | 270 | 42 | 253 | 44 | 1021 | 36 | 21.2 |
| New Hampshire | 285 | 9 | 270 | 2 | 1043 | 28 | 22.5 |
| New Jersey | 284 | 10 | 269 | 5 | 1015 | 38 | 21.2 |
| New Mexico | 263 | 48 | 251 | 47 | 1097 | 20 | 20.1 |
| New York | 280 | 27 | 265 | 20 | 1007 | 39 | 22.3 |
| North Carolina | 282 | 16 | 258 | 36 | 1006 | 41 | 20.3 |
| North Dakota | 287 | 5 | 270 | 4 | 1183 | 3 | 21.2 |
| Ohio | 283 | 14 | 267 | 12 | 1080 | 22 | 21.4 |
| Oklahoma | 271 | 41 | 260 | 33 | 1135 | 11 | 20.6 |
| Oregon | 282 | 15 | 263 | 27 | 1055 | 26 | 22.5 |
| Pennsylvania | 281 | 21 | 267 | 12 | 1003 | 44 | 21.8 |
| Rhode Island | 272 | 37 | 261 | 30 | 1005 | 43 | 21.9 |
| South Carolina | 281 | 24 | 257 | 39 | 986 | 50 | 19.3 |
| South Dakota | 287 | 4 | 269 | 8 | 1191 | 2 | 21.5 |
| Tennessee | 271 | 40 | 259 | 35 | 1124 | 13 | 20.5 |
| Texas | 281 | 21 | 258 | 37 | 992 | 48 | 20.2 |
| Utah | 279 | 28 | 262 | 28 | 1121 | 15 | 21.5 |
| Vermont | 287 | 3 | 269 | 5 | 1028 | 33 | 22.7 |
| Virginia | 284 | 13 | 268 | 10 | 1024 | 35 | 20.9 |
| Washington | 285 | 7 | 265 | 19 | 1059 | 25 | 22.5 |
| West Virginia | 269 | 44 | 255 | 43 | 1038 | 30 | 20.5 |
| Wisconsin | 285 | 7 | 266 | 17 | 1183 | 3 | 22.2 |
| Wyoming | 282 | 18 | 268 | 10 | 1097 | 20 | 21.4 |


| Rank | 2003-2004 Schools per District | Rank | 2003-2004 Students per School | Rank | 2003-2004 Pupil Per Teacher Ratio | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.4 |  | 510 |  | 15.5 |  |
| 44 | 12.0 | 12 | 482 | 22 | 12.6 | 3 |
| 28 | 9.8 | 16 | 259 | 45 | 17.2 | 42 |
| 20 | 3.7 | 42 | 497 | 20 | 21.3 | 50 |
| 39 | 3.7 | 42 | 392 | 36 | 14.7 | 22 |
| 17 | 9.2 | 19 | 699 | 3 | 21.1 | 49 |
| 40 | 9.5 | 17 | 445 | 29 | 16.9 | 40 |
| 3 | 6.5 | 29 | 531 | 17 | 13.6 | 10 |
| 20 | 10.6 | 15 | 579 | 11 | 15.2 | 28 |
| 51 | 204.0 | 2 | 373 | 38 | 13.8 | 15 |
| 36 | 49.5 | 4 | 766 | 1 | 17.9 | 43 |
| 47 | 11.1 | 14 | 747 | 2 | 15.7 | 32 |
| 14 | 283.0 | 1 | 650 | 5 | 16.5 | 38 |
| 28 | 6.0 | 33 | 362 | 39 | 17.9 | 43 |
| 40 | 4.8 | 37 | 486 | 21 | 16.5 | 39 |
| 17 | 6.8 | 27 | 505 | 19 | 16.9 | 40 |
| 11 | 4.1 | 41 | 321 | 43 | 13.8 | 15 |
| 17 | 4.7 | 38 | 329 | 42 | 14.4 | 19 |
| 40 | 8.3 | 21 | 454 | 28 | 16.1 | 36 |
| 48 | 23.5 | 6 | 472 | 25 | 14.4 | 19 |
| 2 | 2.5 | 47 | 292 | 44 | 11.5 | 2 |
| 34 | 58.5 | 3 | 617 | 6 | 15.8 | 34 |
| 7 | 5.8 | 34 | 516 | 18 | 13.6 | 10 |
| 25 | 7.3 | 25 | 443 | 30 | 18.1 | 45 |
| 9 | 5.1 | 36 | 400 | 33 | 16.3 | 37 |
| 50 | 6.8 | 27 | 474 | 24 | 15.1 | 25 |
| 20 | 4.5 | 39 | 388 | 37 | 13.9 | 17 |
| 14 | 2.0 | 50 | 173 | 50 | 14.4 | 19 |
| 14 | 2.4 | 48 | 223 | 48 | 13.6 | 10 |
| 30 | 31.9 | 5 | 682 | 4 | 19.0 | 46 |
| 3 | 2.7 | 46 | 439 | 31 | 13.7 | 14 |
| 30 | 3.7 | 42 | 558 | 15 | 12.7 | 4 |
| 46 | 9.1 | 20 | 396 | 34 | 15.0 | 23 |
| 8 | 7.0 | 26 | 589 | 10 | 13.3 | 7 |
| 40 | 19.3 | 8 | 592 | 9 | 15.1 | 25 |
| 30 | 2.4 | 48 | 197 | 49 | 12.7 | 4 |
| 25 | 6.5 | 29 | 463 | 26 | 15.2 | 28 |
| 35 | 3.4 | 45 | 344 | 41 | 16.0 | 35 |
| 3 | 6.4 | 32 | 439 | 32 | 20.6 | 48 |
| 13 | 6.5 | 29 | 559 | 14 | 15.2 | 28 |
| 12 | 9.3 | 18 | 475 | 23 | 13.4 | 9 |
| 49 | 12.9 | 11 | 604 | 8 | 15.3 | 31 |
| 20 | 4.3 | 40 | 171 | 51 | 13.6 | 10 |
| 36 | 12.0 | 12 | 559 | 13 | 15.7 | 32 |
| 44 | 7.6 | 23 | 540 | 16 | 15.0 | 23 |
| 20 | 20.1 | 7 | 609 | 7 | 22.4 | 51 |
| 1 | 1.3 | 51 | 255 | 46 | 11.3 | 1 |
| 33 | 15.2 | 9 | 573 | 12 | 13.2 | 6 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 24 | 459 | 27 | 19.3 | 47 |
| 36 | 14.6 | 10 | 351 | 40 | 14.0 | 18 |
| 9 | 5.2 | 35 | 395 | 35 | 15.1 | 25 |
| 25 | 8.1 | 22 | 227 | 47 | 13.3 | 7 |

TABLE 3.2
2003-2004
Educational Achievement and Financial Inputs

Source: Author's Tabulations.

|  | 2005 NAEP <br> 8th Grade <br> Mathematics <br> Average Score <br> Rank |  | 2005 NAEP <br> 8th Grade Reading |  | $2004 \text { SAT }$ <br> Average Composite Score Rank |  | $2004$ <br> Average Composite Score | $\mathrm{ACT}$ <br> Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 278 |  | 260 |  | 1026 |  | 20.9 |  |
| Alabama | 262 | 49 | 252 | 46 | 1113 | 17 | 20.2 | 44 |
| Alaska | 279 | 29 | 259 | 34 | 1032 | 31 | 21.3 | 28 |
| Arizona | 274 | 34 | 255 | 42 | 1047 | 27 | 21.5 | 20 |
| Arkansas | 272 | 39 | 258 | 37 | 1124 | 13 | 20.4 | 39 |
| California | 269 | 43 | 250 | 49 | 1020 | 37 | 21.6 | 17 |
| Colorado | 281 | 20 | 265 | 21 | 1107 | 19 | 20.3 | 40 |
| Connecticut | 281 | 19 | 264 | 23 | 1030 | 32 | 22.5 | 3 |
| Delaware | 281 | 21 | 266 | 18 | 999 | 46 | 21.5 | 20 |
| District of Columbia | 245 | 51 | 238 | 51 | 965 | 51 | 17.8 | 51 |
| Florida | 274 | 34 | 256 | 41 | 998 | 47 | 20.5 | 36 |
| Georgia | 272 | 37 | 257 | 40 | 987 | 49 | 20.0 | 47 |
| Hawaii | 266 | 46 | 249 | 50 | 1001 | 45 | 21.7 | 14 |
| Idaho | 281 | 24 | 264 | 24 | 1079 | 23 | 21.3 | 28 |
| Illinois | 278 | 31 | 264 | 25 | 1182 | 5 | 20.3 | 40 |
| Indiana | 282 | 17 | 261 | 31 | 1007 | 39 | 21.6 | 17 |
| Iowa | 284 | 12 | 267 | 15 | 1195 | 1 | 22.0 | 11 |
| Kansas | 264 | 47 | 267 | 15 | 1169 | 8 | 21.6 | 17 |
| Kentucky | 274 | 36 | 264 | 25 | 1116 | 16 | 20.3 | 40 |
| Louisiana | 268 | 45 | 253 | 45 | 1125 | 12 | 19.8 | 48 |
| Maine | 281 | 24 | 270 | 2 | 1006 | 41 | 22.6 | 2 |
| Maryland | 278 | 30 | 261 | 29 | 1026 | 34 | 20.8 | 34 |
| Massachusetts | 292 | 1 | 274 | 1 | 1041 | 29 | 22.4 | 7 |
| Michigan | 277 | 32 | 261 | 31 | 1136 | 10 | 21.4 | 25 |
| Minnesota | 290 | 2 | 268 | 9 | 1180 | 6 | 22.2 | 9 |
| Mississippi | 262 | 50 | 251 | 47 | 1109 | 18 | 18.8 | 50 |
| Missouri | 276 | 33 | 265 | 21 | 1172 | 7 | 21.5 | 20 |
| Montana | 286 | 6 | 269 | 5 | 1076 | 24 | 21.7 | 14 |
| Nebraska | 284 | 11 | 267 | 14 | 1145 | 9 | 21.7 | 14 |
| Nevada | 270 | 42 | 253 | 44 | 1021 | 36 | 21.2 | 30 |
| New Hampshire | 285 | 9 | 270 | 2 | 1043 | 28 | 22.5 | 3 |
| New Jersey | 284 | 10 | 269 | 5 | 1015 | 38 | 21.2 | 30 |
| New Mexico | 263 | 48 | 251 | 47 | 1097 | 20 | 20.1 | 46 |
| New York | 280 | 27 | 265 | 20 | 1007 | 39 | 22.3 | 8 |
| North Carolina | 282 | 16 | 258 | 36 | 1006 | 41 | 20.3 | 40 |
| North Dakota | 287 | 5 | 270 | 4 | 1183 | 3 | 21.2 | 30 |
| Ohio | 283 | 14 | 267 | 12 | 1080 | 22 | 21.4 | 25 |
| Oklahoma | 271 | 41 | 260 | 33 | 1135 | 11 | 20.6 | 35 |
| Oregon | 282 | 15 | 263 | 27 | 1055 | 26 | 22.5 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | 281 | 21 | 267 | 12 | 1003 | 44 | 21.8 | 13 |
| Rhode Island | 272 | 37 | 261 | 30 | 1005 | 43 | 21.9 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 281 | 24 | 257 | 39 | 986 | 50 | 19.3 | 49 |
| South Dakota | 287 | 4 | 269 | 8 | 1191 | 2 | 21.5 | 20 |
| Tennessee | 271 | 40 | 259 | 35 | 1124 | 13 | 20.5 | 36 |
| Texas | 281 | 21 | 258 | 37 | 992 | 48 | 20.2 | 44 |
| Utah | 279 | 28 | 262 | 28 | 1121 | 15 | 21.5 | 20 |
| Vermont | 287 | 3 | 269 | 5 | 1028 | 33 | 22.7 | 1 |
| Virginia | 284 | 13 | 268 | 10 | 1024 | 35 | 20.9 | 33 |
| Washington | 285 | 7 | 265 | 19 | 1059 | 25 | 22.5 | 3 |
| West Virginia | 269 | 44 | 255 | 43 | 1038 | 30 | 20.5 | 36 |
| Wisconsin | 285 | 7 | 266 | 17 | 1183 | 3 | 22.2 | 9 |
| Wyoming | 282 | 18 | 268 | 10 | 1097 | 20 | 21.4 | 25 |


| 2003-2004 <br> Percent of Funds From Federal Sources | Rank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003-2004 } \\ & \text { Per Pupil } \\ & \text { Expenditures } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Rank | 2003-2004 <br> Average Instructional Staff Salary | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.52\% |  | \$9,052 |  | \$44,133 |  |
| 11.55\% | 11 | \$7,110 | 44 | \$38,325 | 43 |
| 17.71\% | 1 | \$11,833 | 5 | \$51,736 | 11 |
| 11.42\% | 12 | \$6,704 | 48 | \$41,843 | 28 |
| 11.72\% | 10 | \$7,117 | 43 | \$39,314 | 38 |
| 9.87\% | 18 | \$8,728 | 25 | \$56,444 | 3 |
| 6.50\% | 44 | \$8,486 | 30 | \$43,319 | 22 |
| 5.21\% | 49 | \$12,426 | 4 | \$57,337 | 1 |
| 8.60\% | 29 | \$11,229 | 6 | \$49,366 | 13 |
| 13.76\% | 7 | \$14,190 | 1 | \$57,009 | 2 |
| 10.53\% | 15 | \$7,610 | 39 | \$40,604 | 31 |
| 8.06\% | 32 | \$8,804 | 23 | \$45,988 | 16 |
| 8.20\% | 31 | \$8,733 | 24 | \$45,479 | 18 |
| 9.81\% | 20 | \$6,757 | 47 | \$41,080 | 30 |
| 8.45\% | 30 | \$9,580 | 19 | \$54,230 | 7 |
| 7.64\% | 36 | \$8,894 | 22 | \$45,791 | 17 |
| 7.44\% | 37 | \$8,602 | 28 | \$39,432 | 37 |
| 9.10\% | 23 | \$8,028 | 36 | \$38,623 | 40 |
| 10.59\% | 14 | \$6,913 | 45 | \$40,240 | 34 |
| 13.21\% | 8 | \$7,583 | 40 | \$37,918 | 46 |
| 8.95\% | 26 | \$10,294 | 12 | \$39,864 | 35 |
| 6.72\% | 41 | \$9,945 | 15 | \$50,261 | 12 |
| 5.98\% | 47 | \$10,926 | 8 | \$53,181 | 8 |
| 7.84\% | 34 | \$10,595 | 10 | \$54,412 | 6 |
| 5.93\% | 48 | \$9,922 | 16 | \$45,375 | 20 |
| 15.41\% | 3 | \$6,255 | 50 | \$35,684 | 48 |
| 8.04\% | 33 | \$8,521 | 29 | \$38,006 | 45 |
| 14.50\% | 6 | \$8,150 | 35 | \$37,184 | 47 |
| 8.85\% | 27 | \$9,191 | 20 | \$38,352 | 42 |
| 7.05\% | 38 | \$7,379 | 41 | \$42,254 | 26 |
| 5.21\% | 50 | \$9,656 | 18 | \$42,689 | 25 |
| 4.26\% | 51 | \$13,674 | 2 | \$55,592 | 4 |
| 14.99\% | 5 | \$8,351 | 32 | \$38,067 | 44 |
| 6.98\% | 39 | \$13,672 | 3 | \$55,181 | 5 |
| 9.59\% | 21 | \$7,222 | 42 | \$43,211 | 23 |
| 15.27\% | 4 | \$7,846 | 37 | \$35,441 | 49 |
| 6.43\% | 45 | \$10,107 | 14 | \$47,482 | 15 |
| 12.70\% | 9 | \$6,536 | 49 | \$35,061 | 50 |
| 9.05\% | 24 | \$8,690 | 26 | \$49,169 | 14 |
| 7.75\% | 35 | \$10,208 | 13 | \$51,835 | 10 |
| 6.51\% | 43 | \$10,773 | 9 | \$52,261 | 9 |
| 9.83\% | 19 | \$8,348 | 33 | \$41,162 | 29 |
| 15.69\% | 2 | \$7,780 | 38 | \$33,236 | 51 |
| 10.03\% | 16 | \$6,787 | 46 | \$40,318 | 33 |
| 9.88\% | 17 | \$8,182 | 34 | \$40,476 | 32 |
| 9.26\% | 22 | \$5,853 | 51 | \$38,976 | 39 |
| 6.96\% | 40 | \$11,054 | 7 | \$42,007 | 27 |
| 6.60\% | 42 | \$8,675 | 27 | \$43,655 | 21 |
| 8.96\% | 25 | \$8,424 | 31 | \$45,434 | 19 |
| 10.65\% | 13 | \$9,056 | 21 | \$38,461 | 41 |
| 6.06\% | 46 | \$9,899 | 17 | \$42,882 | 24 |
| 8.79\% | 28 | \$10,340 | 11 | \$39,532 | 36 |

TABLE 3.3
State-by-State
Ranking on Educational Inputs and Outputs

|  | 2005 NAEP <br> 8th Grade <br> Mathematics Rank | 2005 NAEP <br> 8th Grade Reading Rank | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \text { SAT } \\ \text { Rank } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \text { ACT } \\ \text { Rank } \end{gathered}$ | 2003-2004 Pupil Per Teacher Ratio Rank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003-2004 } \\ & \text { Schools } \\ & \text { Per District } \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 49 | 46 | 17 | 44 | 3 | 12 |
| Alaska | 29 | 34 | 31 | 28 | 42 | 16 |
| Arizona | 34 | 42 | 27 | 20 | 50 | 42 |
| Arkansas | 39 | 37 | 13 | 39 | 22 | 42 |
| California | 43 | 49 | 37 | 17 | 49 | 19 |
| Colorado | 20 | 21 | 19 | 40 | 40 | 17 |
| Connecticut | 19 | 23 | 32 | 3 | 10 | 29 |
| Delaware | 21 | 18 | 46 | 20 | 28 | 15 |
| District of Columbia | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 15 | 2 |
| Florida | 34 | 41 | 47 | 36 | 43 | 4 |
| Georgia | 37 | 40 | 49 | 47 | 32 | 14 |
| Hawaii | 46 | 50 | 45 | 14 | 38 | 1 |
| Idaho | 24 | 24 | 23 | 28 | 43 | 33 |
| Illinois | 31 | 25 | 5 | 40 | 39 | 37 |
| Indiana | 17 | 31 | 39 | 17 | 40 | 27 |
| Iowa | 12 | 15 | 1 | 11 | 15 | 41 |
| Kansas | 47 | 15 | 8 | 17 | 19 | 38 |
| Kentucky | 36 | 25 | 16 | 40 | 36 | 21 |
| Louisiana | 45 | 45 | 12 | 48 | 19 | 6 |
| Maine | 24 | 2 | 41 | 2 | 2 | 47 |
| Maryland | 30 | 29 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 3 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | 1 | 29 | 7 | 10 | 34 |
| Michigan | 32 | 31 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 25 |
| Minnesota | 2 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 37 | 36 |
| Mississippi | 50 | 47 | 18 | 50 | 25 | 27 |
| Missouri | 33 | 21 | 7 | 20 | 17 | 39 |
| Montana | 6 | 5 | 24 | 14 | 19 | 50 |
| Nebraska | 11 | 14 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 48 |
| Nevada | 42 | 44 | 36 | 30 | 46 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 9 | 2 | 28 | 3 | 14 | 46 |
| New Jersey | 10 | 5 | 38 | 30 | 4 | 42 |
| New Mexico | 48 | 47 | 20 | 46 | 23 | 20 |
| New York | 27 | 20 | 39 | 8 | 7 | 26 |
| North Carolina | 16 | 36 | 41 | 40 | 25 | 8 |
| North Dakota | 5 | 4 | 3 | 30 | 4 | 48 |
| Ohio | 14 | 12 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 29 |
| Oklahoma | 41 | 33 | 11 | 35 | 35 | 45 |
| Oregon | 15 | 27 | 26 | 3 | 48 | 32 |
| Pennsylvania | 21 | 12 | 44 | 13 | 28 | 29 |
| Rhode Island | 37 | 30 | 43 | 12 | 9 | 18 |
| South Carolina | 24 | 39 | 50 | 49 | 31 | 11 |
| South Dakota | 4 | 8 | 2 | 20 | 10 | 40 |
| Tennessee | 40 | 35 | 13 | 36 | 32 | 12 |
| Texas | 21 | 37 | 48 | 44 | 23 | 23 |
| Utah | 28 | 28 | 15 | 20 | 51 | 7 |
| Vermont | 3 | 5 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 51 |
| Virginia | 13 | 10 | 35 | 33 | 6 | 9 |
| Washington | 7 | 19 | 25 | 3 | 47 | 24 |
| West Virginia | 44 | 43 | 30 | 36 | 18 | 10 |
| Wisconsin | 7 | 17 | 3 | 9 | 25 | 35 |
| Wyoming | 18 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 7 | 22 |


| 11 | 44 | 43 | 22 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 5 | 11 | 45 |
| 12 | 48 | 28 | 20 |
| 10 | 43 | 38 | 36 |
| 18 | 25 | 3 | 3 |
| 44 | 30 | 22 | 29 |


| 49 | 4 | 22 | 17 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 29 | 6 | 13 | 11 |
| 7 | 1 | 2 | 38 |
| 15 | 39 | 31 | 1 |
| 32 | 23 | 16 | 2 |


| 31 | 24 | 18 | 5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 47 | 30 | 39 |
| 30 | 19 | 7 | 21 |
| 36 | 22 | 17 |  |

TABLE 3.4
Trend
Relationships 1983-1984 to
2003-2004

Source: Author's Tabulations

|  | 1984-2004 Percent Change in SAT Scores | Rank | Percent Change Pupil per Teacher Ratio | Rank | Percent Change in Average Instructional Staff Salary (in Constant Dollars) | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 2.70\% |  | -10.88\% |  | -1.07\% |  |
| Alabama | 10.31\% | 1 | -6.86\% | 34 | -8.41\% | 42 |
| Alaska | -1.43\% | 43 | -22.16\% | 4 | -27.56\% | 51 |
| Arizona | -6.35\% | 51 | -21.53\% | 5 | -6.34\% | 38 |
| Arkansas | 2.55\% | 22 | -11.56\% | 23 | 9.46\% | 7 |
| California | 2.10\% | 27 | -5.33\% | 41 | 5.31\% | 13 |
| Colorado | 1.65\% | 30 | -5.85\% | 38 | -9.07\% | 43 |
| Connecticut | 1.58\% | 31 | -21.11\% | 7 | 17.10\% | 1 |
| Delaware | -1.87\% | 44 | -4.27\% | 45 | 8.96\% | 9 |
| District of Columbia | 8.79\% | 5 | -7.14\% | 33 | -6.71\% | 39 |
| Florida | 0.20\% | 37 | -13.64\% | 17 | -0.82\% | 28 |
| Georgia | 6.36\% | 8 | -16.00\% | 14 | 6.54\% | 11 |
| Hawaii | 2.98\% | 18 | -5.00\% | 43 | -4.37\% | 33 |
| Idaho | 7.15\% | 7 | 2.99\% | 49 | 6.47\% | 12 |
| Illinois | 10.06\% | 2 | -6.75\% | 35 | 9.58\% | 6 |
| Indiana | 2.86\% | 19 | -11.26\% | 24 | 2.31\% | 22 |
| Iowa | 1.70\% | 29 | -10.97\% | 25 | -1.20\% | 29 |
| Kansas | 1.92\% | 28 | -19.25\% | 10 | -7.30\% | 40 |
| Kentucky | 3.05\% | 17 | -8.26\% | 28 | 4.40\% | 17 |
| Louisiana | 5.53\% | 11 | -15.24\% | 15 | 1.50\% | 25 |
| Maine | 0.10\% | 38 | -6.49\% | 36 | 10.63\% | 4 |
| Maryland | 2.29\% | 26 | -7.36\% | 32 | 1.92\% | 24 |
| Massachusetts | 3.89\% | 13 | -13.61\% | 18 | 8.02\% | 10 |
| Michigan | 6.17\% | 9 | -16.93\% | 12 | -3.38\% | 31 |
| Minnesota | 3.51\% | 15 | -12.25\% | 22 | -9.87\% | 44 |
| Mississippi | 2.69\% | 21 | -20.53\% | 9 | 4.99\% | 16 |
| Missouri | 9.33\% | 4 | -7.60\% | 31 | -5.88\% | 36 |
| Montana | -5.28\% | 50 | 15.76\% | 51 | -10.11\% | 46 |
| Nebraska | 2.42\% | 24 | -5.00\% | 44 | 2.21\% | 23 |
| Nevada | -3.04\% | 45 | -13.44\% | 19 | -10.33\% | 47 |
| New Hampshire | 0.68\% | 36 | -5.17\% | 42 | 14.50\% | 2 |
| New Jersey | 3.78\% | 14 | -7.65\% | 30 | 11.20\% | 3 |
| New Mexico | -0.90\% | 42 | -5.56\% | 40 | -5.17\% | 34 |
| New York | -0.01\% | 40 | 2.29\% | 48 | -1.64\% | 30 |
| North Carolina | 8.76\% | 6 | -7.69\% | 29 | 5.12\% | 15 |
| North Dakota | 2.34\% | 25 | -6.32\% | 37 | -7.47\% | 41 |
| Ohio | 1.50\% | 32 | -8.50\% | 27 | 5.25\% | 14 |
| Oklahoma | 2.53\% | 23 | -12.79\% | 21 | -11.04\% | 48 |
| Oregon | 4.15\% | 12 | -9.93\% | 26 | 4.14\% | 18 |
| Pennsylvania | 0.10\% | 38 | -13.84\% | 16 | 8.97\% | 8 |
| Rhode Island | 0.90\% | 35 | -21.43\% | 6 | -3.62\% | 32 |
| South Carolina | 9.80\% | 3 | -3.50\% | 46 | 3.59\% | 19 |
| South Dakota | 1.45\% | 33 | -25.81\% | 3 | -0.18\% | 27 |
| Tennessee | 3.12\% | 16 | -0.73\% | 47 | 2.47\% | 21 |
| Texas | -0.10\% | 40 | -12.82\% | 20 | -10.08\% | 45 |
| Utah | -4.43\% | 49 | -21.05\% | 8 | -6.28\% | 37 |
| Vermont | 1.38\% | 34 | -16.02\% | 13 | 9.78\% | 5 |
| Virginia | 2.71\% | 20 | 12.57\% | 50 | 2.73\% | 20 |
| Washington | -4.34\% | 48 | -26.99\% | 2 | -5.79\% | 35 |
| West Virginia | -3.17\% | 46 | -16.99\% | 11 | 1.34\% | 26 |
| Wisconsin | 6.01\% | 10 | -36.36\% | 1 | -11.54\% | 49 |
| Wyoming | -3.26\% | 47 | -5.73\% | 39 | -21.08\% | 50 |


| Percent Change in Per Pupil Expenditures (in Constant Dollars) | Rank | Percent Change in Schools per District | Rank | Percent Change in Students per School | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77.37\% |  | 18.43\% |  | 3.54\% |  |
| 86.63\% | 21 | 9.46\% | 13 | -0.62\% | 21 |
| 0.37\% | 51 | 17.04\% | 29 | 12.70\% | 41 |
| 47.72\% | 49 | 38.88\% | 47 | 19.48\% | 48 |
| 107.49\% | 6 | 37.14\% | 44 | 2.61\% | 28 |
| 74.58\% | 32 | 15.71\% | 24 | 18.42\% | 46 |
| 54.88\% | 44 | 17.20\% | 30 | 1.33\% | 25 |
| 87.48\% | 20 | 16.14\% | 25 | -12.17\% | 4 |
| 88.56\% | 17 | 16.38\% | 26 | -2.30\% | 17 |
| 100.41\% | 10 | 0.47\% | 1 | -31.34\% | 1 |
| 64.15\% | 39 | 4.68\% | 6 | 4.97\% | 31 |
| 135.49\% | 2 | 11.05\% | 16 | 21.12\% | 50 |
| 57.95\% | 40 | 0.49\% | 2 | -1.17\% | 19 |
| 88.90\% | 16 | 23.27\% | 37 | 11.01\% | 39 |
| 87.87\% | 18 | 22.22\% | 33 | -2.24\% | 18 |
| 111.37\% | 5 | 13.09\% | 19 | -5.75\% | 14 |
| 56.82\% | 41 | 22.86\% | 35 | 1.90\% | 26 |
| 55.36\% | 43 | 16.49\% | 27 | 14.41\% | 42 |
| 96.07\% | 11 | 13.15\% | 20 | -8.89\% | 7 |
| 71.85\% | 35 | 4.47\% | 5 | -6.37\% | 12 |
| 140.77\% | 1 | 28.54\% | 41 | 0.34\% | 24 |
| 73.39\% | 34 | 1.69\% | 3 | 0.30\% | 23 |
| 85.86\% | 22 | 11.81\% | 17 | 10.91\% | 38 |
| 75.07\% | 29 | 11.89\% | 18 | -13.58\% | 3 |
| 82.24\% | 23 | 38.15\% | 46 | -19.63\% | 2 |
| 76.36\% | 28 | 13.36\% | 21 | 4.44\% | 30 |
| 106.75\% | 7 | 25.93\% | 38 | -5.71\% | 15 |
| 48.27\% | 47 | 67.92\% | 51 | -11.91\% | 5 |
| 74.71\% | 31 | 60.01\% | 50 | 19.19\% | 47 |
| 64.49\% | 38 | 10.40\% | 14 | 23.71\% | 51 |
| 106.31\% | 8 | 32.69\% | 42 | 16.55\% | 45 |
| 95.44\% | 12 | 22.33\% | 34 | -7.11\% | 10 |
| 70.62\% | 36 | 15.56\% | 23 | -4.47\% | 16 |
| 79.94\% | 24 | 16.57\% | 28 | -8.15\% | 9 |
| 92.79\% | 13 | 8.75\% | 10 | 2.27\% | 27 |
| 55.95\% | 42 | 52.52\% | 49 | 14.48\% | 43 |
| 117.00\% | 4 | 13.70\% | 22 | -11.12\% | 6 |
| 33.92\% | 50 | 34.50\% | 43 | 7.25\% | 32 |
| 48.17\% | 48 | 37.34\% | 45 | 19.54\% | 49 |
| 74.14\% | 33 | 9.30\% | 11 | 8.07\% | 35 |
| 74.94\% | 30 | 10.93\% | 15 | -0.65\% | 20 |
| 135.45\% | 3 | 7.34\% | 7 | 7.93\% | 34 |
| 77.17\% | 27 | 26.15\% | 39 | -8.47\% | 8 |
| 91.14\% | 14 | 8.25\% | 8 | 10.55\% | 37 |
| 77.67\% | 26 | 28.33\% | 40 | 7.60\% | 33 |
| 70.41\% | 37 | 9.44\% | 12 | 15.40\% | 44 |
| 101.95\% | 9 | 52.16\% | 48 | 8.26\% | 36 |
| 87.65\% | 19 | 8.66\% | 9 | -5.85\% | 13 |
| 51.95\% | 46 | 23.09\% | 36 | -0.53\% | 22 |
| 89.76\% | 15 | 2.85\% | 4 | 11.21\% | 40 |
| 79.78\% | 25 | 17.75\% | 32 | 4.18\% | 29 |
| 53.17\% | 45 | 17.56\% | 31 | -6.38\% | 11 |

# Demographics, Charter Schools and School Choice 

## CHAPTER FOUR

Numerous factors that directly affect the basic structure of this country's primary and secondary education system, and indirectly impact student achievement are the result of general demographic changes. Increases in population in general, and students in particular, can place great stress on a state's or local school district's existing educational infrastructure. Other factors such as rising unemployment, deepening poverty, or a shrinking tax base can all factor into a states educational equation.

Note: Many of the basic educational demographic factors can be found in the "State Snapshot" section of this report.

## Public School Enrollment

Many states, particularly in the south and west, have experienced dramatic growth in their public school enrollment over the past two decades, while many northeastern states have experienced significant losses in student population. This can be explained by looking at the general shift in U.S. population over the past twenty years from the north and east to the southern and western states. Nevada has seen its public school enrollment increase an astonishing 156.2 percent since the 1983-1984 school year. The next closest state, Arizona, saw its student enrollment grow by a robust 99.7 percent. Over the same twenty-year period, eight states saw declines in their student population, with West Virginia having the largest decline of -24.3 percent (See table 4.3). Dramatic increases or
decreases in student enrollment can pose unique challenges to school districts and states as they either rush to fill open teacher slots or construct new buildings, or find themselves with unused buildings and an excess of teachers.

Nationally, during the 2003-2004 school year, there were approximately 48.5 million children enrolled in public schools. This represents a 23.4 percent increase since the 19831984 school year when approximately 39.3 million children were enrolled in public schools (See table 4.2 and 4.3).
States experiencing the greatest increases in student enrollment between the 1983-1984 and 2003-2004 school years: Nevada ( 156.2 percent), Arizona ( 99.7 percent), Florida (73.0 percent), California ( 56.9 percent), Texas and Georgia ( 44.9 percent), Colorado ( 39.8 percent), Washington ( 38.7 percent), Alaska (36.4 percent), and Utah (31.1 percent).

The eight states experiencing a decline in student enrollment between the 1983-1984 and 2003-2004 school years: West Virginia ( -24.3 percent), North Dakota ( -12.8 percent), the District of Columbia ( -12.1 percent), Wyoming (-11.9 percent), Louisiana ( -9.1 percent), Maine ( -3.7 percent), Montana ( -3.4 percent), and Iowa ( -3.2 percent).

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) - the research branch of the Department of Education - estimates that between 2001 and 2011, public elementary and secondary school enrollment will increase by approximately 2.9
percent nationwide. In addition, NCES's forecast predicts a continuation of the current demographic shift in student enrollment from the north and east regions of the country to the west and southwest. ${ }^{1}$

## Charter Schools

Since 1991, 41 states and the District of Columbia have passed charter school laws that grant individual public schools greater autonomy in establishing curricula, recruiting students, and setting achievement standards. The dramatic growth of charter schools over the past decade can be directly attributed to the growing demand by parents for greater educational alternatives for their children.

As of Fall 2005 there were 3,617 charter schools in operation in the chartering states (including the District of Columbia), enrolling approximately $1,074,809$ students (See Table 4.6). This represents a 67 percent increase in the number of charter schools over the past five academic years-showing that the charter school movement is gaining in momentum and acceptance throughout America. Charter school students made up slightly over one percent of the entire public school enrollment in the United States during the 2003-04 school year, doubling their numbers from 0.5 percent during the 1996-97 school year.
The effectiveness and growth of charter schools within a state depends on the strength of that specific state's charter law. The Center for Education Reform ranks the 40 states and the District of Columbia on a yearly basis to determine the relative strength or weakness of each state's charter school law (See Table 4.6). Measures of a charter law's strength are:
■ Number of charter schools permitted;

- Creation of multiple chartering authorities and a binding appeals process;
- Wide variety of acceptable applicants to run charter schools allowed;
■ New start-ups permitted;
■ Formal evidence of local support is not required of new charter schools;
- Automatic waiver from laws and regulations extended to charter schools;
- Charter schools enjoy relative legal and operational autonomy;

1. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data surveys, Various Years; National Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. Prepared July 2003.

- New charter schools guaranteed full funding;
- Charter schools given full autonomy over fiscal matters; and
- Exemption from collective bargaining and district work rules extended to charters.
The results of ranking the 41 chartering states by these ten criteria are displayed in Table 4.7. According to the Center for Education Reform's latest ranking the District of Columbia, Minnesota, Delaware, Arizona, Michigan, Indiana, and California have the strongest charter school laws-all receiving an "A" grade. Mississippi and Iowa have the weakest charter school laws-both receiving an " F " grade.
Minority enrollment in charter schools varies widely from state to state. In the District of Columbia, 100 percent of charter school students are black. In Texas, a majority of students in charter schools are Hispanic. And in Colorado, 77.9 percent of charter school students are white. Nationwide however, the percentage of enrollment by race in charter schools does not differ substantially from the percent enrollment by race in all public schools (See Figure 4.2).


## KINDERGARTEN THROUGH GRADE 8 AND ELEMENTARY UNGRADED

Table 4.1
Enrollment in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, by Level and Grade: Fall 2004

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education," 2004-05. (This table was prepared July 2005.)

|  | Total All Grades | Total <br> Grades K-8 | Kindergarten | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 48,540,725 | 34,202,239 | 3,503,280 | 3,612,509 | 3,543,781 | 3,611,041 |
| Alabama | 731,220 | 525,313 | 56,541 | 58,064 | 54,935 | 56,429 |
| Alaska | 133,933 | 93,695 | 9,475 | 9,675 | 9,501 | 9,735 |
| Arizona | 1,012,068 | 704,327 | 76,365 | 77,612 | 76,617 | 75,422 |
| Arkansas | 454,523 | 321,509 | 36,391 | 35,615 | 34,242 | 33,642 |
| California | 6,413,862 | 4,540,362 | 456,941 | 481,035 | 482,626 | 489,642 |
| Colorado | 757,693 | 536,325 | 55,913 | 57,030 | 56,188 | 55,840 |
| Connecticut | 577,203 | 407,794 | 42,310 | 43,250 | 43,102 | 43,124 |
| Delaware | 117,668 | 82,898 | 7,904 | 9,051 | 8,712 | 8,898 |
| DC | 78,057 | 59,482 | 5,659 | 5,804 | 5,723 | 5,611 |
| Florida | 2,587,628 | 1,832,376 | 191,986 | 194,449 | 188,585 | 210,301 |
| Georgia | 1,522,611 | 1,103,181 | 118,849 | 117,282 | 113,706 | 115,849 |
| Hawaii | 183,609 | 130,054 | 13,779 | 13,852 | 14,305 | 14,291 |
| Idaho | 252,120 | 175,424 | 18,590 | 18,805 | 18,924 | 18,531 |
| Illinois | 2,100,961 | 1,492,730 | 146,803 | 155,142 | 154,191 | 161,329 |
| Indiana | 1,011,130 | 716,825 | 72,315 | 79,406 | 78,267 | 77,281 |
| Iowa | 481,226 | 326,846 | 35,295 | 33,296 | 33,330 | 33,326 |
| Kansas | 470,490 | 322,575 | 33,677 | 33,314 | 32,964 | 33,436 |
| Kentucky | 663,885 | 478,258 | 48,182 | 51,999 | 46,957 | 46,957 |
| Louisiana | 727,709 | 536,390 | 56,629 | 57,028 | 53,021 | 55,554 |
| Maine | 202,084 | 139,420 | 14,021 | 14,117 | 14,073 | 14,350 |
| Maryland | 869,113 | 605,905 | 55,485 | 62,341 | 61,767 | 63,195 |
| Massachusetts | 980,459 | 692,130 | 69,704 | 72,667 | 71,840 | 73,614 |
| Michigan | 1,757,604 | 1,229,121 | 130,527 | 124,238 | 122,469 | 125,417 |
| Minnesota | 842,854 | 564,049 | 59,330 | 58,055 | 57,610 | 58,720 |
| Mississippi | 493,540 | 360,913 | 38,340 | 39,300 | 37,396 | 38,053 |
| Missouri | 905,941 | 632,230 | 66,509 | 65,711 | 65,061 | 65,507 |
| Montana | 148,356 | 100,160 | 10,147 | 10,295 | 10,319 | 10,416 |
| Nebraska | 285,542 | 195,417 | 20,719 | 20,249 | 20,145 | 20,279 |
| Nevada | 385,401 | 280,735 | 28,596 | 30,595 | 30,518 | 31,175 |
| New Hampshire | 207,417 | 142,033 | 9,989 | 15,364 | 14,951 | 15,403 |
| New Jersey | 1,380,753 | 978,589 | 93,201 | 99,969 | 98,078 | 100,088 |
| New Mexico | 323,066 | 226,032 | 23,636 | 24,165 | 23,518 | 23,769 |
| New York | 2,864,775 | 1,978,673 | 188,638 | 201,645 | 201,482 | 205,635 |
| North Carolina | 1,360,209 | 974,019 | 109,336 | 107,376 | 103,063 | 103,725 |
| North Dakota | 102,233 | 67,870 | 6,891 | 7,139 | 7,053 | 7,041 |
| Ohio | 1,845,428 | 1,278,202 | 134,036 | 134,611 | 131,269 | 134,403 |
| Oklahoma | 626,160 | 450,319 | 46,542 | 49,434 | 44,076 | 44,272 |
| Oregon | 551,273 | 378,072 | 38,785 | 40,400 | 40,749 | 40,864 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,821,146 | 1,235,624 | 118,647 | 127,988 | 128,030 | 131,904 |
| Rhode Island | 159,375 | 111,209 | 10,702 | 11,891 | 11,745 | 11,770 |
| South Carolina | 699,198 | 500,743 | 50,985 | 52,555 | 50,539 | 51,634 |
| South Dakota | 125,537 | 86,015 | 9,201 | 8,869 | 8,818 | 8,762 |
| Tennessee | 936,681 | 675,276 | 73,202 | 71,581 | 68,746 | 69,911 |
| Texas | 4,331,751 | 3,132,584 | 323,502 | 338,727 | 325,943 | 323,373 |
| Utah | 495,981 | 348,890 | 39,348 | 38,485 | 37,380 | 36,935 |
| Vermont | 99,103 | 66,732 | 6,078 | 6,517 | 6,558 | 6,767 |
| Virginia | 1,192,092 | 837,258 | 86,374 | 87,674 | 87,430 | 88,870 |
| Washington | 1,021,349 | 699,248 | 70,663 | 73,989 | 72,558 | 74,394 |
| West Virginia | 281,215 | 198,836 | 20,946 | 20,446 | 19,846 | 20,559 |
| Wisconsin | 880,031 | 589,812 | 59,372 | 58,368 | 58,877 | 59,196 |
| Wyoming | 87,462 | 59,759 | 6,224 | 6,039 | 5,978 | 5,842 |

Grade 4 Grade 5 Grade 6 Grade 7 Grade 8 : Total

| Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grades 9-12 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 | Grade 11 | Grade 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,619,089 | 3,684,539 | 3,771,934 | 3,840,514 | 3,809,431 | 14,338,486 | 4,190,636 | 3,675,312 | 3,277,253 | 3,046,516 |
| 57,323 | 58,853 | 59,799 | 61,854 | 59,663 | 205,907 | 62,718 | 53,695 | 47,489 | 42,005 |
| 10,115 | 10,169 | 10,907 | 11,095 | 11,140 | 40,238 | 11,803 | 10,623 | 9,161 | 8,651 |
| 76,207 | 75,757 | 77,508 | 77,943 | 76,376 | 307,741 | 87,576 | 79,320 | 71,561 | 68,815 |
| 34,070 | 34,265 | 35,831 | 37,165 | 37,004 | 133,014 | 37,301 | 35,343 | 31,228 | 28,840 |
| 493,415 | 492,523 | 490,081 | 500,404 | 500,143 | 1,873,500 | 528,564 | 490,214 | 440,546 | 395,194 |
| 56,437 | 57,662 | 59,013 | 59,352 | 58,897 | 221,368 | 63,312 | 56,844 | 52,288 | 48,924 |
| 43,494 | 44,259 | 45,245 | 45,333 | 45,854 | 169,409 | 48,643 | 43,547 | 39,990 | 37,229 |
| 9,097 | 9,204 | 9,439 | 9,729 | 10,222 | 34,770 | 11,009 | 8,782 | 7,687 | 7,292 |
| 5,920 | 6,030 | 5,834 | 5,626 | 5,158 | 18,575 | 5,656 | 4,585 | 3,616 | 2,971 |
| 178,109 | 199,035 | 205,864 | 209,016 | 205,443 | 755,252 | 253,565 | 191,640 | 165,283 | 144,764 |
| 117,201 | 118,777 | 121,716 | 122,621 | 120,694 | 419,430 | 135,091 | 109,851 | 93,107 | 81,381 |
| 14,538 | 14,610 | 14,444 | 14,477 | 14,543 | 53,555 | 16,459 | 13,529 | 12,904 | 10,627 |
| 18,710 | 19,197 | 19,816 | 20,088 | 20,091 | 76,696 | 20,771 | 19,963 | 18,500 | 17,462 |
| 160,246 | 158,367 | 163,901 | 162,933 | 160,271 | 608,231 | 174,343 | 155,848 | 139,504 | 136,974 |
| 77,484 | 79,582 | 81,080 | 83,447 | 81,494 | 294,305 | 85,025 | 76,648 | 68,227 | 63,632 |
| 34,290 | 35,539 | 36,701 | 37,919 | 38,428 | 154,380 | 40,486 | 38,451 | 36,794 | 36,834 |
| 33,799 | 34,358 | 35,440 | 36,025 | 36,602 | 147,915 | 38,684 | 36,652 | 34,404 | 33,819 |
| 47,890 | 48,640 | 50,255 | 51,344 | 50,186 | 185,627 | 55,129 | 47,708 | 42,419 | 38,859 |
| 59,928 | 53,646 | 58,268 | 59,116 | 60,013 | 191,319 | 58,514 | 48,397 | 43,138 | 41,270 |
| 14,841 | 15,499 | 16,510 | 16,878 | 17,321 | 62,664 | 16,891 | 16,105 | 15,125 | 14,538 |
| 65,119 | 66,227 | 69,007 | 70,013 | 68,967 | 263,208 | 78,690 | 66,269 | 59,670 | 55,897 |
| 73,478 | 74,842 | 76,945 | 77,872 | 78,635 | 288,329 | 83,759 | 73,967 | 68,214 | 62,389 |
| 127,659 | 130,524 | 135,570 | 140,088 | 139,797 | 528,483 | 153,567 | 132,565 | 119,881 | 108,688 |
| 60,045 | 62,175 | 63,653 | 65,676 | 67,909 | 278,805 | 69,744 | 68,895 | 67,558 | 72,608 |
| 38,752 | 39,468 | 39,761 | 41,101 | 38,231 | 132,627 | 39,536 | 33,563 | 28,316 | 25,918 |
| 66,927 | 70,059 | 73,628 | 74,188 | 72,806 | 273,711 | 77,175 | 70,278 | 64,387 | 61,626 |
| 10,779 | 11,102 | 11,839 | 11,944 | 12,409 | 48,196 | 12,915 | 12,252 | 11,667 | 11,258 |
| 20,480 | 21,109 | 21,531 | 22,193 | 22,792 | 90,125 | 24,374 | 22,372 | 21,507 | 21,872 |
| 30,653 | 30,928 | 31,642 | 31,884 | 31,392 | 104,666 | 34,779 | 28,685 | 22,486 | 18,700 |
| 15,810 | 16,045 | 16,889 | 17,166 | 17,703 | 65,384 | 18,286 | 16,715 | 15,879 | 14,445 |
| 99,937 | 102,102 | 103,266 | 104,426 | 103,603 | 402,164 | 108,480 | 99,843 | 90,048 | 84,539 |
| 24,382 | 25,258 | 25,428 | 26,043 | 25,857 | 97,034 | 29,840 | 25,622 | 22,067 | 19,505 |
| 206,912 | 210,704 | 214,819 | 221,138 | 219,335 | 886,102 | 257,475 | 224,166 | 175,475 | 163,362 |
| 105,411 | 105,026 | 109,682 | 109,997 | 108,717 | 386,190 | 122,508 | 100,658 | 87,106 | 75,918 |
| 7,219 | 7,603 | 7,829 | 8,098 | 8,245 | 34,363 | 8,952 | 8,659 | 8,439 | 8,313 |
| 136,776 | 141,935 | 143,406 | 148,551 | 147,064 | 567,226 | 160,873 | 144,353 | 134,007 | 127,993 |
| 44,829 | 45,738 | 47,522 | 47,511 | 47,258 | 175,841 | 49,529 | 45,189 | 41,333 | 38,601 |
| 40,903 | 41,681 | 43,168 | 44,384 | 44,711 | 173,201 | 46,213 | 43,984 | 41,476 | 40,702 |
| 135,765 | 140,412 | 145,421 | 151,157 | 150,652 | 585,522 | 162,097 | 150,643 | 138,685 | 131,199 |
| 12,429 | 12,362 | 12,720 | 13,099 | 13,018 | 48,166 | 14,188 | 12,676 | 11,345 | 9,957 |
| 52,715 | 54,801 | 56,563 | 57,460 | 53,384 | 198,455 | 69,415 | 51,238 | 39,529 | 38,273 |
| 9,140 | 9,430 | 9,825 | 9,756 | 10,082 | 39,522 | 10,375 | 9,996 | 9,585 | 9,566 |
| 70,446 | 71,876 | 73,218 | 74,812 | 72,505 | 261,405 | 79,195 | 68,430 | 59,665 | 54,115 |
| 321,788 | 324,047 | 327,094 | 329,579 | 324,381 | 1,199,167 | 377,912 | 309,851 | 267,914 | 243,490 |
| 36,064 | 35,566 | 36,526 | 36,457 | 36,386 | 147,091 | 36,028 | 36,479 | 35,004 | 34,629 |
| 7,049 | 7,239 | 7,579 | 7,820 | 8,098 | 32,371 | 8,422 | 8,218 | 7,837 | 7,614 |
| 90,729 | 91,882 | 95,158 | 96,661 | 95,586 | 354,834 | 107,033 | 90,009 | 81,313 | 76,477 |
| 75,108 | 77,856 | 80,054 | 81,000 | 82,274 | 322,101 | 88,869 | 82,120 | 76,774 | 74,338 |
| 20,753 | 21,164 | 22,066 | 22,885 | 22,255 | 82,379 | 23,723 | 20,659 | 19,439 | 18,554 |
| 61,744 | 62,970 | 65,762 | 68,192 | 68,663 | 290,219 | 77,798 | 72,043 | 70,989 | 69,389 |
| 6,174 | 6,436 | 6,711 | 6,998 | 7,173 | 27,703 | 7,346 | 7,170 | 6,687 | 6,500 |

TABLE 4.2
Enrollment in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, by State: Fall 2003, 1993, and 1983

Sources: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Digest of Educational Statistics, 1983, 1993; Common Core of DATA.

|  | Fall 2003 | Rank | Fall 1993 | Rank | Fall 1983 | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 48,540,725 |  | 43,464,916 |  | 39,252,308 |  |
| Alabama | 731,220 | 23 | 734,288 | 22 | 721,901 | 20 |
| Alaska | 133,933 | 45 | 125,948 | 46 | 98,206 | 48 |
| Arizona | 1,012,068 | 14 | 709,453 | 23 | 506,682 | 27 |
| Arkansas | 454,523 | 34 | 444,271 | 34 | 432,120 | 32 |
| California | 6,413,862 | 1 | 5,327,231 | 1 | 4,089,017 | 1 |
| Colorado | 757,693 | 22 | 625,062 | 26 | 542,196 | 26 |
| Connecticut | 577,203 | 28 | 496,298 | 31 | 477,585 | 29 |
| Delaware | 117,668 | 47 | 105,547 | 48 | 91,406 | 49 |
| District of Columbia | 78,057 | 51 | 80,678 | 51 | 88,843 | 51 |
| Florida | 2,587,628 | 4 | 2,040,763 | 4 | 1,495,543 | 8 |
| Georgia | 1,522,611 | 9 | 1,235,304 | 9 | 1,050,859 | 11 |
| Hawaii | 183,609 | 42 | 180,410 | 42 | 162,241 | 40 |
| Idaho | 252,120 | 39 | 236,774 | 38 | 206,352 | 39 |
| Illinois | 2,100,961 | 5 | 1,893,078 | 5 | 1,853,316 | 4 |
| Indiana | 1,011,130 | 15 | 965,633 | 13 | 984,384 | 12 |
| Iowa | 481,226 | 32 | 498,519 | 30 | 497,287 | 28 |
| Kansas | 470,490 | 33 | 457,614 | 33 | 405,222 | 33 |
| Kentucky | 663,885 | 26 | 655,265 | 24 | 647,414 | 23 |
| Louisiana | 727,709 | 24 | 800,560 | 20 | 800,193 | 16 |
| Maine | 202,084 | 41 | 216,995 | 40 | 209,753 | 38 |
| Maryland | 869,113 | 20 | 772,638 | 21 | 683,491 | 22 |
| Massachusetts | 980,459 | 16 | 877,726 | 15 | 878,844 | 14 |
| Michigan | 1,757,604 | 8 | 1,599,377 | 8 | 1,635,963 | 7 |
| Minnesota | 842,854 | 21 | 810,233 | 19 | 705,236 | 21 |
| Mississippi | 493,540 | 31 | 505,907 | 29 | 467,744 | 30 |
| Missouri | 905,941 | 18 | 866,378 | 17 | 795,453 | 17 |
| Montana | 148,356 | 44 | 163,009 | 43 | 153,646 | 42 |
| Nebraska | 285,542 | 37 | 285,097 | 37 | 266,998 | 37 |
| Nevada | 385,401 | 35 | 235,800 | 39 | 150,442 | 43 |
| New Hampshire | 207,417 | 40 | 185,360 | 41 | 159,030 | 41 |
| New Jersey | 1,380,753 | 10 | 1,151,307 | 10 | 1,147,841 | 9 |
| New Mexico | 323,066 | 36 | 322,292 | 35 | 269,711 | 36 |
| New York | 2,864,775 | 3 | 2,733,813 | 3 | 2,674,818 | 3 |
| North Carolina | 1,360,209 | 11 | 1,133,231 | 11 | 1,089,606 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 102,233 | 48 | 119,127 | 47 | 117,213 | 46 |
| Ohio | 1,845,428 | 6 | 1,807,319 | 6 | 1,827,300 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 626,160 | 27 | 604,076 | 27 | 591,389 | 25 |
| Oregon | 551,273 | 29 | 516,611 | 28 | 447,109 | 31 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,821,146 | 7 | 1,744,082 | 7 | 1,737,952 | 6 |
| Rhode Island | 159,375 | 43 | 145,676 | 44 | 136,412 | 44 |
| South Carolina | 699,198 | 25 | 643,696 | 25 | 604,553 | 24 |
| South Dakota | 125,537 | 46 | 142,825 | 45 | 123,060 | 45 |
| Tennessee | 936,681 | 17 | 866,557 | 16 | 822,057 | 15 |
| Texas | 4,331,751 | 2 | 3,608,262 | 2 | 2,989,796 | 2 |
| Utah | 495,981 | 30 | 471,365 | 32 | 378,208 | 34 |
| Vermont | 99,103 | 49 | 102,755 | 49 | 90,416 | 50 |
| Virginia | 1,192,092 | 12 | 1,045,471 | 12 | 966,110 | 13 |
| Washington | 1,021,349 | 13 | 915,952 | 14 | 736,239 | 19 |
| West Virginia | 281,215 | 38 | 314,383 | 36 | 371,251 | 35 |
| Wisconsin | 880,031 | 19 | 844,001 | 18 | 774,646 | 18 |
| Wyoming | 87,462 | 50 | 100,899 | 50 | 99,254 | 47 |

TABLE 4.3
Percent Changes in Student Enrollment in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, Ranked by Change from 1983-84 to 2003-04

Source: Author's Tabulations

|  | Percent Change 1983-84 to 2003-04 | Rank | Percent Change 1993-94 to 2003-04 | Rank | Percent Change 1983-84 to 1993-94 | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 23.66\% |  | 11.68\% |  | 10.73\% |  |
| Nevada | 156.18\% | 1 | 63.44\% | 1 | 56.74\% | 1 |
| Arizona | 99.74\% | 2 | 42.65\% | 2 | 40.02\% | 2 |
| Florida | 73.02\% | 3 | 26.80\% | 3 | 36.46\% | 3 |
| California | 56.86\% | 4 | 20.40\% | 6 | 30.28\% | 4 |
| Georgia | 44.89\% | 5 | 23.26\% | 4 | 17.55\% | 10 |
| Texas | 44.88\% | 6 | 20.05\% | 7 | 20.69\% | 8 |
| Colorado | 39.75\% | 7 | 21.22\% | 5 | 15.28\% | 15 |
| Washington | 38.73\% | 8 | 11.51\% | 15 | 24.41\% | 7 |
| Alaska | 36.38\% | 9 | 6.34\% | 24 | 28.25\% | 5 |
| Utah | 31.14\% | 10 | 5.22\% | 25 | 24.63\% | 6 |
| New Hampshire | 30.43\% | 11 | 11.90\% | 13 | 16.56\% | 11 |
| Delaware | 28.73\% | 12 | 11.48\% | 16 | 15.47\% | 14 |
| Maryland | 27.16\% | 13 | 12.49\% | 12 | 13.04\% | 19 |
| North Carolina | 24.83\% | 14 | 20.03\% | 8 | 4.00\% | 31 |
| Virginia | 23.39\% | 15 | 14.02\% | 11 | 8.21\% | 24 |
| Oregon | 23.30\% | 16 | 6.71\% | 22 | 15.54\% | 13 |
| Idaho | 22.18\% | 17 | 6.48\% | 23 | 14.74\% | 17 |
| Connecticut | 20.86\% | 18 | 16.30\% | 10 | 3.92\% | 32 |
| New Jersey | 20.29\% | 19 | 19.93\% | 9 | 0.30\% | 43 |
| New Mexico | 19.78\% | 20 | 0.24\% | 38 | 19.50\% | 9 |
| Minnesota | 19.51\% | 21 | 4.03\% | 31 | 14.89\% | 16 |
| Rhode Island | 16.83\% | 22 | 9.40\% | 19 | 6.79\% | 26 |
| Kansas | 16.11\% | 23 | 2.81\% | 33 | 12.93\% | 20 |
| South Carolina | 15.66\% | 24 | 8.62\% | 20 | 6.47\% | 28 |
| Tennessee | 13.94\% | 25 | 8.09\% | 21 | 5.41\% | 30 |
| Missouri | 13.89\% | 26 | 4.57\% | 28 | 8.92\% | 23 |
| Wisconsin | 13.60\% | 27 | 4.27\% | 30 | 8.95\% | 22 |
| Illinois | 13.36\% | 28 | 10.98\% | 17 | 2.15\% | 36 |
| Hawaii | 13.17\% | 29 | 1.77\% | 36 | 11.20\% | 21 |
| Massachusetts | 11.56\% | 30 | 11.70\% | 14 | -0.13\% | 46 |
| Vermont | 9.61\% | 31 | -3.55\% | 44 | 13.65\% | 18 |
| Michigan | 7.44\% | 32 | 9.89\% | 18 | -2.24\% | 49 |
| New York | 7.10\% | 33 | 4.79\% | 26 | 2.21\% | 35 |
| Nebraska | 6.95\% | 34 | 0.16\% | 39 | 6.78\% | 27 |
| Oklahoma | 5.88\% | 35 | 3.66\% | 32 | 2.15\% | 36 |
| Mississippi | 5.51\% | 36 | -2.44\% | 41 | 8.16\% | 25 |
| Arkansas | 5.18\% | 37 | 2.31\% | 34 | 2.81\% | 34 |
| Pennsylvania | 4.79\% | 38 | 4.42\% | 29 | 0.35\% | 42 |
| Indiana | 2.72\% | 39 | 4.71\% | 27 | -1.90\% | 48 |
| Kentucky | 2.54\% | 40 | 1.32\% | 37 | 1.21\% | 41 |
| South Dakota | 2.01\% | 41 | -12.10\% | 49 | 16.06\% | 12 |
| Alabama | 1.29\% | 42 | -0.42\% | 40 | 1.72\% | 38 |
| Ohio | 0.99\% | 43 | 2.11\% | 35 | -1.09\% | 47 |
| Iowa | -3.23\% | 44 | -3.47\% | 43 | 0.25\% | 44 |
| Montana | -3.44\% | 45 | -8.99\% | 46 | 6.09\% | 29 |
| Maine | -3.66\% | 46 | -6.87\% | 45 | 3.45\% | 33 |
| Louisiana | -9.06\% | 47 | -9.10\% | 47 | 0.05\% | 45 |
| Wyoming | -11.88\% | 48 | -13.32\% | 50 | 1.66\% | 39 |
| District of Columbia | -12.14\% | 49 | -3.25\% | 42 | -9.19\% | 50 |
| North Dakota | -12.78\% | 50 | -14.18\% | 51 | 1.63\% | 40 |
| West Virginia | -24.25\% | 51 | -10.55\% | 48 | -15.32\% | 51 |

TABLE 4.4
Total Student
Enrollment by Year 1993-1994 to 2003-2004

|  | 1993-1994 TOTAL STUDENTS | 1994-1995 TOTAL STUDENTS | 1995-1996 TOTAL STUDENTS | 1996-1997 <br> TOTAL STUDENTS | 1997-1998 TOTAL STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 43,464,916 | 44,111,482 | 44,840,481 | 45,611,046 | 46,126,897 |
| Alabama | 734,288 | 736,531 | 746,149 | 747,932 | 749,207 |
| Alaska | 125,948 | 127,057 | 127,618 | 129,919 | 132,123 |
| Arizona | 709,453 | 737,424 | 743,566 | 799,250 | 814,113 |
| Arkansas | 444,271 | 447,565 | 453,257 | 457,349 | 456,497 |
| California | 5,327,231 | 5,407,475 | 5,536,406 | 5,686,198 | 5,803,887 |
| Colorado | 625,062 | 640,521 | 656,279 | 673,438 | 687,167 |
| Connecticut | 496,298 | 506,824 | 517,935 | 527,129 | 535,164 |
| Delaware | 105,547 | 106,813 | 108,461 | 110,549 | 111,960 |
| District of Columbia | 80,678 | 80,450 | 79,802 | 78,648 | 77,111 |
| Florida | 2,040,763 | 2,111,188 | 2,176,222 | 2,242,212 | 2,294,077 |
| Georgia | 1,235,304 | 1,270,948 | 1,311,126 | 1,346,761 | 1,375,980 |
| Hawaii | 180,410 | 183,795 | 187,180 | 187,653 | 189,887 |
| Idaho | 236,774 | 240,448 | 243,097 | 245,252 | 244,403 |
| Illinois | 1,893,078 | 1,916,172 | 1,943,623 | 1,973,040 | 1,998,289 |
| Indiana | 965,633 | 969,022 | 977,263 | 982,876 | 986,836 |
| Iowa | 498,519 | 500,440 | 502,343 | 502,941 | 501,054 |
| Kansas | 457,614 | 460,838 | 463,008 | 466,293 | 468,687 |
| Kentucky | 655,265 | 657,642 | 659,821 | 656,089 | 669,322 |
| Louisiana | 800,560 | 797,933 | 797,366 | 793,296 | 776,813 |
| Maine | 216,995 | 212,601 | 213,569 | 213,593 | 212,579 |
| Maryland | 772,638 | 790,938 | 805,544 | 818,583 | 830,744 |
| Massachusetts | 877,726 | 893,727 | 915,007 | 933,898 | 949,006 |
| Michigan | 1,599,377 | 1,614,784 | 1,641,456 | 1,685,714 | 1,702,717 |
| Minnesota | 810,233 | 821,693 | 835,166 | 847,204 | 853,621 |
| Mississippi | 505,907 | 505,962 | 506,272 | 503,967 | 504,792 |
| Missouri | 866,378 | 878,541 | 889,881 | 900,517 | 910,613 |
| Montana | 163,009 | 164,341 | 165,547 | 164,627 | 162,335 |
| Nebraska | 285,097 | 287,100 | 289,744 | 291,967 | 292,681 |
| Nevada | 235,800 | 250,747 | 265,041 | 282,131 | 296,621 |
| New Hampshire | 185,360 | 189,319 | 194,171 | 198,308 | 201,629 |
| New Jersey | 1,151,307 | 1,174,206 | 1,197,381 | 1,227,832 | 1,250,276 |
| New Mexico | 322,292 | 327,248 | 329,640 | 332,632 | 331,673 |
| New York | 2,733,813 | 2,766,208 | 2,813,230 | 2,843,131 | 2,861,823 |
| North Carolina | 1,133,231 | 1,156,767 | 1,183,090 | 1,210,108 | 1,236,083 |
| North Dakota | 119,127 | 119,288 | 119,100 | 120,123 | 118,572 |
| Ohio | 1,807,319 | 1,814,290 | 1,836,015 | 1,844,698 | 1,847,114 |
| Oklahoma | 604,076 | 609,718 | 616,393 | 620,695 | 623,681 |
| Oregon | 516,611 | 521,945 | 527,914 | 537,854 | 541,346 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,744,082 | 1,764,946 | 1,787,533 | 1,804,256 | 1,815,151 |
| Rhode Island | 145,676 | 147,487 | 149,799 | 151,324 | 153,321 |
| South Carolina | 643,696 | 648,725 | 645,586 | 652,816 | 659,273 |
| South Dakota | 142,825 | 143,482 | 144,685 | 143,331 | 142,443 |
| Tennessee | 866,557 | 881,425 | 893,770 | 904,818 | 893,044 |
| Texas | 3,608,262 | 3,677,171 | 3,748,167 | 3,828,975 | 3,891,877 |
| Utah | 471,365 | 474,675 | 477,121 | 481,812 | 482,957 |
| Vermont | 102,755 | 104,533 | 105,565 | 106,341 | 105,984 |
| Virginia | 1,045,471 | 1,060,809 | 1,079,854 | 1,096,093 | 1,110,815 |
| Washington | 915,952 | 938,314 | 956,572 | 974,504 | 991,235 |
| West Virginia | 314,383 | 310,511 | 307,112 | 304,052 | 301,419 |
| Wisconsin | 844,001 | 860,581 | 870,175 | 879,259 | 881,780 |
| Wyoming | 100,899 | 100,314 | 99,859 | 99,058 | 97,115 |


| 1998-1999 TOTAL STUDENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1999-2000 } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ STUDENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-2001 } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ STUDENTS | 2001-2002 TOTAL STUDENTS | 2002-2003 <br> TOTAL STUDENTS | 2003-2004 TOTAL STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46,538,585 | 46,857,149 | 47,203,539 | 47,671,877 | 48,183,086 | 48,540,725 |
| 747,980 | 740,732 | 739,992 | 737,190 | 739,366 | 731,220 |
| 135,373 | 134,391 | 133,356 | 134,358 | 134,364 | 133,933 |
| 848,262 | 852,612 | 877,696 | 922,180 | 937,755 | 1,012,068 |
| 452,256 | 451,034 | 449,959 | 449,805 | 450,985 | 454,523 |
| 5,926,037 | 6,038,590 | 6,140,814 | 6,247,726 | 6,353,667 | 6,413,862 |
| 699,135 | 708,109 | 724,508 | 742,145 | 751,862 | 757,693 |
| 544,698 | 553,993 | 562,179 | 570,228 | 570,023 | 577,203 |
| 113,262 | 112,836 | 114,676 | 115,560 | 116,342 | 117,668 |
| 71,889 | 77,194 | 68,925 | 75,392 | 76,166 | 78,057 |
| 2,337,633 | 2,381,396 | 2,434,821 | 2,500,478 | 2,539,929 | 2,587,628 |
| 1,401,291 | 1,422,762 | 1,444,937 | 1,470,634 | 1,496,012 | 1,522,611 |
| 188,069 | 185,860 | 184,360 | 184,546 | 183,829 | 183,609 |
| 244,722 | 245,136 | 245,117 | 246,521 | 248,604 | 252,120 |
| 2,011,530 | 2,027,600 | 2,048,792 | 2,071,391 | 2,084,187 | 2,100,961 |
| 989,001 | 988,702 | 989,267 | 996,133 | 1,003,875 | 1,011,130 |
| 498,214 | 497,301 | 495,080 | 485,932 | 482,210 | 481,226 |
| 472,353 | 472,188 | 470,610 | 470,205 | 470,957 | 470,490 |
| 655,687 | 648,180 | 665,850 | 654,363 | 660,782 | 663,885 |
| 768,734 | 756,579 | 743,089 | 731,328 | 730,464 | 727,709 |
| 211,051 | 209,253 | 207,037 | 205,586 | 204,337 | 202,084 |
| 841,671 | 846,582 | 852,920 | 860,640 | 866,743 | 869,113 |
| 962,317 | 971,425 | 975,150 | 973,140 | 982,989 | 980,459 |
| 1,720,287 | 1,725,639 | 1,720,626 | 1,730,668 | 1,785,160 | 1,757,604 |
| 856,455 | 854,034 | 854,340 | 851,384 | 846,891 | 842,854 |
| 502,379 | 500,716 | 497,871 | 493,507 | 492,645 | 493,540 |
| 913,494 | 914,110 | 912,744 | 909,792 | 906,499 | 905,941 |
| 159,988 | 157,556 | 154,875 | 151,947 | 149,995 | 148,356 |
| 291,140 | 288,261 | 286,199 | 285,095 | 285,402 | 285,542 |
| 311,061 | 325,610 | 340,706 | 356,814 | 369,498 | 385,401 |
| 204,713 | 206,783 | 208,461 | 206,847 | 207,671 | 207,417 |
| 1,268,996 | 1,289,256 | 1,313,405 | 1,341,656 | 1,367,438 | 1,380,753 |
| 328,753 | 324,495 | 320,306 | 320,260 | 320,234 | 323,066 |
| 2,877,143 | 2,887,776 | 2,882,188 | 2,872,132 | 2,888,233 | 2,864,775 |
| 1,254,821 | 1,275,925 | 1,293,638 | 1,315,363 | 1,335,954 | 1,360,209 |
| 114,927 | 112,751 | 109,201 | 106,047 | 104,225 | 102,233 |
| 1,842,163 | 1,836,554 | 1,835,049 | 1,830,985 | 1,838,285 | 1,845,428 |
| 628,492 | 627,032 | 623,110 | 622,139 | 624,548 | 626,160 |
| 542,809 | 545,033 | 546,231 | 551,480 | 554,071 | 551,273 |
| 1,816,414 | 1,816,716 | 1,814,311 | 1,821,627 | 1,816,747 | 1,821,146 |
| 154,785 | 156,454 | 157,347 | 158,046 | 159,205 | 159,375 |
| 664,600 | 666,780 | 677,411 | 676,198 | 694,389 | 699,198 |
| 132,495 | 131,037 | 128,603 | 127,542 | 130,048 | 125,537 |
| 905,454 | 916,202 | 909,161 | 924,899 | 927,608 | 936,681 |
| 3,945,367 | 3,991,783 | 4,059,619 | 4,163,447 | 4,259,823 | 4,331,751 |
| 481,176 | 480,255 | 481,485 | 484,677 | 489,262 | 495,981 |
| 105,120 | 104,559 | 102,049 | 101,179 | 99,978 | 99,103 |
| 1,124,022 | 1,133,994 | 1,144,915 | 1,163,091 | 1,177,229 | 1,192,092 |
| 998,053 | 1,003,714 | 1,004,770 | 1,009,200 | 1,014,798 | 1,021,349 |
| 297,530 | 291,811 | 286,367 | 282,885 | 282,455 | 281,215 |
| 879,542 | 877,753 | 879,476 | 879,361 | 881,231 | 880,031 |
| 95,241 | 92,105 | 89,940 | 88,128 | 88,116 | 87,462 |


|  |  |  | Number of Schools | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian/ Pacific Islander | Am. Indian/ Alaskan Native | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alaska | charter <br> all public | 20 | 70.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 22.4 | 0.1 |
| Minority |  |  | 506 | 60.4 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 25.5 |  |
| Enrollment in | Arizona | charterall public | 491 | 55.1 | 7.8 | 23.4 | 1.3 | 12.1 | 0.1 |
| Charter Schools | California |  | 1742 | 51.7 | 4.8 | 35.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 |  |
|  |  | charter | 500 | 54.5 | 10.8 | 26.6 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| Compared to Public Schools |  | all public | 8914 | 34.8 | 8.4 | 44.3 | 11.2 | 0.9 |  |
|  | Colorado | charter | 97 | 76.6 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
|  |  | all public | 1630 | 66.8 | 5.7 | 23.3 | 3 | 1.2 |  |
| Source: U. S. Department of Education; State of Charter Schools 2000, Fourth Year Report; January 2000 | Connecticut | charter | 16 | 32.5 | 45 | 21 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 |
|  |  | all public | 1073 | 69.3 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 3 | 0.3 |  |
|  | District of Colum | a charter | 42 | 1 | 74.3 | 19.9 | 3.6 | 0 | 1.3 |
|  |  | all public | 193 | 4.6 | 84.4 | 9.4 | 1.6 | 0 |  |
|  |  | charter | 13 | 56.2 | 39 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Delaware | all public | 197 | 59.7 | 31.3 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 0.3 |  |
|  | Florida | charter | 258 | 48.8 | 40.3 | 9.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
|  |  | all public | 3314 | 52.6 | 24.9 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 |  |
|  | Georgia | charter | 36 | 69.6 | 23.4 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
|  |  | all public | 1969 | 53.8 | 38.2 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  |
|  | Illinois | charter | 30 | 8.6 | 67 | 23.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  | all public | 4292 | 59.1 | 21.3 | 16.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 |  |
|  | Kansas | charter | 31 | 90.2 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
|  |  | all public | 1423 | 77.8 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 |  |
|  | Louisiana | charter | 16 | 25 | 72.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
|  |  | all public | 1509 | 48.8 | 47.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 |  |
|  | Massachusetts | charter |  | 58 | 20.1 | 13.8 | 2 | 1.1 | 4.2 |
|  |  | all public | 1889 | 75.7 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 4.5 | 0.3 |  |
|  | Michigan | charter | 210 | 50 | 41.4 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
|  |  | all public | 3782 | 73.8 | 20.1 | 3.6 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | Minnesota | charter | 97 | 51.9 | 26.7 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 7 | 0.7 |
|  |  | all public | 2119 | 82 | 7 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 2 |  |
|  | New Jersey | charter | 52 | 20.6 | 62.1 | 13.5 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
|  |  | all public | 2410 | 59.4 | 17.9 | 16 | 6.6 | 0.2 |  |
|  | New Mexico | charter | 37 | 35.3 | 2.1 | 56.8 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 0 |
|  |  | all public | 792 | 34.3 | 2.4 | 51 | 1.1 | 11.3 |  |
|  | North Carolina | charter | 94 | 48.4 | 47.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
|  |  | all public | 2223 | 59.7 | 31.2 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 |  |
|  | Ohio | charter | 139 | 44.1 | 49.8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 |
|  |  | all public | 3826 | 80.1 | 16.7 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 |  |
|  | Pennsylvania | charter | 103 | 25.1 | $59.1$ | $13.8$ | $1.8$ | $0$ | 0.1 |
|  |  | all public | 3185 | 77.7 | 15.3 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 0.1 |  |
|  | South Carolina | charter | 19 | 23.1 | 72.3 | 0 | 0 | 4.6 | 0.6 |
|  |  | all public | 1053 | 56.2 | 42.8 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.2 |  |
|  | Texas | charter | 241 | 23.1 | 33.9 | 39.5 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
|  |  | all public | 7646 | 41 | 14.4 | 41.8 | 2.8 | 0.3 |  |
|  | Wisconsin | charter | 146 | 72.6 | 16 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
|  |  | all public | 2208 | 80.1 | 10.2 | 5 | 3.4 | 1.4 |  |

TABLE 4.6
Basic Information on Charter Schools by State (through
Fall 2005)
Source: Center for Education Reform; Nation Charter School Data At-A-Glance, October 2005. Center for Education Reform, Charter Schools: Today, Changing the Face of American Education, February 2006

|  | Year Legislation Passed | Rank of Charter School Law | Charter School Law Grade | Number of Charter Schools | Number of Students Attending Charter School |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 1995 | 33 | D | 24 | 4,773 |
| Arizona | 1994 | 4 | A | 449 | 96,934 |
| Arkansas | 1995 | 35 | D | 17 | 3,477 |
| California | 1992 | 7 | A | 592 | 219,480 |
| Colorado | 1993 | 8 | B | 116 | 38,032 |
| Connecticut | 1996 | 28 | C | 15 | 2,676 |
| Delaware | 1995 | 1 | A | 15 | 6,791 |
| District of Columbia | 1996 | 3 | A | 65 | 20,116 |
| Florida | 1996 | 9 | B | 326 | 96,676 |
| Georgia | 1993 | 26 | C | 49 | 21,116 |
| Hawaii | 1994 | 34 | D | 27 | 5,405 |
| Idaho | 1998 | 27 | C | 23 | 7,795 |
| Illinois | 1996 | 24 | C | 41 | 17,235 |
| Indiana | 2001 | 6 | A | 29 | 7,013 |
| Iowa | 2002 | 40 | F | 7 | 1332 |
| Kansas | 1994 | 38 | D | 25 | 1,950 |
| Louisiana | 1995 | 25 | C | 21 | 6,685 |
| Maryland | 2003 | 37 | D | 15 | 3812 |
| Massachusetts | 1993 | 10 | B | 57 | 20,555 |
| Michigan | 1993 | 5 | A | 233 | 86,874 |
| Minnesota | 1991 | 2 | A | 126 | 20,650 |
| Mississippi | 1997 | 41 | F | 1 | 380 |
| Missouri | 1998 | 13 | B | 26 | 10,780 |
| Nevada | 1997 | 28 | C | 20 | 6,672 |
| New Hampshire | 1995 | 23 | C | 6 | 517 |
| New Jersey | 1996 | 17 | B | 52 | 14,440 |
| New Mexico | 1993 | 20 | B | 51 | 9,888 |
| New York | 1998 | 13 | B | 79 | 12,468 |
| North Carolina | 1996 | 15 | B | 100 | 28,159 |
| Ohio | 1997 | 12 | B | 277 | 85,082 |
| Oklahoma | 1999 | 21 | C | 13 | 3,866 |
| Oregon | 1999 | 16 | B | 62 | 9,616 |
| Pennsylvania | 1997 | 11 | B | 115 | 51,504 |
| Rhode Island | 1995 | 36 | D | 11 | 2,398 |
| South Carolina | 1996 | 22 | C | 26 | 5,171 |
| Tennessee | 2002 | 31 | C | 12 | 1842 |
| Texas | 1995 | 19 | B | 259 | 85,444 |
| Utah | 1998 | 28 | C | 39 | 11,797 |
| Virginia | 1998 | 38 | D | 5 | 528 |
| Wisconsin | 1993 | 18 | B | 188 | 35,406 |
| Wyoming | 1995 | 32 | D | 3 | 479 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 3,617 | 1,074,809 |

TABLE 4.7
Ranking F Charter School Laws and Detailed Scores for Each State

Source: Center for Education Reform, Charter Schools: Today, Changing the Face of American Education, February 2006

Note: Individual scores based on a scale of 1-5; 1 being a weak law and 5 being a strong law. A strong law is one that fosters the development of numerous, genuinely independent charter schools.

| State | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { CER Grade } \end{gathered}$ | Year Law Passed | Number of Schools Allowed | School May be Started Multiple Chartering Authorities | Eligible Charter Applicants | New Starts Allowed | Without Evidence of Local Support |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D.C. | A | 1996 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Minnesota | A | 1991 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Delaware | A | 1995 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Arizona | A | 1994 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Michigan | A | 1993 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| Indiana | A | 2001 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| California | A | 1992 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| Colorado | B | 1993 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Florida | B | 1996 | 4.0 | 1.75 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| Massachusetts | B | 1993 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Pennsylvania | B | 1997 | 5.0 | 1.75 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| Ohio | B | 1997 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| Missouri | B | 1998 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| New York | B | 1998 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| North Carolina | B | 1996 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| Oregon | B | 1999 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| New Jersey | B | 1996 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| Wisconsin | B | 1993 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 2.5 |
| Texas | B | 1995 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| New Mexico | B | 1993 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| Oklahoma | C | 1999 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| South Carolina | C | 1996 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 2.0 |
| New Hampshire | C | 1995 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Illinois | C | 1996 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 |
| Louisiana | C | 1995 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 2.0 |
| Georgia | C | 1993 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| Idaho | C | 1998 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 |
| Utah | C | 1998 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| Connecticut | C | 1996 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 1.0 |
| Nevada | C | 1997 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| Tennessee | C | 2002 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| Wyoming | D | 1995 | 5.0 | 1.75 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| Alaska | D | 1995 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 |
| Hawaii | D | 1994 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| Arkansas | D | 1995 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| Rhode Island | D | 1995 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | D | 2003 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| Kansas | D | 1994 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 1.0 |
| Virginia | D | 1998 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| Iowa | F | 2002 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Mississippi | F | 1997 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |


| Automatic Waiver from State and District Laws | Legal/ Operational Autonomy | Guaranteed Full Per-Pupil Funding | Fiscal Autonomy | Exempt from Collective Bargaining Agreement/ District Work Rules | Total | Rank 2006 | Rank 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 46.50 | 1 | 3 |
| 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 45.50 | 2 | 2 |
| 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 44.50 | 3 | 4 |
| 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 44.00 | 4 | 1 |
| 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 42.00 | 5 | 5 |
| 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 41.50 | 6 | 6 |
| 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 40.50 | 7 | 15 |
| 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 39.50 | 8 | 9 |
| 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 38.75 | 9 | 8 |
| 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 37.50 | 10 | 7 |
| 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 37.25 | 11 | 13 |
| 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 37.00 | 12 | 11 |
| 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 36.50 | 13 | 14 |
| 3.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 36.50 | 13 | 10 |
| 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 35.50 | 15 | 12 |
| 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 34.00 | 16 | 16 |
| 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 32.50 | 17 | 17 |
| 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 32.05 | 18 | 18 |
| 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 30.75 | 19 | 19 |
| 2.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 30.00 | 20 | 20 |
| 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 29.00 | 21 | 22 |
| 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 28.75 | 22 | 23 |
| 4.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 28.00 | 23 | 31 |
| 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 27.00 | 24 | 21 |
| 2.5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 26.25 | 25 | 24 |
| 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 25.00 | 26 | 25 |
| 4.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 23.70 | 27 | 27 |
| 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 23.00 | 28 | 26 |
| 2.5 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 23.00 | 28 | 28 |
| 2.5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 23.00 | 28 | 29 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 20.75 | 31 | 32 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 19.75 | 32 | 30 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 19.00 | 33 | 34 |
| 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 18.00 | 34 | 33 |
| 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.00 | 35 | 35 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 15.00 | 36 | 36 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 14.50 | 37 | n/a |
| 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.00 | 38 | 38 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.00 | 38 | 37 |
| 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.00 | 40 | 39 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.50 | 41 | 40 |

TABLE 4.8A
Ranking of State School Choice Programs

Source: The Milton \& Rose D. Friedman Foundation; Grading Vouchers: Ranking America's School Choice Programs; March 2004

Note: This report was written before the recent passage of a school voucher program for the District of Columbia and before a Denver district judge struck down Colorado's voucher legislation.

TABLE 4.8B
Ranking of State School Choice Programs By Usability

Source: The Milton \& Rose D. Friedman Foundation; Using School Choice: Analyzing How Parents Access Educational Freedom; October 2005.

Note: This report grades each of the $14 \mathrm{~K}-12$ school choice programs operating in the U.S. at the time of the analysis on the processes and procedures that parents must go through in order to participate in the program.

|  | Academic/ <br> Income <br> Restrictions <br> Rank | Program <br> Scope <br> Restrictions <br> Rating/Grade | Student <br> Eligibility <br> Overall <br> Rating/Grade | Purchasing <br> Power <br> Rating/Grade | General <br> School <br> Restrictions <br> Rating/Grade |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL "McKay" Vouchers | 1 | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $3.5 / \mathrm{A}-$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ |
| AZ Tax Credit Vouchers | 2 | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $2.5 / \mathrm{B}-$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ |
| PA Tax Credit Vouchers | 3 | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ |
| VT Tuitioning | 4 | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ |
| ME Tuitioning | 5 | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ |
| FL "Opportunity" Vouchers | 6 | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ |
| CO Vouchers | 7 | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ |
| FL Tax Credit Scholarships | 8 | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $2.5 / \mathrm{B}-$ | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ |
| IL Personal Tax Credit | 9 | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $0 / \mathrm{F}$ |
| MN Personal Tax Deduction | 10 | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $0 / \mathrm{F}$ |
| WI Vouchers (Milwaukee) | 11 | 2/C | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ |
| OH Vouchers (Cleveland) | 12 | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $2.5 / \mathrm{B}-$ | $0 / \mathrm{F}$ |
| IA Personal Tax Credit | 13 | $1 / \mathrm{D}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $0 / \mathrm{F}$ |


| School Voucher Programs |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| PROGRAM | EVALUATION |
| Milwaukee vouchers | Excellent |
| Maine and Vermont town tuitioning | Excellent |
| Florida McKay vouchers | Good |
| Cleveland vouchers | Fair |
| Ohio autism vouchers | Poor |
| Florida A+ vouchers | Poor |
| Washington D.C. vouchers |  |
|  | EVALUATION |
| Tax-Funded Scholarships | Excellent |
| PROGRAM | Good |
| Arizona tax-funded scholarships | Good |
| Florida tax-funded scholarships |  |
| Pennsylvania tax-funded scholarships | EVALUATION |
| Tax Credits and Deductions | Excellent |
| PROGRAM | Excellent |
| Illinois personal tax credit | Good |
| Iowa personal tax credit |  |
| Minnesota personal tax deduction and credit |  |


| Admission <br> Policy <br> Restrictions <br> Rating/Grade | Testing/ <br> Outcome <br> Restrictions <br> Rating/Grade | School <br> Eligibility <br> overall <br> Rating/Grade | Overall <br> Grade <br> Rating/Grade | Rating/Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/B | 4/A | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $3.3 / \mathrm{B}+$ | $3.6 / \mathrm{A}-$ |
| 4/A | 4/A | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $3.5 / \mathrm{A}-$ |
| 4/A | 4/A | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $3.33 / \mathrm{B}+$ |
| 1/D | 4/A | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $1.9 / \mathrm{C}$ | $2.96 / \mathrm{B}$ |
| 1/D | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $1.8 / \mathrm{C}-$ | $2.93 / \mathrm{B}$ |
| 3/B | 1/D | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $2.6 / \mathrm{B}-$ | $2.86 / \mathrm{B}$ |
| 3/B | 4/A | $3 / \mathrm{B}$ | $3.2 / \mathrm{B}+$ | $2.73 / \mathrm{B}-$ |
| 4/A | 3/B | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $3.8 / \mathrm{A}-$ | $2.43 / \mathrm{C}+$ |
| 4/A | 4/A | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ |
| 4/A | 4/A | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $4 / \mathrm{A}$ | $2 / \mathrm{C}$ |
| 3/B | 1/D | 2/C | $2.5 / \mathrm{B}-$ | $1.83 / \mathrm{C}$ |
| 3/B | 2/C | 4/A | $2.9 / \mathrm{B}$ | $1.8 / \mathrm{C}-$ |
| 3/B | 4/A | 4/A | $3.3 / \mathrm{B}+$ | $1.76 / \mathrm{C}-$ |

## TABLE 4.9

## Public School Districts and

## Enrollment, by Size of District:

1994-95 to 2001-02

| Enrollment Size of District | Number of Districts | 1994-95 <br> Percent of <br> Districts | Percent of Students | Number of Districts | 1995-96 Percent of Districts | Percent of Students | Number of Districts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1996-97 } \\ & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Districts } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of Students | Number of Districts | 1997-98 Percent of Districts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 14,772 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 14,883 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 14,841 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 14,805 | 100.0 |
| 25,000 or more | 207 | 1.4\% | 29.9\% | 216 | 1.5\% | 30.5\% | 226 | 1.5\% | 31.10\% | 230 | 1.6 |
| 10,000 to 24,999 | 542 | 3.7\% | 18.6\% | 553 | 3.7\% | 18.6\% | 569 | 3.8\% | 18.70\% | 572 | 3.9 |
| 5,000 to 9,999 | 996 | 6.7\% | 15.7\% | 1,013 | 6.8\% | 15.7\% | 1,024 | 6.9\% | 15.50\% | 1,038 | 7.0 |
| 2,500 to 4,999 | 2,013 | 13.6\% | 16.1\% | 2,027 | 13.6\% | 16.0\% | 2,069 | 13.9\% | 15.90\% | 2,079 | 14.0 |
| 1,000 to 2,499 | 3,579 | 24.2\% | 13.4\% | 3,554 | 23.9\% | 13.1\% | 3,536 | 23.8\% | 12.70\% | 3,524 | 23.8 |
| 600 to 999 | 1,777 | 12.0\% | 3.2\% | 1,777 | 11.9\% | 3.2\% | 1,772 | 11.9\% | 3.10\% | 1,775 | 12.0 |
| 300 to 599 | 2,113 | 14.3\% | 2.1\% | 2,104 | 14.1\% | 2.1\% | 2,066 | 13.9\% | 2.00\% | 2,044 | 13.8 |
| 1 to 299 | 3,173 | 21.5\% | 1.0\% | 3,123 | 21.0\% | 1.0\% | 3,160 | 21.3\% | 1.00\% | 3,165 | 21.4 |
| Size not reported | 372 | 25.2\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 516 | 3.5\% | n/a | 419 | 2.80\% | n/a | 378 | 2.6 |

Source: Overview of Public Elementary and Secondary Schools and Districts: 2002-2003, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.

NOTE: Size not reported includes school districts reporting enrollment of 0. Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data Surveys.

| Percent of Students | Number of Districts | 1998-99 Percent of Districts | Percent of Students | Number of Districts | 1999-00 Percent of Districts | Percent of Students | Number of Districts | 2000-01 <br> Percent of Districts | Percent of Students |  | 2001-02 <br> Percent of Districts | Percent of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100.0 | 14,891 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 14,928 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 14,514 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 14,229 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 31.5 | 236 | 1.6 | 31.9 | 238 | 1.6 | 32.1 | 240 | 1.7 | 32.3 | 243 | 1.7 | 32.7 |
| 18.6 | 574 | 3.9 | 18.6 | 579 | 3.9 | 18.7 | 581 | 4.0 | 18.1 | 573 | 4.0 | 18.7 |
| 15.5 | 1,026 | 6.9 | 15.3 | 1,036 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 1,036 | 7.1 | 15.3 | 1,067 | 7.5 | 15.7 |
| 15.9 | 2,062 | 13.8 | 15.7 | 2,068 | 13.9 | 15.6 | 2,061 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 2,031 | 14.3 | 15.2 |
| 12.5 | 3,496 | 23.5 | 12.4 | 3,457 | 23.2 | 12.1 | 3,448 | 23.8 | 12.1 | 3,429 | 24.1 | 11.9 |
| 3.1 | 1,790 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 1,814 | 12.2 | 3.1 | 1,776 | 12.2 | 3.1 | 1,744 | 12.3 | 2.9 |
| 2.0 | 2,066 | 13.9 | 2.0 | 2,081 | 13.9 | 2.0 | 2,107 | 14.5 | 2.0 | 2,015 | 14.2 | 1.9 |
| 0.9 | 3,245 | 21.8 | 1.0 | 3,298 | 22.1 | 1.0 | 3,265 | 22.5 | 1.0 | 3,127 | 22.0 | 0.9 |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 396 | 2.7 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 357 | 2.4 | n/a | 345 | 2.4 | n/a | 330 | 2.3 | n/a |

## Conclusion

According to the Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr., "Change does not roll in on the wheels of inevitability, but comes through continuous struggle." But how much longer will it take our nation before we come to our senses and realize that we are on the verge of losing a generation of children trapped in poor performing "government run" schools because we are not willing to stand up to the education establishment and hold them accountable.

One has only to read through the results of this year's Report Card on American Education to see that while we have talked a good game since the "Goals 2000" report was released we have made very little real progress in improving our children's academic performance. Despite increasing per-pupil spending by over 77 percent (after adjusting for inflation) over the past 20 years, nearly three-quarters of our public school $8^{\text {th }}$ graders still perform below the proficiency level in mathematics-a continuing trend that does not bode well for America's future place in the world's increasingly connected global economy.

Parents have taken up their proverbial pitchforks in ever increasing numbers over the past five years and have demanded solutions to these challenges from their elected officials. The result has been a slowly expanding school choice movement that is seeing new programs pop up and existing programs expanded in state after state.

As more and more parents see that they can-and shouldhave a choice in their child's education, it causes more and more leaks in the dam that has been holding back real educational reform. And soon, the educational establishment will run out of fingers to plug those leaks and then the flood of educational reform and school choice will finally be free to flow all across this great nation-bringing liberation to many that have struggled far too long to escape from an educational system that has failed them all too often.

## Appendix A: Methodology and Technical Notes

Table ES. 1 ranks the 50 states and the District of Columbia based on a measure of academic achievement devised by the author. The underlying performance measures are average test scores on the SAT in 2004, the ACT Assessment in 2004, and the NAEP 8th grade mathematics and reading tests in 2005. Specifically, in 2005 each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia participated in the NAEP 8th grade mathematics and reading tests, and each was ranked from 1 to 51 , with 1 being awarded to the state with the highest average test score and 51 being awarded to the state with the lowest average test score. Similarly, the 25 states and the District of Columbia in which the SAT was the dominant standardized test were ranked from 1 to 26 based on average test results. Finally, the 25 states in which the ACT Assessment was the dominant test were ranked from 1 to 25 .

Next, each state's rank in each category was divided by the total number of states in that category to obtain a scaled measure of achievement. For example, Maryland ranked ninth in average SAT scores. Thus, Maryland's rank of nine was converted to a scaled "rank" of . 3462 ( 9 divided by 26). Finally, the total scaled ranks for each state were summed and divided by the number of tests in which the state was ranked to obtain an average scaled rank for each state. The lower a state's scaled rank, the higher the level of that state's educational achievement, as measured by average performance on the two NAEP tests, SAT and ACT Assessment. These average scaled ranks are recorded in Table A. 1 and employed in the second regression under the variable name, "RANKED".

## Regressions:

Two basic regressions were conducted for this study. The first regression tests the correlation between educational inputs and outputs from state to state during the 20032004 school year. The hypothesis tested was that higher academic achievement is affected by the number of schools per district, students per school, pupil-to-teacher ratio, per pupil expenditures, percentage of funds received from the federal government, and average instructional staff salaries. Specifically, the first regression equation measured ${ }^{1}$ was:

```
Ln(RANKED) = a1 C + a 2 Ln(SCHOOLPERDIST) +
a3 Ln(STUDPERSCHOOL) + a4Ln(STUDPERTEACH)
+ a5 Ln(FEDFUNDS) + a6 Ln(PERPUPSPEND) + a7
Ln(STAFFSALARY)
```

[^0]Using ordinary least squares (OLS) where,

RANKED = measure of educational achievement as defined in table A.1;

SCHOOLPERDIST $=$ schools per district, 2003-04;

STUDPERSCHOOL = students per school, 2003-04;

TABLE A. 1
Ranking of States by Academic Achievement, with Component Rankings

STUDPERTEACH = pupil to instructional staff ratio, 2003-04;
FEDFUNDS $=$ percent of total funds received from the federal government, 2003-04;

PERPUPEXPEND = per pupil expenditures, 2003-04;
STAFFSALARY = average instructional staff salary, 2003-04.

The specific regression results are displayed in table A.2. The second basic regression employed in this study tested the influence of changes in educational inputs, over the past two decades, on changes in SAT scores, by state. The hypothesis tested was that increased SAT scores between 1983 and 2003 were positively associated with increased per pupil expenditures, increased teacher salaries, decreased number of schools per district, decreased students per school, and decreased pupil-to-teacher ratios. Specifically, the second regression equation measured was:

SATCHANGE $=\mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{a} 2($ PERPUPCHANGE $)+\mathrm{a} 3$ (STAFFSALCHANGE) +a 4 SCHOOLDISTCHANGE) +a 5 (STUDSCHOOLCHANGE) + a6 (PUPTEACHCHANGE)

Using ordinary least squares (OLS) where,
SATCHANGE $=\%$ change in average SAT score, 1983-2003; PERPUPCHANGE $=\%$ change in per pupil expenditures, 1983/84-2003/04;

TEACHSALCHANGE $=\%$ change in teacher salaries in constant 2003 dollars, 1983/84-2003/04;

SCHOOLDISTCHANGE $=\%$ change in average schools per district, 1983/84-2003/04;

STUDSCHOOLCHANGE $=\%$ change in average students per school, 1983/84-2003/04; and,

PUPTEACHCHANGE $=\%$ change in pupil-to-teacher ratio, 1983/84-2003/04.

| $\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \\ \text { STATE } \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2005 NAEP <br> 8th Grade Mathematics Rank | NAEP <br> Mathematics Scaled Rank | 2005 NAEP <br> 8th Grade Reading Rank | NAEP Reading Scaled Rank | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & \text { SAT } \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | SAT <br> Scaled Rank | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \text { ACT } \\ \text { Rank } \end{gathered}$ | ACT Scaled Rank | Average Total Scaled Rank | Total Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 49 | 0.9608 | 46 | 0.9020 |  |  | 22 | 0.8800 | 0.9142 | 48 |
| Alaska | 29 | 0.5686 | 34 | 0.6667 | 6 | 0.2308 |  |  | 0.4887 | 25 |
| Arizona | 34 | 0.6667 | 42 | 0.8235 | 3 | 0.4615 |  |  | 0.6506 | 33 |
| Arkansas | 39 | 0.7647 | 37 | 0.7255 |  |  | 18 | 0.7200 | 0.7367 | 41 |
| California | 43 | 0.8431 | 49 | 0.9608 | 12 | 0.4615 |  |  | 0.7552 | 42 |
| Colorado | 20 | 0.3922 | 21 | 0.4118 |  |  | 19 | 0.7600 | 0.5213 | 28 |
| Connecticut | 19 | 0.3725 | 23 | 0.4510 | 7 | 0.2692 |  |  | 0.3643 | 17 |
| Delaware | 21 | 0.4118 | 18 | 0.3529 | 21 | 0.8077 |  |  | 0.5241 | 29 |
| DC | 51 | 1.0000 | 51 | 1.0000 | 26 | 1.0000 |  |  | 1.0000 | 51 |
| Florida | 34 | 0.6667 | 41 | 0.8039 | 22 | 0.8462 |  |  | 0.7722 | 43 |
| Georgia | 37 | 0.7255 | 40 | 0.7843 | 24 | 0.9231 |  |  | 0.8110 | 45 |
| Hawaii | 46 | 0.9020 | 50 | 0.9804 | 20 | 0.7692 |  |  | 0.8839 | 46 |
| Idaho | 24 | 0.4706 | 24 | 0.4706 |  |  | 13 | 0.5200 | 0.4871 | 24 |
| Illinois | 31 | 0.6078 | 25 | 0.4902 |  |  | 19 | 0.7600 | 0.6193 | 32 |
| Indiana | 17 | 0.3333 | 31 | 0.6078 | 14 | 0.5385 |  |  | 0.4932 | 26 |
| Iowa | 12 | 0.2353 | 15 | 0.2941 |  |  | 3 | 0.1200 | 0.2165 | 9 |
| Kansas | 47 | 0.9216 | 15 | 0.2941 |  |  | 6 | 0.2400 | 0.4852 | 22 |
| Kentucky | 36 | 0.7059 | 25 | 0.4902 |  |  | 19 | 0.7600 | 0.6520 | 34 |
| Louisiana | 45 | 0.8824 | 45 | 0.8824 |  |  | 24 | 0.9600 | 0.9082 | 47 |
| Maine | 24 | 0.4706 | 2 | 0.0392 | 16 | 0.6154 |  |  | 0.3751 | 18 |
| Maryland | 30 | 0.5882 | 29 | 0.5686 | 9 | 0.3462 |  |  | 0.5010 | 27 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | 0.0196 | 1 | 0.0196 | 5 | 0.1923 |  |  | 0.0772 | 1 |
| Michigan | 32 | 0.6275 | 31 | 0.6078 |  |  | 10 | 0.4000 | 0.5451 | 31 |
| Minnesota | 2 | 0.0392 | 9 | 0.1765 |  |  | 1 | 0.0400 | 0.0852 | 2 |
| Mississippi | 50 | 0.9804 | 47 | 0.9216 |  |  | 25 | 1.0000 | 0.9673 | 50 |
| Missouri | 33 | 0.6471 | 21 | 0.4118 |  |  | 7 | 0.2800 | 0.4463 | 19 |
| Montana | 6 | 0.1176 | 5 | 0.0980 |  |  | 4 | 0.1600 | 0.1252 | 4 |
| Nebraska | 11 | 0.2157 | 14 | 0.2745 |  |  | 4 | 0.1600 | 0.2167 | 10 |
| Nevada | 42 | 0.8235 | 44 | 0.8627 | 11 | 0.4231 |  |  | 0.7031 | 38 |
| New Hampshire | re 9 | 0.1765 | 2 | 0.0392 | 4 | 0.1538 |  |  | 0.1232 | 3 |
| New Jersey | 10 | 0.1961 | 5 | 0.0980 | 13 | 0.5000 |  |  | 0.2647 | 12 |
| New Mexico | 48 | 0.9412 | 47 | 0.9216 |  |  | 23 | 0.9200 | 0.9276 | 49 |
| New York | 27 | 0.5294 | 20 | 0.3922 | 14 | 0.5385 |  |  | 0.4867 | 23 |
| North Carolina | - 16 | 0.3137 | 36 | 0.7059 | 16 | 0.6154 |  |  | 0.5450 | 30 |
| North Dakota | 5 | 0.0980 | 4 | 0.0784 |  |  | 14 | 0.5600 | 0.2455 | 11 |
| Ohio | 14 | 0.2745 | 12 | 0.2353 |  |  | 10 | 0.4000 | 0.3033 | 15 |
| Oklahoma | 41 | 0.8039 | 33 | 0.6471 |  |  | 15 | 0.6000 | 0.6837 | 37 |
| Oregon | 15 | 0.2941 | 27 | 0.5294 | 2 | 0.0769 |  |  | 0.3002 | 14 |
| Pennsylvania | 21 | 0.4118 | 12 | 0.2353 | 19 | 0.7308 |  |  | 0.4593 | 20 |
| Rhode Island | 37 | 0.7255 | 30 | 0.5882 | 18 | 0.6923 |  |  | 0.6687 | 35 |
| South Carolina | 24 | 0.4706 | 39 | 0.7647 | 25 | 0.9615 |  |  | 0.7323 | 40 |
| South Dakota | 4 | 0.0784 | 8 | 0.1569 |  |  | 7 | 0.2800 | 0.1718 | 7 |
| Tennessee | 40 | 0.7843 | 35 | 0.6863 |  |  | 16 | 0.6400 | 0.7035 | 39 |
| Texas | 21 | 0.4118 | 37 | 0.7255 | 23 | 0.8846 |  |  | 0.6740 | 36 |
| Utah | 28 | 0.5490 | 28 | 0.5490 |  |  | 7 | 0.2800 | 0.4593 | 21 |
| Vermont | 3 | 0.0588 | 5 | 0.0980 | 8 | 0.3077 |  |  | 0.1549 | 5 |
| Virginia | 13 | 0.2549 | 10 | 0.1961 | 10 | 0.3846 |  |  | 0.2785 | 13 |
| Washington | 7 | 0.1373 | 19 | 0.3725 | 1 | 0.0385 |  |  | 0.1828 | 8 |
| West Virginia | 44 | 0.8627 | 43 | 0.8431 |  |  | 16 | 0.6400 | 0.7820 | 44 |
| Wisconsin | 7 | 0.1373 | 17 | 0.3333 |  |  | 1 | 0.0400 | 0.1702 | 6 |
| Wyoming | 18 | 0.3529 | 10 | 0.1961 |  |  | 10 | 0.4000 | 0.3163 | 16 |

TABLE A. 2

|  | Coefficient | Standard <br> Error | t-Statistic | P-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | 18.59 | 8.47 | 2.19 | 0.03 |
| Ln(SCHOOLPERDIST) | 1.48 | 0.43 | 3.47 | 0.00 |
| Ln(STUDPERSCHOOL) | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.51 | 0.61 |
| Ln(FEDFUNDS) | -2.57 | 0.96 | -2.67 | 0.01 |
| Ln(PERPUPILSPEND) | 1.00 | 0.41 | 2.47 | 0.02 |
| Ln(STAFFSALARY) | -1.11 | 0.75 | -1.49 | 0.14 |
| Ln(STUDPERTEACH) | 0.76 | 1.25 | 0.61 | 0.55 |
| Ln(PUB_POP) | 0.52 | 2.07 | 0.25 | 0.80 |
| Ln(INCOME) | -1.25 | 0.94 | -1.33 | 0.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| R-squared | 0.56 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.47 |  |  |  |
| F-statistic | 6.47 |  |  |  |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| Observations | 51 |  |  |  |

TABLE A. 3

|  | Standard <br> Error |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | t-Statistic | P-value |  |  |
| Constant | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.73 | 0.47 |
| PERPUPCHANGE | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.74 |
| STAFFSALCHANGE | 0.06 | 0.05 | 1.38 | 0.18 |
| SCHOOLDISTCHANGE | -0.02 | 0.02 | -1.17 | 0.25 |
| STUDSCHOOLCHANGE | -0.00 | 0.00 | -0.44 | 0.66 |
| PUPTEACHCHANGE | -0.06 | 0.07 | -0.80 | 0.43 |
|  | 0.43 |  |  |  |
| R-squared | 0.18 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | 3.09 |  |  |  |
| F-statistic | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 51 |  |  |  |
| Observations |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    1. The Author used the data analysis tools in Microsoft Excel 2000 to complete the regressions in this study. The data series are exactly those presentated in the text and tables of the study.
